0 00:00:01.835 --> 00:00:03.925 Well, it's, it's just gone half past three, 1 00:00:04.105 --> 00:00:07.245 and the hearing is resuming. 2 00:00:07.465 --> 00:00:10.645 Uh, and we're agenda item three, which doesn't sound 3 00:00:10.645 --> 00:00:13.565 as if we've done very much over the last two days, 4 00:00:13.705 --> 00:00:16.685 but I think most of us agree. 5 00:00:16.685 --> 00:00:19.125 We actually have covered quite a lot of territory. 6 00:00:19.865 --> 00:00:23.205 Um, and this is the onshore transport 7 00:00:23.305 --> 00:00:24.725 transportation section. 8 00:00:25.305 --> 00:00:27.085 I'm just gonna lead off with a question 9 00:00:27.185 --> 00:00:31.285 and then Mr. Harrison is going to largely take this session, 10 00:00:31.385 --> 00:00:34.405 but although I might chip in along the way, um, 11 00:00:39.835 --> 00:00:43.245 I've got an overarching question, uh, which I kind 12 00:00:43.245 --> 00:00:45.845 of trailed two days ago, I think it was now.

13 00:00:46.905 --> 00:00:51.485 Um, and again, it, it comes back to assumptions in terms of 14 00:00:52.755 --> 00:00:54.765 what assumptions the applicant has made 15 00:00:55.345 --> 00:00:59.965 in onshore transportation terms with respect to, um, 16 00:01:00.195 --> 00:01:04.325 what happens to the parts of, um, 17 00:01:04.395 --> 00:01:07.645 immingham in a dock vacated potentially by Standal line. 18 00:01:08.265 --> 00:01:11.485 And similarly, what happens, um, with the vacation 19 00:01:11.485 --> 00:01:13.485 of facilities at killing home. 20 00:01:14.225 --> 00:01:18.765 Now, the reason I, uh, raise a query, I'm gonna read, 21 00:01:19.155 --> 00:01:23.445 read a quote, um, from one of the applicant's submissions, 22 00:01:23.855 --> 00:01:28.645 which this quote comes from responses made, 23 00:01:28.945 --> 00:01:32.565 um, in rep 5 0 27, 24 00:01:35.855 --> 00:01:36.995 and the, and the quote is, 25 00:01:37.375 --> 00:01:39.955 the ERT facility will provide a replacement 26 00:01:40.015 --> 00:01:43.035

for existing stenner facilities within the area, 27 00:01:44.225 --> 00:01:46.675 predominantly served by the A one 60 corridor. 28 00:01:47.375 --> 00:01:51.475 As set out in rep two 10 Stenner handled a total 29 00:01:51.615 --> 00:01:55.795 of 123,000 units in the first six months of 2023. 30 00:01:57.695 --> 00:02:00.115 The traffic generation of these uses 31 00:02:00.695 --> 00:02:04.395 is in the baseline surveys and has not been discounted. 32 00:02:06.785 --> 00:02:10.355 This could reduce the actual new demand on the network 33 00:02:10.615 --> 00:02:12.075 by 33%. 34 00:02:14.375 --> 00:02:16.635 Now, Cindy, my first reading of that, 35 00:02:18.865 --> 00:02:23.195 suggested that actually, um, no allowance was being made 36 00:02:23.335 --> 00:02:26.955 for the reuse of either the inner dock at Immingham 37 00:02:27.575 --> 00:02:32.115 or potentially parts of killing home, uh, 38 00:02:32.115 --> 00:02:35.755 that Stenner would otherwise, um, have been making use of. 39 00:02:36.575 --> 00:02:40.515 Um, so really turning to the applicant can, can you advise

40 00:02:40.615 --> 00:02:42.155 or provide clarity as to 41 00:02:42.155 --> 00:02:45.195 what assumptions have been made about, um, 42 00:02:46.935 --> 00:02:50.025 the parts of Immingham in a dock 43 00:02:51.365 --> 00:02:54.825 and Ingham that would become in effect 44 00:02:55.285 --> 00:02:57.185 or vacated by Stenner 45 00:02:59.535 --> 00:03:00.965 James Strom for the applicant? 46 00:03:01.025 --> 00:03:04.445 Sir, I'm gonna hand over to Mr. Simon Tucker 47 00:03:04.445 --> 00:03:06.525 of DTA Transportation Limited. 48 00:03:06.705 --> 00:03:08.165 You've heard form before. Yeah. 49 00:03:11.025 --> 00:03:13.565 Um, thanks sir. Simon Tucker, uh, for the applicant. 50 00:03:13.745 --> 00:03:16.765 So the position that we took in the transport assessment, 51 00:03:17.265 --> 00:03:19.525 um, and that I was explaining in the quote 52 00:03:19.525 --> 00:03:21.365 that you've just read out is that 53 00:03:21.885 --> 00:03:25.205

stenner are obviously operating facilities at killing home 54 00:03:26.425 --> 00:03:28.965 and within the inner dock at present, 55 00:03:29.695 --> 00:03:32.885 those uses are generating traffic on the network and 56 00:03:32.885 --> 00:03:34.765 therefore are within the base survey 57 00:03:35.015 --> 00:03:37.005 flows in the assessment. 58 00:03:38.505 --> 00:03:42.085 Um, the point I was making at that point, at that quote 59 00:03:42.085 --> 00:03:43.765 that you read out was that we haven't 60 00:03:45.165 --> 00:03:46.605 discounted the base further 61 00:03:46.865 --> 00:03:50.285 to remove those from the baseline in, in terms 62 00:03:50.285 --> 00:03:54.045 of assessing the traffic generation of the development. 63 00:03:54.345 --> 00:03:58.685 So in effect, cumulatively you've got traffic generation 64 00:03:59.545 --> 00:04:01.605 for iert added on separately, 65 00:04:01.605 --> 00:04:03.565 and then in the baseline you've also got the traffic 66 00:04:03.565 --> 00:04:05.005 that's being generated at present

67 00:04:05.105 --> 00:04:08.085 by those standard facilities in the baseline traffic. 68 00:04:27.005 --> 00:04:30.945 See, my reading of that was that potentially there might, 69 00:04:31.885 --> 00:04:33.545 in simple terms, be some redundancy. 70 00:04:33.985 --> 00:04:36.945 Redundancy in terms of the vacated, uh, facilities. 71 00:04:37.285 --> 00:04:40.025 Now, from what you said, I've interpreted that incorrectly. 72 00:04:40.535 --> 00:04:43.945 What have others done in terms of how they've, 73 00:04:43.945 --> 00:04:45.985 they've interpreted that D-D-F-D-S, 74 00:04:46.345 --> 00:04:48.145 Isabella to four four DFDS? 75 00:04:48.325 --> 00:04:51.225 So I'll ask, um, Matt is in a moment to, 76 00:04:51.285 --> 00:04:52.905 to come in if I get this wrong, 77 00:04:53.045 --> 00:04:56.065 but we have, uh, assumed 78 00:04:57.655 --> 00:04:59.545 that those areas vacated 79 00:04:59.645 --> 00:05:02.785 by Stenner in the inner docket killing home will be taken up 80 00:05:02.785 --> 00:05:05.665

by others, which seem to be the agreed position between 81 00:05:06.365 --> 00:05:07.745 all the parties yesterday. 82 00:05:10.815 --> 00:05:13.515 We understand that the applicant has also taken 83 00:05:13.515 --> 00:05:14.715 that approach in their ta, 84 00:05:15.955 --> 00:05:19.275 albeit they have suggested in various places such as here, 85 00:05:19.745 --> 00:05:22.555 that that means that their assessment is particularly robust 86 00:05:22.575 --> 00:05:24.955 or conservative, and we don't accept that 87 00:05:24.955 --> 00:05:28.875 to be an indication of a particular conservatism. 88 00:05:29.015 --> 00:05:31.155 We consider that to be the correct baseline. 89 00:05:31.895 --> 00:05:33.235 And we say that accords with 90 00:05:33.345 --> 00:05:36.355 what you heard from the applicant's assumptions yesterday, 91 00:05:36.385 --> 00:05:38.155 that both at killing home 92 00:05:38.155 --> 00:05:41.195 and immingham areas vacated 93 00:05:41.195 --> 00:05:42.435 by sinner will be taken up by others

94 00:06:07.855 --> 00:06:11.435 For c Uh, Robbie Owen, for CLDN. 95 00:06:12.135 --> 00:06:14.915 Uh, so I'd endorse what you've heard 96 00:06:15.015 --> 00:06:19.395 and only wish to add one point, I think, which is that as, 97 00:06:19.455 --> 00:06:23.035 as you will recall from our previous submissions, there is 98 00:06:23.875 --> 00:06:28.515 considerable, uh, uh, spare capacity at killing home, um, 99 00:06:28.625 --> 00:06:29.675 even at the present day. 100 00:06:29.695 --> 00:06:33.195 And our predictions are that will remain so for, um, 101 00:06:33.825 --> 00:06:34.875 many years to come. 102 00:06:36.935 --> 00:06:40.595 Uh, and therefore the assessments undertaken 103 00:06:40.595 --> 00:06:44.135 by the applicant don't appear to us to 104 00:06:45.885 --> 00:06:49.695 take into account the potential for killing a home to 105 00:06:50.495 --> 00:06:53.175 generate additional traffic above 106 00:06:53.175 --> 00:06:55.775 and beyond the current volumes gener generated 107 00:06:55.835 --> 00:06:57.935

by CLDN and stainer. 108 00:06:58.755 --> 00:07:00.895 Uh, that's a potential that is, 109 00:07:01.005 --> 00:07:03.135 that is consented, it's unrestricted. 110 00:07:03.135 --> 00:07:06.535 It could happen, um, if the, um, 111 00:07:07.915 --> 00:07:09.375 demand is realized for it. 112 00:07:10.755 --> 00:07:13.695 So that's the only thing I wish to add in terms 113 00:07:13.715 --> 00:07:15.415 of the assumptions made 114 00:07:15.415 --> 00:07:17.215 by the applicant in their transport assessment. 115 00:07:32.205 --> 00:07:34.785 Uh, Mr. Duckin, in terms of the, the sort of 116 00:07:35.565 --> 00:07:39.305 co conservative assessment versus actual, 117 00:07:39.455 --> 00:07:40.985 what we're really looking is baseline. 118 00:07:41.285 --> 00:07:44.305 Do, do you have a view on what DFTS have said? 119 00:07:47.385 --> 00:07:51.745 I mean, I, I have to say, I think I kind of get, uh, or, 120 00:07:51.885 --> 00:07:55.985 or I'm more comfortable with what, um,

121 00:07:56.385 --> 00:07:57.785 DFDS have said it 122 00:07:58.065 --> 00:08:01.105 'cause that it was that second sentence, the short sentence, 123 00:08:01.255 --> 00:08:04.025 this could reduce the actual new demand on that went by 33%. 124 00:08:04.325 --> 00:08:06.065 I'm not sure that that is correct 125 00:08:09.235 --> 00:08:11.545 given, uh, the expectation 126 00:08:11.545 --> 00:08:14.905 that the existing IES would be reused by somebody else. 127 00:08:18.005 --> 00:08:20.105 Uh, thank you sir. Simon Tucker for the applicant. 128 00:08:20.325 --> 00:08:24.225 Um, so the, the position in reality is that if, 129 00:08:24.225 --> 00:08:26.625 if this is granted consent standard will move, 130 00:08:26.795 --> 00:08:28.985 we'll move over slowly and will grow. 131 00:08:29.165 --> 00:08:33.345 So the assessment as submitted at particularly at the year 132 00:08:33.345 --> 00:08:36.305 of opening, which is National Highway's Test, is 133 00:08:36.305 --> 00:08:38.025 for full development on top 134 00:08:38.025 --> 00:08:40.145

of all the growth, um, on the network. 135 00:08:40.525 --> 00:08:43.865 So, um, in that situation, there would be, 136 00:08:44.035 --> 00:08:46.025 there is a robustness in that assessment 137 00:08:46.055 --> 00:08:47.985 because those flows would 138 00:08:48.535 --> 00:08:51.225 basically shift over on, on, on day one. 139 00:08:51.225 --> 00:08:55.485 1, 1, 1 would imagine, um, I accept that the, in terms 140 00:08:55.485 --> 00:08:56.845 of the robustness of the, 141 00:08:57.405 --> 00:09:00.285 ultimately those areas on the port will be reused 142 00:09:00.285 --> 00:09:01.405 for, for something else. 143 00:09:01.545 --> 00:09:04.885 And if they're reused for railroad um, facilities, 144 00:09:04.915 --> 00:09:07.365 then there'll be no net change if you like. 145 00:09:27.135 --> 00:09:31.165 Thank you that I think it's useful clarification, uh, 146 00:09:31.165 --> 00:09:34.245 unless anybody else has any observations to make on that, 147 00:09:34.285 --> 00:09:38.565 I think we could move on to, uh, Mr. Harrison's questions.

148 00:09:39.425 --> 00:09:42.535 Thank you, Mr. Gold. Um, would it just be possible 149 00:09:42.535 --> 00:09:47.055 to have the, um, uh, agenda up just as a reminder of, uh, 150 00:09:48.115 --> 00:09:49.935 uh, what we're gonna be covering? 151 00:09:50.355 --> 00:09:54.695 Um, so starting with, um, a few questions around the, uh, 152 00:09:55.245 --> 00:09:58.615 hand handling capacity of, of the proposed developments and, 153 00:09:58.715 --> 00:10:03.295 and dwell times, um, so to, to the applicant, um, 154 00:10:03.925 --> 00:10:05.855 what evidence have you used 155 00:10:05.955 --> 00:10:10.695 to determine the daily throughput of units, um, 156 00:10:11.075 --> 00:10:15.215 and provision of, um, yard space ex exclude, uh, 157 00:10:15.235 --> 00:10:17.135 excuse the COism, uh, 158 00:10:17.235 --> 00:10:20.615 and what observations do you have to make 159 00:10:20.885 --> 00:10:24.695 regarding the analysis that DFDS, um, 160 00:10:25.235 --> 00:10:28.055 has undertaken in their, uh, 161 00:10:28.055 --> 00:10:29.375

representation rep 162 00:10:29.795 --> 00:10:33.255 6 0 3 8? 163 00:10:34.355 --> 00:10:37.215 Um, I guess we're asking the question would basically, 164 00:10:37.215 --> 00:10:39.095 would there be sufficient yard space and 165 00:10:39.115 --> 00:10:42.895 or hours in the day, uh, to handle up to, up 166 00:10:42.915 --> 00:10:44.095 to three arrivals 167 00:10:44.275 --> 00:10:46.935 and three departures per day at the proposed development? 168 00:10:49.665 --> 00:10:52.375 Thank you, sir. Si, Simon Tucker for, for the applicant. 169 00:10:52.635 --> 00:10:56.575 Um, firstly I'd perhaps just refer to, um, as, 170 00:10:56.595 --> 00:10:57.895 as context really the statement 171 00:10:57.895 --> 00:11:01.055 of common ground on dwell times rep 6 0 3 0, 172 00:11:02.835 --> 00:11:06.375 um, and that sets out a range of, of dwell times, um, 173 00:11:06.435 --> 00:11:09.935 and the different respective views of, of parties, um, 174 00:11:10.255 --> 00:11:12.335 CLDN say in terms of the standard

175 00:11:13.365 --> 00:11:17.015 road pack service at killing home, that the westbound, 176 00:11:17.015 --> 00:11:19.935 so the import dwell time is 0.93 days, 177 00:11:19.935 --> 00:11:23.855 and the eastbound export 0.32, um, 178 00:11:24.295 --> 00:11:28.415 DFDS, um, the most comparable is the Rotterdam service, 179 00:11:28.465 --> 00:11:31.215 which has got an import dwell time of 1.5 days 180 00:11:31.235 --> 00:11:32.735 and an export of 0.52. 181 00:11:33.435 --> 00:11:37.135 And then, um, the extender data confirms an import dwell 182 00:11:37.135 --> 00:11:40.775 time of 2.33 days and an export of 0.33. 183 00:11:41.795 --> 00:11:44.375 Um, so in, in our assessment, 184 00:11:44.505 --> 00:11:48.375 we've adopted an import dwell time of 2.45 days 185 00:11:49.195 --> 00:11:51.895 and an export dwell time of 0.35 days, 186 00:11:51.895 --> 00:11:55.565 and that's set out, um, at rep 5 0 3 2, 187 00:11:57.505 --> 00:12:01.885 um, and in, um, rep 5 0 3 2, um, 188 00:12:02.615 --> 00:12:06.765

might be better if you had it in, in front 189 00:12:06.785 --> 00:12:08.005 of you, if that's possible. 190 00:12:08.905 --> 00:12:09.905 Um, 191 00:12:10.945 --> 00:12:11.945 You give it that. 192 00:12:15.345 --> 00:12:17.445 Um, so at the back of, at the back of 193 00:12:17.445 --> 00:12:20.045 that document at appendix four, there's a, uh, a model 194 00:12:20.045 --> 00:12:23.245 that's been prepared, um, c collaboratively with myself 195 00:12:23.305 --> 00:12:25.085 and, um, the standard team and, 196 00:12:25.105 --> 00:12:29.365 and ABP, which sets out how those drill times are, um, 197 00:12:30.635 --> 00:12:33.765 used to derive terminal or consider terminal capacity. 198 00:12:34.825 --> 00:12:39.485 Um, and in simple terms, what the model does, is it coming, 199 00:12:39.785 --> 00:12:43.365 put it up, it says page 70, uh, seven of the PDF. 200 00:12:56.475 --> 00:12:58.975 I'm not sure if you can read it at that distance, 201 00:12:59.035 --> 00:13:01.615 but I'll, I'll very briefly talk, talk, talk through it.

202 00:13:01.635 --> 00:13:04.415 So what, what the, um, the model, how the model, um, 203 00:13:04.765 --> 00:13:08.615 expresses capacity then is it looks at the total number 204 00:13:08.635 --> 00:13:12.735 of trailer bays available for, um, westbound, 205 00:13:12.875 --> 00:13:15.295 so export, move, I'm sorry, westbound, 206 00:13:15.295 --> 00:13:16.615 which is import movements. 207 00:13:17.515 --> 00:13:18.975 Um, and in total, that's, 208 00:13:18.975 --> 00:13:21.455 that's the first column under utilization factor. 209 00:13:22.435 --> 00:13:25.375 It calculates a maximum static capacity for those 210 00:13:25.395 --> 00:13:27.895 of 1,602 slots. 211 00:13:28.795 --> 00:13:32.935 That's then timed by the, um, dwell time, 2.45 days. 212 00:13:32.995 --> 00:13:34.895 And that gives you a, a basically a, 213 00:13:34.975 --> 00:13:38.295 a practical storage capacity across the year. 214 00:13:38.955 --> 00:13:43.135 That's based on the maximum, um, theoretical capacity of the 215 00:13:43.815 --> 00:13:47.255

terminal at 660,000, um, units. 216 00:13:48.145 --> 00:13:51.575 There are also, um, there is also an allowance, um, 217 00:13:51.955 --> 00:13:55.935 in the second row of that table for, um, ground slots 218 00:13:55.935 --> 00:13:57.095 for other types of vehicles, 219 00:13:57.095 --> 00:13:58.655 and there's 25 ground slots there. 220 00:13:58.655 --> 00:14:00.535 So that's included in, in the assessment 221 00:14:01.635 --> 00:14:04.095 for eastbound, um, movements. 222 00:14:04.275 --> 00:14:07.295 Um, export. There are 228 slots available. 223 00:14:08.225 --> 00:14:12.735 Those slots operate, um, for unaccompanied traffic, um, 224 00:14:13.005 --> 00:14:16.775 that has a much shorter dwell time 0.35 days in 225 00:14:16.775 --> 00:14:20.295 conservatively in this assessment that those are slots 226 00:14:20.295 --> 00:14:22.455 that are taken up by, uh, units 227 00:14:22.485 --> 00:14:25.735 that are delivered principally on the day of departure. 228 00:14:26.635 --> 00:14:31.095 Um, they arrive, um, sometimes miss the, the night before,

229 00:14:31.115 --> 00:14:33.735 but they basically arrive in the 24 hours preceding the 230 00:14:33.735 --> 00:14:34.895 departure of the vessels. 231 00:14:35.595 --> 00:14:39.495 Um, so that area of, um, spaces is, um, 232 00:14:40.375 --> 00:14:42.695 building up in terms of usage overnight. 233 00:14:42.715 --> 00:14:44.535 And then when the vessel has arrived 234 00:14:44.555 --> 00:14:46.775 and is starting to be loaded, it then discharges 235 00:14:47.315 --> 00:14:50.175 and there's a dyna dynamic movement in those slots, 236 00:14:50.235 --> 00:14:51.965 or more dynamic movement in those lots 237 00:14:51.965 --> 00:14:53.485 because vehicles are arriving, uh, 238 00:14:53.485 --> 00:14:56.245 units are being dropped off and then they're being picked up 239 00:14:56.245 --> 00:14:58.645 and taken and loaded onto the, onto the vessel. 240 00:15:00.105 --> 00:15:01.165 So that, that's the process. 241 00:15:01.625 --> 00:15:04.605 Um, and the model that we've, we've gone through to, 242 00:15:04.605 --> 00:15:09.045

to demonstrate that we can achieve 660,000 units with the, 243 00:15:09.545 --> 00:15:13.845 um, amount of slots that are available on, on the site. 244 00:15:14.055 --> 00:15:16.605 There are, um, two further sort of, um, 245 00:15:16.605 --> 00:15:17.685 points to make on that. 246 00:15:17.705 --> 00:15:22.285 One is that, um, there are areas within the 247 00:15:22.885 --> 00:15:26.005 terminal facility which aren't dedicated as slots, 248 00:15:26.065 --> 00:15:28.485 if you like, on the current, uh, drawings, 249 00:15:28.505 --> 00:15:31.805 but could be available for use by, um, 250 00:15:31.915 --> 00:15:34.525 lorries if there were a particular peak movement. 251 00:15:34.535 --> 00:15:36.445 There are queuing lanes at pre-boarding. 252 00:15:36.695 --> 00:15:39.565 There are also, um, areas within the port where 253 00:15:40.245 --> 00:15:42.045 vehicles could be block stowed, for example. 254 00:15:42.145 --> 00:15:45.845 So you get more efficient storage of, of vehicles to deal 255 00:15:45.845 --> 00:15:47.365 with that at, at peak times.

256 00:15:48.385 --> 00:15:51.965 So, um, that page we've got on the screen is considers the, 257 00:15:52.025 --> 00:15:55.285 the 660, the, the full maximum capacity. 258 00:15:55.385 --> 00:15:58.805 The, the the following page, um, 259 00:15:59.035 --> 00:16:00.565 does the same maths effectively, 260 00:16:00.625 --> 00:16:02.685 but for the, um, what we've called the, 261 00:16:02.825 --> 00:16:06.605 the maximum practical capacity, which is 80% less than the, 262 00:16:06.665 --> 00:16:07.885 um, than the six 60, 263 00:16:07.985 --> 00:16:10.365 but the mass is exactly, exactly the same. 264 00:16:16.575 --> 00:16:20.715 So in terms of, um, DFD S'S model, 265 00:16:20.715 --> 00:16:23.595 which I think was the second part of your question, um, 266 00:16:24.505 --> 00:16:27.075 they've, um, considered a, uh, 267 00:16:27.075 --> 00:16:31.115 and it's a paragraph, um, 112 of rep 6 0 3 8 268 00:16:32.225 --> 00:16:36.395 that they've, um, looked at it on a well. 269 00:16:36.395 --> 00:16:37.715

They've presented a, a sort of model 270 00:16:37.715 --> 00:16:41.035 that looks at it more on an hourly basis in terms of the, 271 00:16:41.175 --> 00:16:44.275 the inbound movement of HTVs onto the terminal and then, 272 00:16:44.375 --> 00:16:46.275 and the export onto the vessels. 273 00:16:47.415 --> 00:16:49.795 Um, we've had, um, some discussions since 274 00:16:49.795 --> 00:16:52.555 that's been submitted with, with, with DFDS, 275 00:16:52.575 --> 00:16:55.715 but at the moment we feel there's some flaws in that 276 00:16:56.275 --> 00:16:58.795 approach, uh, which need exploring. 277 00:16:59.295 --> 00:17:03.515 Um, the, the key ones are that in terms 278 00:17:03.535 --> 00:17:07.315 of throughput into the port, they've assumed, um, 279 00:17:07.705 --> 00:17:12.035 they've got a, a, a dynamic randomization of numbers 280 00:17:12.055 --> 00:17:14.475 of vehicles going through the terminal. 281 00:17:15.265 --> 00:17:18.755 They've assumed the peak is 1800, which is, is consistent 282 00:17:18.755 --> 00:17:23.035 with us, but that the minimum is 1,440 units per day.

283 00:17:24.055 --> 00:17:26.715 Um, you'll recall from previous discussions, that's the, 284 00:17:27.345 --> 00:17:30.235 effectively the average per day, so that 285 00:17:30.265 --> 00:17:32.875 that assessment needs, needs amending to consider, 286 00:17:33.695 --> 00:17:36.915 we think range more appropriately 11 287 00:17:36.915 --> 00:17:40.155 or 1200, minimum to perhaps 15 or 60 288 00:17:40.215 --> 00:17:41.875 or up to 1800 as a maximum. 289 00:17:43.535 --> 00:17:47.795 Um, the average drill time that they've adopted in that, in 290 00:17:47.795 --> 00:17:50.270 that model is 2.4 days, which is agreed 291 00:17:50.270 --> 00:17:51.530 and is consistent with us, 292 00:17:51.665 --> 00:17:55.325 but they've limited the minimum d our time to 1.5 days. 293 00:17:56.665 --> 00:17:59.885 Um, based on, on Stan's experience, um, 294 00:18:00.765 --> 00:18:03.365 although the average is 2.5, there are occasions 295 00:18:03.365 --> 00:18:08.205 and where, where dwell times can be as, as short 296 00:18:08.225 --> 00:18:10.845

for those, um, import movements as a couple 297 00:18:10.845 --> 00:18:13.565 of hours it comes off the vessel and then it gets picked up. 298 00:18:14.225 --> 00:18:17.325 So that range needs amending to reflect how 299 00:18:17.325 --> 00:18:18.925 that might alter the numbers. 300 00:18:20.385 --> 00:18:23.285 Um, they've assumed that all 301 00:18:23.285 --> 00:18:26.405 of the vessels arrive within a 90 minute window, which, um, 302 00:18:26.625 --> 00:18:30.005 we think at running at full capacity at 1800 a day 303 00:18:30.005 --> 00:18:34.485 or at higher levels of capacity is, is unlikely to, to, 304 00:18:34.865 --> 00:18:37.205 to work in a practical sense unloading vessels. 305 00:18:37.205 --> 00:18:38.725 And that that window would have to be longer 306 00:18:38.985 --> 00:18:43.045 and it would likely have to extend from the six 30 to eight 307 00:18:43.045 --> 00:18:46.405 that they've, they've, they've assumed to perhaps six 30 308 00:18:46.505 --> 00:18:48.165 to 10 o'clock or something like that. 309 00:18:48.165 --> 00:18:50.965 It has to be a longer period of time to allow sta staggering

310 00:18:50.965 --> 00:18:52.005 of, of the movements. 311 00:18:53.185 --> 00:18:55.685 So at the moment, in terms of the figure three, 312 00:18:55.685 --> 00:19:00.485 which is their assessment of, um, of the capacity, um, 313 00:19:00.495 --> 00:19:03.045 we're not convinced that the inputs are actually correctly 314 00:19:03.385 --> 00:19:04.725 stated in that. 315 00:19:05.785 --> 00:19:09.005 Um, in terms of the outputs of it 316 00:19:09.025 --> 00:19:12.685 and table, um, figure three has got the, a graph which shows 317 00:19:13.225 --> 00:19:14.565 how that is reflected. 318 00:19:14.795 --> 00:19:18.245 They set the capacity of the terminal in terms 319 00:19:18.245 --> 00:19:20.365 of import capacity at, for 320 00:19:20.885 --> 00:19:23.245 I think about 1,450 slots. 321 00:19:24.145 --> 00:19:27.005 Um, as I said, um, earlier, we've actually got, um, 322 00:19:28.195 --> 00:19:32.245 1,627 slots, um, in terms of the 323 00:19:33.565 --> 00:19:35.645

facility and the absolute capacity of the yard, 324 00:19:35.645 --> 00:19:36.805 including the, um, 325 00:19:38.225 --> 00:19:41.245 import slots is actually 1,855. 326 00:19:43.185 --> 00:19:45.765 Um, and on top of that, the caveat I mentioned earlier 327 00:19:45.795 --> 00:19:49.165 that there is likely to be space either operationally 328 00:19:49.345 --> 00:19:50.845 or re rejigging 329 00:19:50.985 --> 00:19:53.565 or whatever for perhaps another a hundred slots on top of 330 00:19:53.565 --> 00:19:54.765 that in a practical sense. 331 00:20:06.485 --> 00:20:10.675 Thank you, Mr. Tucker. Um, I'll turn to DFDS. 332 00:20:10.855 --> 00:20:13.075 Um, uh, if you have any, um, sort 333 00:20:13.075 --> 00:20:14.715 of immediate response to those points, 334 00:20:15.355 --> 00:20:16.995 Isabella, tougher for DFDS? 335 00:20:16.995 --> 00:20:18.315 Yes, I will ask Mr. Reese. 336 00:20:18.315 --> 00:20:19.915 Now we're getting really technical. So

337 00:20:22.505 --> 00:20:24.275 Matthew East for DFDS. 338 00:20:25.135 --> 00:20:28.235 So, um, DFDS has undergone a series 339 00:20:28.235 --> 00:20:30.435 of calculations ourselves, as you've seen 340 00:20:30.435 --> 00:20:32.075 inside our rep six submission. 341 00:20:32.205 --> 00:20:33.715 We've disagreed with the assessment 342 00:20:33.715 --> 00:20:37.035 that there is sufficient capacity at the i a, uh, terminal. 343 00:20:37.455 --> 00:20:40.355 The calculations we've used have been an independent dynamic 344 00:20:40.445 --> 00:20:44.355 simulation that utilizes a few randomizations to understand 345 00:20:45.015 --> 00:20:47.115 the variables, uh, coming through. 346 00:20:49.545 --> 00:20:53.765 In essence, we're looking specifically at the UK imports in 347 00:20:53.765 --> 00:20:55.845 that data that's been presented in rep six. 348 00:20:56.385 --> 00:20:59.965 Um, there are the needs to support the UK exports as well 349 00:20:59.965 --> 00:21:01.045 as the containerized trade 350 00:21:01.065 --> 00:21:03.605

and the accompanied unit trade as we're going in those, 351 00:21:03.705 --> 00:21:05.965 but specifically we're just looking at the railroad 352 00:21:05.995 --> 00:21:09.245 unaccompanied, uh, UK imports coming 353 00:21:09.245 --> 00:21:10.445 through that process itself. 354 00:21:12.675 --> 00:21:17.045 What our model had indicated was that just 355 00:21:17.145 --> 00:21:19.805 for the UK imports alone, uh, 356 00:21:20.455 --> 00:21:23.725 underneath those conditions that have been reported to us 357 00:21:23.985 --> 00:21:26.285 by the applicant for the peak condition 358 00:21:26.285 --> 00:21:29.685 of 1800 units per day, we need around about 1700 359 00:21:29.705 --> 00:21:32.285 to 1800 slots to be made available with 360 00:21:32.285 --> 00:21:33.365 inside the yard itself. 361 00:21:35.865 --> 00:21:39.285 For the normal conditions, that reduces down to 1500. 362 00:21:39.465 --> 00:21:41.525 So this is basically assuming that the average flow 363 00:21:41.525 --> 00:21:44.645 of 1440 coming through, but we need about 1500 slots

364 00:21:44.985 --> 00:21:48.645 and that exceeds the 80% operating target 365 00:21:48.835 --> 00:21:50.085 that has actually been described. 366 00:21:50.085 --> 00:21:52.525 And if we were to go onto the next page, you actually sort 367 00:21:52.525 --> 00:21:55.805 of see that they've got the 80 percentile target, uh, 368 00:21:55.955 --> 00:21:57.125 described in that. 369 00:21:57.285 --> 00:21:59.405 I think it's actually, if you go back at the top there, 370 00:21:59.405 --> 00:22:03.565 that utilization factor just in the top left hand 371 00:22:03.705 --> 00:22:06.205 corner of 80%. 372 00:22:06.205 --> 00:22:08.405 So we've taken that as being the operating capacity. 373 00:22:08.515 --> 00:22:09.845 That makes a bit of sense in terms of 374 00:22:09.845 --> 00:22:10.925 what we've actually been sort of 375 00:22:10.925 --> 00:22:12.725 assessing, uh, going through that. 376 00:22:15.505 --> 00:22:18.125 So going, looking at both of those numbers, we see 377 00:22:18.125 --> 00:22:21.725

that the terminal exceeds its capacity, um, 378 00:22:21.905 --> 00:22:24.725 for peak conditions and exceeds the operating, uh, intent 379 00:22:24.945 --> 00:22:26.765 for the normal conditions, 380 00:22:26.825 --> 00:22:29.765 and that's for the UK imports only. 381 00:22:30.625 --> 00:22:33.205 We also would like to note that the peak factor 382 00:22:33.345 --> 00:22:34.845 or the peak volume per day, 383 00:22:34.975 --> 00:22:36.245 we're still in contention over that. 384 00:22:36.265 --> 00:22:40.565 We still see that it's being 2,250 units per day on the 385 00:22:40.565 --> 00:22:43.445 basis of the total terminal throughput is 660,000. 386 00:22:43.565 --> 00:22:46.845 Dividing that by 365 gives us an average of 1800. 387 00:22:47.825 --> 00:22:51.165 We have questions to come on that. Okay. 388 00:22:53.185 --> 00:22:55.845 So, um, responding to some of the comments 389 00:22:55.845 --> 00:22:59.525 that have been raised there by the applicant, um, 390 00:23:00.345 --> 00:23:02.485 the 2.45 dwell rate

391 00:23:02.485 --> 00:23:05.605 and 0.35 dwell rate, we've utilized them from the modeling 392 00:23:05.795 --> 00:23:09.445 that has been presented to us in rep five by the applicant. 393 00:23:09.625 --> 00:23:12.125 By the applicant, yes. Uh, going through that, 394 00:23:13.025 --> 00:23:16.285 we established the range of 1.5 395 00:23:16.545 --> 00:23:19.245 to three days based on the commentary that was 396 00:23:19.445 --> 00:23:22.325 provided to us by the applicant in issue specific hearing 397 00:23:22.455 --> 00:23:25.405 three where they identified their dwell rates. 398 00:23:25.855 --> 00:23:28.445 We're quite happy to adapt that to any of the numbers 399 00:23:28.445 --> 00:23:29.725 that have actually been presented 400 00:23:29.725 --> 00:23:31.205 or what they see as being the conceivable piece. 401 00:23:32.025 --> 00:23:34.165 But the key for the analysis that we've undertaken 402 00:23:34.165 --> 00:23:35.645 to date is that average figure. 403 00:23:35.745 --> 00:23:37.285 If that average figure doesn't change, 404 00:23:37.285 --> 00:23:39.845

then the overall assessment stays relatively the same. 405 00:23:40.025 --> 00:23:41.965 It doesn't really matter if we sort of drop the, 406 00:23:41.985 --> 00:23:43.525 the lower bound down or the upper bound up 407 00:23:43.525 --> 00:23:44.525 or anything along those lines. 408 00:23:44.715 --> 00:23:48.125 It's basically pinned on that, uh, average figure. 409 00:23:48.125 --> 00:23:52.765 As we're going through that, We've done 410 00:23:53.065 --> 00:23:54.325 the arrival schedule 411 00:23:54.425 --> 00:23:57.725 and departure schedule inside the modeling based on 412 00:23:58.025 --> 00:24:00.205 as much evidence as we can gather from the transport 413 00:24:00.205 --> 00:24:02.325 assessment, from the arrival schedule of the vehicles 414 00:24:02.325 --> 00:24:05.125 and the departure schedule of the vehicles from the, uh, 415 00:24:05.125 --> 00:24:08.125 arrival departure table that's in, uh, 416 00:24:09.085 --> 00:24:10.205 AS 0 0 8. 417 00:24:11.985 --> 00:24:14.565 If those timings of the vessels were

418 00:24:14.565 --> 00:24:18.245 to shift from the six 30 to eight o'clock sailing schedules 419 00:24:18.245 --> 00:24:19.405 that we've been sort of mapping out there, 420 00:24:19.835 --> 00:24:22.605 that would probably have an influence on that arrival 421 00:24:22.825 --> 00:24:25.765 and distribution of vehicle pattern in the transport 422 00:24:25.765 --> 00:24:27.325 assessment as well as we'll start 423 00:24:27.325 --> 00:24:29.085 to see vehicles leaving the terminal later, 424 00:24:29.085 --> 00:24:30.925 particularly the accompanied vehicles, 425 00:24:31.465 --> 00:24:33.725 and you start to see accompanied vehicles arriving later in 426 00:24:33.725 --> 00:24:34.845 that whole entire process as well. 427 00:24:34.905 --> 00:24:38.445 So understandably, that will make a difference to 428 00:24:38.445 --> 00:24:40.965 how much time you've got available to you to load 429 00:24:40.965 --> 00:24:43.285 and unload the vessel, but will have an influence on the 430 00:24:43.525 --> 00:24:44.965 transport assessment and other areas that needs 431 00:24:44.965 --> 00:24:46.205

to be taken into consideration. 432 00:24:48.985 --> 00:24:53.885 Um, and the final piece there is that the 1440 433 00:24:54.815 --> 00:24:57.805 value that the applicant has identified within site figure 434 00:24:57.805 --> 00:25:01.765 three of our rep, six of the modeling piece, that's 435 00:25:01.765 --> 00:25:04.365 that 80% level and the 1670. 436 00:25:04.545 --> 00:25:05.605 The other line that's shown in 437 00:25:05.605 --> 00:25:08.285 that figure is actually the capacity of the terminal only 438 00:25:08.505 --> 00:25:11.325 for the roro accompanied units, uh, sorry, 439 00:25:11.525 --> 00:25:13.325 ro unaccompanied units going through there. 440 00:25:13.325 --> 00:25:15.245 So we still have the additional capacity on top of that 441 00:25:15.305 --> 00:25:17.685 for accompanied and containerized freight. 442 00:25:25.035 --> 00:25:27.235 I would also just like to draw attention 443 00:25:27.495 --> 00:25:31.515 to paragraph B in rep six. 444 00:25:31.975 --> 00:25:34.235 Uh, well inside our rep six,

445 00:25:34.605 --> 00:25:38.515 which was dash 0 3 2, I believe, I think 446 00:25:38.515 --> 00:25:39.875 It Three eight. 447 00:25:40.165 --> 00:25:44.795 Three eight, sorry, 0 3 8, sorry, it's paragraph 1 1 2. 448 00:25:45.135 --> 00:25:47.235 Um, item B, which is 449 00:25:47.235 --> 00:25:48.955 where we talk about the normal operations, 450 00:25:49.215 --> 00:25:52.115 and that's where we're talking about the 1500 unit capacity. 451 00:25:53.255 --> 00:25:56.355 The reason why when we did the modeling between 1440 452 00:25:56.375 --> 00:25:59.275 and 1800 for the peak is 453 00:25:59.275 --> 00:26:00.315 because we just wanted to get a bit 454 00:26:00.315 --> 00:26:02.115 of variability in there in that whole entire process. 455 00:26:02.695 --> 00:26:04.315 The 1440 is the average figure 456 00:26:04.575 --> 00:26:06.115 and then the 18 hundred's, the peak figure, 457 00:26:06.135 --> 00:26:07.555 if we really wanted to map out the, 458 00:26:07.815 --> 00:26:09.515

the peak application against that, 459 00:26:09.515 --> 00:26:11.235 we'd be doing 1800 every single day. 460 00:26:11.375 --> 00:26:13.275 But we've actually taken a bit more of a lenient assessment 461 00:26:13.415 --> 00:26:16.875 and said 1440 to 1800 is the range for 462 00:26:16.875 --> 00:26:18.075 that peak operational period. 463 00:26:24.415 --> 00:26:25.165 Thank you for, 464 00:26:25.615 --> 00:26:26.615 Sorry sir. It's very tough 465 00:26:26.615 --> 00:26:27.565 for d ft s 466 00:26:27.585 --> 00:26:29.525 and as Mr. Tucker mentioned a moment ago, 467 00:26:29.525 --> 00:26:31.125 there have been some discussions about this, 468 00:26:31.315 --> 00:26:33.445 including in the hour that, um, 469 00:26:33.585 --> 00:26:35.045 you kindly allowed us before lunch. 470 00:26:35.825 --> 00:26:40.405 Um, and Mr. Tucker has asked Mr. 471 00:26:40.635 --> 00:26:44.645 East to model, um,

472 00:26:45.015 --> 00:26:47.565 using some of the inputs that he considers 473 00:26:47.625 --> 00:26:48.645 to be appropriate. 474 00:26:49.225 --> 00:26:50.885 We consider the inputs we've used 475 00:26:50.905 --> 00:26:52.525 to be appropriate for the reasons Mr. 476 00:26:52.595 --> 00:26:53.885 East has just explained. 477 00:26:54.745 --> 00:26:56.925 He has asked us to do some additional modeling 478 00:26:57.375 --> 00:26:58.605 using his inputs. 479 00:26:59.625 --> 00:27:02.645 The initial response, I think it's fair to say from Mr. 480 00:27:02.675 --> 00:27:05.125 East was, well, it was really for the applicant 481 00:27:05.145 --> 00:27:07.565 to be modeling its own, um, capacity. 482 00:27:08.065 --> 00:27:09.885 Um, but Mr. Tucker pointed out that Mr. 483 00:27:09.915 --> 00:27:12.085 East already has a model available 484 00:27:12.705 --> 00:27:15.365 and given the time constraints, he asked him to carry out 485 00:27:15.365 --> 00:27:16.685

that additional modeling and Mr. 486 00:27:16.755 --> 00:27:18.605 East has agreed to do so, 487 00:27:19.345 --> 00:27:22.325 but that, that's not to detract from the modeling 488 00:27:22.325 --> 00:27:24.285 that we have undertaken, which we consider is correct. 489 00:27:26.905 --> 00:27:28.965 Oh, thank you for that helpful intervention 490 00:27:29.225 --> 00:27:31.125 and glad to hear that the, uh, time 491 00:27:31.125 --> 00:27:32.485 of the adjournment was used. 492 00:27:32.515 --> 00:27:36.845 Well, um, uh, could I just, um, go back over to the, uh, 493 00:27:37.025 --> 00:27:38.365 the applicant's team 494 00:27:38.505 --> 00:27:40.045 and Mr. Tucker, um, 495 00:27:41.075 --> 00:27:43.125 whether you've got any comments on what Mr. 496 00:27:43.225 --> 00:27:44.405 Easter said and, 497 00:27:45.265 --> 00:27:46.885 Uh, Simon Tucker for the applicant? 498 00:27:47.065 --> 00:27:50.525 Um, just a, a couple of points if I made, um, sir,

499 00:27:50.745 --> 00:27:53.525 one was the question about the impact on the transport 500 00:27:53.525 --> 00:27:55.805 assessment if there were different sailing times. 501 00:27:57.305 --> 00:28:00.685 Um, you'll be aware from, um, AS oh oh eight, 502 00:28:00.685 --> 00:28:03.325 the transport assessment, it's set a profile for the arrival 503 00:28:03.465 --> 00:28:05.685 of, of, um, of vehicles. 504 00:28:06.745 --> 00:28:11.325 The principal, um, impact, um, 505 00:28:11.325 --> 00:28:14.005 in the morning peak, um, is, is, is, sorry, 506 00:28:14.225 --> 00:28:18.085 in the morning peak, most of the vehicles, um, discharge, 507 00:28:18.505 --> 00:28:20.445 uh, the accompanied vehicles are discharging 508 00:28:20.445 --> 00:28:21.965 after the peak hour. 509 00:28:22.625 --> 00:28:26.405 So if, if the window extended later than that, 510 00:28:26.405 --> 00:28:29.165 then the impact would be less still in the, um, 511 00:28:29.265 --> 00:28:31.005 in the morning peak, in the evening peak. 512 00:28:32.305 --> 00:28:34.645

The, um, the, 513 00:28:35.245 --> 00:28:37.365 a similar thing ar arises effectively 514 00:28:37.365 --> 00:28:41.565 because you've got, um, between four 515 00:28:41.565 --> 00:28:44.365 and five, which is our peak hour, you've got roughly about, 516 00:28:44.505 --> 00:28:47.725 um, 10% of, of movements if you like, 517 00:28:47.725 --> 00:28:48.925 coming out out of the port. 518 00:28:48.985 --> 00:28:51.045 If that shifted later in the day, 519 00:28:51.625 --> 00:28:53.445 the proportion would go down. 520 00:28:53.625 --> 00:28:57.645 So actually if everything moved back an hour 521 00:28:57.745 --> 00:29:00.565 or two, that would actually have a positive impact in terms 522 00:29:00.565 --> 00:29:01.845 of the junction modeling. 523 00:29:02.905 --> 00:29:04.605 Um, in terms of that, the point 524 00:29:04.605 --> 00:29:08.885 that was laterally made about, um, the assessment that Mr. 525 00:29:08.915 --> 00:29:13.765 East has done on normal operation

526 00:29:14.085 --> 00:29:16.445 capacity, I think he referred you to, um, 527 00:29:16.915 --> 00:29:20.485 paragraph 1 1 12 B, where he says that there's a need 528 00:29:20.485 --> 00:29:24.985 for 1500 slots, um, to accommodate that. 529 00:29:24.985 --> 00:29:29.665 You'll see from our table behind you that, um, 530 00:29:29.685 --> 00:29:32.265 in terms of the, um, westbound movements, 531 00:29:32.265 --> 00:29:35.305 we've actually got total of, um, 532 00:29:36.045 --> 00:29:38.785 1,446 trailer bays, 533 00:29:38.985 --> 00:29:41.185 156 container spaces, 534 00:29:42.105 --> 00:29:44.685 and then another 25 ground slots. 535 00:29:45.345 --> 00:29:49.005 So we've got, um, in total, um, in excess 536 00:29:49.065 --> 00:29:50.845 of that number that Mr. 537 00:29:50.845 --> 00:29:52.085 East says is necessary. 538 00:29:52.305 --> 00:29:54.405 Um, and that's part of, I think, what Mr. 539 00:29:54.405 --> 00:29:55.845

Falls just said about, um, 540 00:29:56.715 --> 00:29:59.085 this is something I think we could perhaps pick up in a, 541 00:29:59.745 --> 00:30:02.525 in a, in a revision to the statement of common ground just 542 00:30:02.525 --> 00:30:06.285 to helpfully clarify those numbers so there's no confusion. 543 00:30:10.515 --> 00:30:12.525 Yeah, I think that would be, um, very useful. 544 00:30:12.935 --> 00:30:14.965 Thank you, Mr. East. 545 00:30:15.355 --> 00:30:18.405 Just, uh, Matthew East from DFD ds, one quick 546 00:30:18.425 --> 00:30:22.765 and quick clarification that 1500 is for trailer bays only 547 00:30:23.385 --> 00:30:25.125 the additionality of containers 548 00:30:25.345 --> 00:30:28.925 and other slots available for other, um, units needs 549 00:30:28.925 --> 00:30:30.365 to be added to that particular figure. 550 00:30:52.975 --> 00:30:56.755 Um, before I go on to ask next question, um, just, um, 551 00:30:56.755 --> 00:30:59.995 obviously CLDN have just heard that, um, discussion. 552 00:30:59.995 --> 00:31:01.915 Is there, is there anything, um, you'd like

553 00:31:01.915 --> 00:31:03.075 to add at this stage? 554 00:31:04.055 --> 00:31:08.795 Uh, thank you sir. Robbie Owen, for CLDN, we would like 555 00:31:08.795 --> 00:31:11.395 to make a few general comments in relation 556 00:31:11.395 --> 00:31:13.755 to freight handling capacity. 557 00:31:14.255 --> 00:31:18.155 Uh, and we're obviously pleased that we managed to agree 558 00:31:18.155 --> 00:31:21.115 of SOCG in relation to dwell times, um, 559 00:31:21.535 --> 00:31:22.795 before the last deadline. 560 00:31:23.055 --> 00:31:24.475 And as I said 561 00:31:24.475 --> 00:31:28.475 before the T break, we will be making submissions in our, 562 00:31:28.475 --> 00:31:32.795 in the post hearing note about the overall sort 563 00:31:32.795 --> 00:31:35.955 of deliverability of the throughput the applicant debars to 564 00:31:35.955 --> 00:31:38.955 because, uh, uh, I mean, I'll, I'll, I'll leave 565 00:31:39.495 --> 00:31:42.075 the detailed numbers for, for, for, for, for that, 566 00:31:42.175 --> 00:31:44.835

but, um, it, it does appear to us to be a 567 00:31:45.355 --> 00:31:48.035 thoroughly unsatisfactory position that we, 568 00:31:48.095 --> 00:31:52.075 in you are being presented with, um, in terms of the, uh, 569 00:31:52.075 --> 00:31:56.795 number of inconsistencies in the applicant's, uh, case, uh, 570 00:31:56.815 --> 00:31:58.635 and, and I must say the opaqueness 571 00:31:58.635 --> 00:32:00.195 with which it is presented. 572 00:32:00.455 --> 00:32:03.395 Um, the, it, we, 573 00:32:03.395 --> 00:32:05.955 we've got fundamental misgivings about the ability 574 00:32:06.855 --> 00:32:09.355 of the proposed development to achieve the throughput 575 00:32:09.355 --> 00:32:11.915 that we're told it will achieve to serve the market. 576 00:32:12.775 --> 00:32:17.435 And we think it's based on the 1.4 to our time, 577 00:32:17.455 --> 00:32:19.755 we think it's, uh, un unachievable, 578 00:32:22.335 --> 00:32:25.915 the, you know, let alone the implications 579 00:32:25.915 --> 00:32:27.075 for the transport assessment,

580 00:32:27.075 --> 00:32:29.955 which we'll come onto later in the hearing. 581 00:32:30.375 --> 00:32:34.395 Um, in our view, the maths just simply doesn't work. 582 00:32:35.815 --> 00:32:37.435 In fact, actually it's not even maths, 583 00:32:37.435 --> 00:32:39.245 it's more creative writing in our view. 584 00:32:40.025 --> 00:32:41.645 Um, the, the 585 00:32:42.545 --> 00:32:45.605 six 60,000 figure just seems meaningless to us. 586 00:32:46.025 --> 00:32:48.405 Um, but we keep on batting between that 587 00:32:48.505 --> 00:32:51.685 and, uh, 525,000 588 00:32:51.865 --> 00:32:54.005 and we're never quite sure, um, 589 00:32:54.735 --> 00:32:56.445 which number is being proposed and the, 590 00:32:56.445 --> 00:32:58.085 and the status of, of each. 591 00:32:58.345 --> 00:33:00.645 And no doubt that'll be of relevance 592 00:33:00.645 --> 00:33:02.165 to tomorrow's hearing as well. 593 00:33:03.265 --> 00:33:04.965

Um, but, 594 00:33:04.985 --> 00:33:09.085 but in essence, the, We, we, we think, 595 00:33:09.085 --> 00:33:13.445 we think the 1.4 dwell time, um, uh, o only works 596 00:33:13.555 --> 00:33:17.565 with a 28% accompanied split, um, 597 00:33:17.615 --> 00:33:19.245 which we don't think 598 00:33:20.345 --> 00:33:22.885 can be achieved at the higher throughput figure 599 00:33:23.495 --> 00:33:26.325 based on the vessels that the applicant has stated will 600 00:33:26.325 --> 00:33:27.445 be, will be used. 601 00:33:27.545 --> 00:33:31.245 So, um, that there, there, there are all sorts of, 602 00:33:31.615 --> 00:33:34.365 there problems, funda fundamental problems we see with 603 00:33:34.755 --> 00:33:36.485 what you're being presented with in terms of 604 00:33:37.185 --> 00:33:39.405 the freight handling capacity of the development 605 00:33:39.405 --> 00:33:40.845 and what you're told it will be handling. 606 00:33:41.225 --> 00:33:43.645 Um, and the story, the story keeps on changing.

607 00:33:43.825 --> 00:33:46.805 Uh, another example is the recent change in the number of 608 00:33:47.515 --> 00:33:51.245 trailer bays and slots that the applicant tells us, um, uh, 609 00:33:51.245 --> 00:33:53.085 it, which actually is, is a bit rich 610 00:33:53.285 --> 00:33:55.005 because they say to us, so, well, they don't, 611 00:33:55.005 --> 00:33:57.325 they don't believe that we can do the same at killing home. 612 00:33:57.465 --> 00:33:59.165 Um, whereas of course we do it all the time, 613 00:33:59.265 --> 00:34:01.285 but we're told that we don't and can't. 614 00:34:02.025 --> 00:34:05.405 So it's at this late stage in the examination. 615 00:34:05.705 --> 00:34:08.005 Um, it is, um, 616 00:34:10.045 --> 00:34:11.725 thoroughly unsatisfactory is perhaps 617 00:34:11.945 --> 00:34:13.765 how I can best put it up politely, 618 00:34:14.545 --> 00:34:16.645 but we will, in our post exhibition, 619 00:34:17.035 --> 00:34:18.445 give you our analysis of the numbers. 620 00:34:19.225 --> 00:34:20.285

Uh, I I think 621 00:34:20.285 --> 00:34:22.405 that would be better than me trying to go through them now. 622 00:34:22.495 --> 00:34:23.495 Thank you. 623 00:34:25.775 --> 00:34:28.125 Thank you. Um, before I go to my next question, 624 00:34:28.125 --> 00:34:29.845 I'll just give the applicant a chance 625 00:34:29.865 --> 00:34:31.845 to come back on any of that, 626 00:34:33.505 --> 00:34:34.965 Uh, James Strong for the applicant. 627 00:34:35.905 --> 00:34:40.685 Um, I, I find it difficult to comment on that 628 00:34:40.685 --> 00:34:43.165 because there are a lot of assertions made about 629 00:34:43.745 --> 00:34:48.125 inconsistencies and opaqueness, none of which are specified. 630 00:34:48.385 --> 00:34:51.925 So it's a bit, um, difficult to, to respond. 631 00:34:52.085 --> 00:34:55.565 I I don't if there's anything you, you wanted, you 632 00:34:55.565 --> 00:34:57.965 that you made from that, that you want us to respond 633 00:34:57.965 --> 00:34:59.405 to specifically, we, we can do

634 00:34:59.405 --> 00:35:01.125 so now it's, but it's difficult to know. 635 00:35:01.385 --> 00:35:04.445 Can I just make an observation if this is 636 00:35:04.445 --> 00:35:07.645 what it's directed at, that of course, the 637 00:35:08.205 --> 00:35:12.605 transport assessment and transportation numbers, uh, 638 00:35:13.225 --> 00:35:16.965 are, are there in effect to test the 639 00:35:17.895 --> 00:35:21.045 worst case, um, impacts 640 00:35:21.865 --> 00:35:23.325 of course they don't materialize 641 00:35:23.325 --> 00:35:27.685 or they're lower for whatever reason, then the, the 642 00:35:28.435 --> 00:35:30.565 TA's done its job, uh, it 643 00:35:30.965 --> 00:35:33.685 provided the effects of the higher levels 644 00:35:34.465 --> 00:35:36.005 are considered acceptable. 645 00:35:36.825 --> 00:35:40.525 Uh, hence the points already made by Mr. 646 00:35:40.625 --> 00:35:44.525 Tar, for example, if you move your PR effects into 647 00:35:45.325 --> 00:35:49.245

a less PR, uh, so in practice, your 648 00:35:50.485 --> 00:35:53.045 EIA part of the TA has done its job 649 00:35:53.195 --> 00:35:56.645 because you've assessed the position. 650 00:35:57.585 --> 00:35:59.885 Uh, the same principle applies of course to 651 00:36:00.595 --> 00:36:02.165 overall throughput. 652 00:36:02.945 --> 00:36:07.005 And, um, there's a difference between, on the one hand, 653 00:36:07.685 --> 00:36:11.845 robustly testing for EIA purposes, uh, you know, 654 00:36:11.845 --> 00:36:15.365 what theoretically might happen as opposed 655 00:36:15.465 --> 00:36:18.285 to either normal operating conditions 656 00:36:18.625 --> 00:36:22.805 or, uh, if I think what Mr. Owen seems to be getting at 657 00:36:23.685 --> 00:36:27.915 a suggestion that there'll be lower units in, in practice 658 00:36:28.575 --> 00:36:30.835 if the, if this point's directed at, um, 659 00:36:31.265 --> 00:36:34.435 something different rather than transport assessment, IE 660 00:36:34.975 --> 00:36:37.755 how much, uh, need will the

661 00:36:38.315 --> 00:36:41.395 facility meet then I do have some submissions to make about 662 00:36:41.395 --> 00:36:44.995 that, but I didn't understand that's what the, this part 663 00:36:44.995 --> 00:36:48.195 of the, the agendas to address, which is really testing the, 664 00:36:49.135 --> 00:36:52.635 the transport implications of and the assessments. 665 00:36:53.405 --> 00:36:55.475 Thank you. And I think given the time 666 00:36:55.475 --> 00:36:57.075 that we've got available, we'll try 667 00:36:57.075 --> 00:36:59.875 and keep this very much to the, the transport implications. 668 00:37:00.535 --> 00:37:03.555 Um, and obviously it sounds like CLDN will be, um, 669 00:37:03.555 --> 00:37:06.675 putting the detail in writing, um, to give you the chance 670 00:37:06.735 --> 00:37:08.675 to respond to that. 671 00:37:09.975 --> 00:37:14.195 Um, so turning to, uh, DFDS, um, would you be able 672 00:37:14.195 --> 00:37:17.915 to give a brief summary, um, based on your, uh, 673 00:37:18.055 --> 00:37:22.995 rep 6 0 38, um, representation, uh, 674 00:37:22.995 --> 00:37:25.515

to explain, um, the case you made 675 00:37:25.945 --> 00:37:29.715 that navigational delays could have adverse consequences, 676 00:37:30.295 --> 00:37:31.795 uh, for road transport? 677 00:37:34.605 --> 00:37:39.075 Thank you, sir. Matthew East from DFDS at a high level, 678 00:37:39.175 --> 00:37:41.155 and then I'll pass over to Andrew as well 679 00:37:41.175 --> 00:37:42.915 to talk about it from an operational perspective. 680 00:37:43.415 --> 00:37:47.715 Um, but at a higher level, the time available 681 00:37:47.935 --> 00:37:49.075 to unload 682 00:37:49.095 --> 00:37:51.795 and load the vessel is heavily constrained by the arrival 683 00:37:52.055 --> 00:37:54.955 and departure times, um, that are coming through. 684 00:37:55.865 --> 00:37:58.475 They have a significant, well, a constrained window 685 00:37:58.925 --> 00:38:01.795 where vehicles need to come off the vessel, pass 686 00:38:01.795 --> 00:38:04.435 through customs, be located inside the yard, 687 00:38:04.895 --> 00:38:07.635 and then actually turn it around into loading the vessel

688 00:38:07.775 --> 00:38:11.155 and going, uh, back through that process in 689 00:38:11.155 --> 00:38:12.155 that same window, 690 00:38:12.465 --> 00:38:14.715 because the applicant has decided 691 00:38:14.715 --> 00:38:16.595 that the operational parameters that they're going 692 00:38:16.595 --> 00:38:18.395 to be working to is that the vessels will act 693 00:38:18.395 --> 00:38:22.635 as temporary storage for arriving vehicles and UK exports. 694 00:38:22.635 --> 00:38:24.355 In this, in this perspective, 695 00:38:25.225 --> 00:38:27.475 that timeframe becomes quite critical in terms 696 00:38:27.475 --> 00:38:28.515 of yard capacity. 697 00:38:29.615 --> 00:38:33.955 If a vessel is delayed on approach to the terminal, 698 00:38:34.225 --> 00:38:36.445 then vehicles coming to the terminal will have 699 00:38:36.445 --> 00:38:39.405 to wait at some location for that vessel to get there, 700 00:38:39.505 --> 00:38:42.285 for their opportunity to load up into that vessel itself, 701 00:38:42.615 --> 00:38:44.165

given there's no redundancy 702 00:38:44.185 --> 00:38:48.445 or availability of slot for parked vehicles inside the yard 703 00:38:48.915 --> 00:38:52.125 that then can form a issue for yard congestion. 704 00:38:52.705 --> 00:38:57.045 And as we've stated within our rep 6 0 3 8 paragraph form 705 00:38:57.115 --> 00:39:00.405 four, our larger concern being queuing on the main road 706 00:39:00.405 --> 00:39:04.685 network utilization of other, uh, uh, assets around the, uh, 707 00:39:05.445 --> 00:39:06.845 location for holding these vehicles. 708 00:39:06.845 --> 00:39:09.845 Whilst we're waiting for those, uh, vessels to come through, 709 00:39:11.915 --> 00:39:14.645 what we would say is that there's a high likelihood 710 00:39:14.745 --> 00:39:17.765 of some delays occurring during stormy weather, uh, 711 00:39:17.985 --> 00:39:19.605 and other bits and pieces, which will then 712 00:39:20.115 --> 00:39:21.925 come into this issue that we're sort of highlighting 713 00:39:21.985 --> 00:39:23.845 as being the, uh, inability 714 00:39:23.905 --> 00:39:25.325 to load the vessels in that sense.

715 00:39:26.965 --> 00:39:27.045 Anything, 716 00:39:32.425 --> 00:39:34.045 Uh, Andrew Byrne from DFDS, 717 00:39:34.045 --> 00:39:36.525 and just to put a bit of operational color 718 00:39:36.625 --> 00:39:37.725 ar around, around Mr. 719 00:39:37.825 --> 00:39:42.005 East's comments, we operate for, uh, four routes 720 00:39:42.005 --> 00:39:43.405 for services are, are rotted. 721 00:39:43.405 --> 00:39:45.365 Admir route is the most comparable with the, uh, 722 00:39:45.365 --> 00:39:47.165 with the proposed, uh, route. 723 00:39:48.345 --> 00:39:52.045 The two main comments are, uh, about disruption, number one. 724 00:39:52.265 --> 00:39:54.725 Um, the schedule, as I mentioned yesterday, is built 725 00:39:54.725 --> 00:39:55.805 around market demand. 726 00:39:55.805 --> 00:39:57.165 It's a long established schedule. 727 00:39:57.805 --> 00:40:01.045 A lot of mature customers who's built their supply chains 728 00:40:01.045 --> 00:40:02.765

around how, how the vessels operate 729 00:40:03.345 --> 00:40:06.405 if the vessel is delayed, um, guite often 730 00:40:06.405 --> 00:40:09.645 what will happen is there will be a production line or, or, 731 00:40:09.645 --> 00:40:12.245 or a shop or a distribution center expecting the cargo. 732 00:40:12.905 --> 00:40:16.405 So we end up with a loss of units then waiting to collect, 733 00:40:16.665 --> 00:40:18.085 uh, the cargo from the vessel. 734 00:40:18.915 --> 00:40:21.165 Once that cargo is cleared, the key, 735 00:40:21.165 --> 00:40:22.445 what inevitably happens is 736 00:40:22.445 --> 00:40:24.885 because it's late, it then can't get back 737 00:40:25.145 --> 00:40:26.405 for the vessel in the evening 738 00:40:26.405 --> 00:40:29.365 because it's quite a finely tuned, um, supply chain. 739 00:40:29.985 --> 00:40:32.965 So the impacts of that is on day one you end up 740 00:40:32.965 --> 00:40:34.965 with a lighter export, 741 00:40:35.345 --> 00:40:38.405 but on day two you have all the traffic that didn't get back

742 00:40:38.405 --> 00:40:40.085 for day one, as well as all the traffic 743 00:40:40.085 --> 00:40:41.445 that would've been there for day two anyway. 744 00:40:41.865 --> 00:40:44.205 So you get higher peaks and lower troughs, 745 00:40:44.205 --> 00:40:45.805 and we see that in the winter, 746 00:40:45.815 --> 00:40:48.885 which is why if you look at the ratio of our terminal 747 00:40:49.025 --> 00:40:51.045 to the volume against the, the applicant, 748 00:40:51.195 --> 00:40:52.405 ours is significantly bigger. 749 00:40:52.705 --> 00:40:54.885 And as was witnessed on the, uh, site visit, 750 00:40:55.025 --> 00:40:58.005 we still get very, um, uh, high 751 00:40:58.565 --> 00:41:02.245 capacity when we have some, uh, disruption to our transport. 752 00:41:03.545 --> 00:41:07.085 The second point is the, um, the model 753 00:41:07.145 --> 00:41:09.325 of using ships as storage. 754 00:41:09.525 --> 00:41:13.845 I, I, I find again, from experience, um, a difficult, 755 00:41:14.035 --> 00:41:15.405

difficult thing to model 756 00:41:15.675 --> 00:41:18.685 because customers build their supply chains 757 00:41:18.685 --> 00:41:19.725 around the sailing times 758 00:41:19.745 --> 00:41:23.405 and they will try where they can to maximize their hours 759 00:41:23.545 --> 00:41:24.845 and, and they won't be able 760 00:41:24.845 --> 00:41:28.205 to have their drivers waiting in truck stops for two 761 00:41:28.205 --> 00:41:31.245 or three hours for the terminal, be able to accept the unit, 762 00:41:31.315 --> 00:41:33.605 they will bring it to the terminal when it's ready. 763 00:41:33.825 --> 00:41:37.005 And more often than not, that is in the three or four hours 764 00:41:37.005 --> 00:41:39.925 before sailing, not in the nine or 10 hours before. 765 00:41:40.505 --> 00:41:41.765 So I think it's imperative 766 00:41:41.875 --> 00:41:44.965 that the terminal has the footprint for the traffic. 767 00:41:51.295 --> 00:41:55.045 Thank you. Um, looking to the applicant, um, 768 00:41:55.305 --> 00:41:58.725 do you have any comments to make in response to

769 00:41:58.725 --> 00:42:00.245 what DFDS have said there? 770 00:42:02.865 --> 00:42:04.965 Uh, thank you sir. Simon Tucker for the applicant. 771 00:42:05.145 --> 00:42:09.245 Um, firstly I think, um, worth noting that the, the, you, 772 00:42:09.245 --> 00:42:10.925 you asked a question about the implication on, 773 00:42:11.025 --> 00:42:12.125 on the transport assessment. 774 00:42:12.445 --> 00:42:14.645 I think the, the only implication 775 00:42:14.645 --> 00:42:17.125 that was highlighted was whether there would be queuing out 776 00:42:17.125 --> 00:42:19.765 of the terminal effectively on, onto, um, 777 00:42:20.675 --> 00:42:21.725 onto the port road. 778 00:42:21.945 --> 00:42:24.885 So, um, I think we're agreed that there isn't a sort 779 00:42:24.885 --> 00:42:28.045 of wider impact in terms of the transport assessment itself. 780 00:42:29.025 --> 00:42:33.285 Um, there, there's several, probably three areas here. 781 00:42:33.585 --> 00:42:37.165 One is that if the incoming vessel is, is delayed, 782 00:42:38.505 --> 00:42:41.045

um, or one of the incoming vessels is, is delayed, 783 00:42:41.045 --> 00:42:45.685 that would, um, if you look, look at particularly Mr Re's, 784 00:42:45.705 --> 00:42:49.405 um, assessment, um, that shows that the terminal 785 00:42:50.665 --> 00:42:54.245 is, is discharging in terms of number of slots being used, 786 00:42:54.625 --> 00:42:56.805 um, in the run up to a vessel arriving. 787 00:42:56.945 --> 00:43:01.085 And that is because import units are being collected all the 788 00:43:01.085 --> 00:43:03.485 time, so they're leaving and then it starts to 789 00:43:04.205 --> 00:43:06.645 increase in terms of its usage when the vessel 790 00:43:06.785 --> 00:43:07.965 is being discharged. 791 00:43:08.145 --> 00:43:11.445 So in a practical sense that extending 792 00:43:11.445 --> 00:43:14.125 that time period when the vessel comes actually softens 793 00:43:14.125 --> 00:43:16.165 that the bottom of that peak and the, 794 00:43:16.165 --> 00:43:19.085 and the would give the terminal more time to discharge 795 00:43:20.875 --> 00:43:22.685 naturally in terms of, um,

796 00:43:23.035 --> 00:43:26.085 unaccompanied freight being taken away from the, 797 00:43:26.595 --> 00:43:28.085 from the, um, terminal. 798 00:43:28.185 --> 00:43:32.605 So it would reduce the sort of base base load in terms of, 799 00:43:32.865 --> 00:43:36.565 um, number of spaces being slots being taken up. 800 00:43:37.345 --> 00:43:40.845 Um, I think that the main, um, response to that is, 801 00:43:40.865 --> 00:43:42.645 is management is, is important. 802 00:43:42.945 --> 00:43:46.245 Um, Stenner and as DFDS deal with 803 00:43:47.175 --> 00:43:51.805 variations in numbers, um, timing of, um, 804 00:43:52.035 --> 00:43:54.445 weather related things all the time. 805 00:43:54.475 --> 00:43:57.325 They're used to managing the facilities in that way. 806 00:43:58.185 --> 00:43:59.765 And as I said earlier, there is 807 00:44:01.335 --> 00:44:05.085 additional measures which can readily be put into place if 808 00:44:05.085 --> 00:44:07.445 there was the need to on the terminal itself. 809 00:44:08.305 --> 00:44:11.485

Um, there are more slots than could be available anyway, 810 00:44:11.585 --> 00:44:15.645 but there's, um, issues such as block, block steering of, 811 00:44:15.665 --> 00:44:17.805 of vehicles if they need to create more space to ensure 812 00:44:17.805 --> 00:44:19.125 that people can check in. 813 00:44:19.555 --> 00:44:23.205 There's space at the pre-check in to hold sort of, uh, 814 00:44:23.445 --> 00:44:26.045 probably up to 50 or 60 HTVs. 815 00:44:26.905 --> 00:44:29.125 Um, so there is a significant amount 816 00:44:29.125 --> 00:44:30.605 of spare capacity there. 817 00:44:31.545 --> 00:44:33.445 Um, and then the final thing, which we've referred 818 00:44:33.445 --> 00:44:38.365 to in our, in our reps generally as liaison with customers. 819 00:44:38.465 --> 00:44:42.725 And if, if there is a a big problem, then nobody wants 820 00:44:42.725 --> 00:44:45.885 to be sending particularly, um, goods, which are 821 00:44:46.625 --> 00:44:50.765 urgent if you like that to sit on the, the dock side if, if, 822 00:44:50.825 --> 00:44:52.325 if the ferries isn't coming.

823 00:44:52.545 --> 00:44:56.125 So, um, stenner always work closely with their 824 00:44:57.245 --> 00:45:01.445 customers and we'll, we'll, we'll notify them, um, 825 00:45:01.935 --> 00:45:04.045 every, we talk before through apps 826 00:45:04.105 --> 00:45:07.685 and through phone calls of any major disruption and, 827 00:45:07.685 --> 00:45:09.245 and that would actually prevent them from coming 828 00:45:09.245 --> 00:45:11.005 to the terminal in the first place. 829 00:45:11.145 --> 00:45:15.605 So that management is, happens every day on every, 830 00:45:15.605 --> 00:45:18.685 every facility and that, that will deal with those 831 00:45:19.225 --> 00:45:22.085 ad adverse conditions that aren't daily events. 832 00:45:22.085 --> 00:45:24.045 There special events, if you like. 833 00:45:24.865 --> 00:45:28.525 So just to summarize that, um, we don't think 834 00:45:28.525 --> 00:45:33.445 that there is going to be a capacity problem if a ve a, 835 00:45:33.605 --> 00:45:36.245 a vessel is delayed, that actually gives them more time 836 00:45:36.265 --> 00:45:39.045

to deal with the vehicles on, on the site, um, 837 00:45:39.185 --> 00:45:41.565 and they have management processes in place 838 00:45:41.625 --> 00:45:44.485 to limit incoming vehicles if they need to. 839 00:45:52.745 --> 00:45:54.625 I, I wasn't gonna ask a follow up on this, 840 00:45:54.765 --> 00:45:57.705 but, um, I, I think I might to the applicant, uh, 841 00:45:57.705 --> 00:45:59.465 and perhaps also look to DFDS 842 00:45:59.465 --> 00:46:01.025 and CLDN for, for their experience. 843 00:46:01.485 --> 00:46:06.145 Um, how sort of common practice is it to, um, 844 00:46:06.375 --> 00:46:10.945 sort of, um, uh, uh, take, uh, capacity of vessels as, 845 00:46:11.085 --> 00:46:15.465 as sort of part of the, um, the, the storage capacity? 846 00:46:18.525 --> 00:46:20.785 Um, thanks sir. Simon Tucker for the applicant. 847 00:46:20.785 --> 00:46:23.065 This might be a question for, for Stenner, 848 00:46:23.085 --> 00:46:26.065 but from their perspective as as, as it's been explained 849 00:46:26.065 --> 00:46:28.465 to me, they, the use of the vest vessel

850 00:46:28.645 --> 00:46:33.225 for storage is actually a byproduct of effective stevedoring 851 00:46:33.225 --> 00:46:36.345 that you want to make maximum use of, of the turnaround. 852 00:46:36.445 --> 00:46:38.825 So as soon as you've broken the stove on the, the, 853 00:46:38.965 --> 00:46:41.425 on the vessel, you want to be getting 854 00:46:42.425 --> 00:46:44.145 vehicles being loaded on at the same 855 00:46:44.145 --> 00:46:45.225 time as being loaded off. 856 00:46:45.245 --> 00:46:48.345 So it's effectively merry go round, if you like, 857 00:46:48.365 --> 00:46:49.505 of, of movement. 858 00:46:49.525 --> 00:46:52.225 And that is actually, uh, a, a, 859 00:46:52.225 --> 00:46:53.665 the most efficient way to do it. 860 00:46:54.005 --> 00:46:56.185 Um, and that's the byproduct using the vessel 861 00:46:56.245 --> 00:46:58.345 as a storage is of that efficiency and movement. 862 00:47:03.575 --> 00:47:06.325 Thank you, Mr. Tucker. Um, DFDS, 863 00:47:06.425 --> 00:47:07.845

Andrew Burn of DFDS. 864 00:47:07.985 --> 00:47:11.605 Um, so in our experience, the only time we do that, um, 865 00:47:11.905 --> 00:47:13.605 as a schedule is when we have a vessel 866 00:47:13.635 --> 00:47:16.525 that arrives on a Sunday and doesn't sail till Monday. 867 00:47:16.575 --> 00:47:19.325 We'll load whatever cargo we have on there. 868 00:47:19.555 --> 00:47:23.445 It's very, very small amounts, again, using our comparable, 869 00:47:23.625 --> 00:47:25.525 um, rotted and blading and service. 870 00:47:26.105 --> 00:47:29.885 Uh, our vessel, um, takes 450 trailer units. 871 00:47:30.465 --> 00:47:33.325 We discharge all those in around four hours from arrival. 872 00:47:33.665 --> 00:47:37.605 Uh, and then we load immediately back at what is available. 873 00:47:38.065 --> 00:47:41.245 And that tends to be around 40 to 50 units only, so 874 00:47:41.245 --> 00:47:42.565 around 10% capacity. 875 00:47:43.105 --> 00:47:46.165 The, the remaining 90% starts arriving on the key 876 00:47:46.675 --> 00:47:49.685 from about 2:00 PM So it's, uh, it's,

877 00:47:49.715 --> 00:47:51.405 it's not something we do in practice 878 00:47:51.565 --> 00:47:53.045 'cause the cargo isn't on the key available 879 00:47:53.105 --> 00:47:55.645 to put it on the vessel for the reasons I mentioned earlier. 880 00:47:57.695 --> 00:47:59.805 Thank you. And CLDN, 881 00:48:00.865 --> 00:48:02.045 Rob Owen for CLDN. 882 00:48:02.665 --> 00:48:05.365 So we will comment in more detail in our submissions, 883 00:48:05.385 --> 00:48:08.245 but, um, uh, my understanding 884 00:48:08.265 --> 00:48:09.365 and my instructions are that 885 00:48:09.365 --> 00:48:12.965 what Mr. Tucker has told you bears no resemblance to, 886 00:48:13.345 --> 00:48:14.925 to reality in our experience. 887 00:48:15.225 --> 00:48:18.525 Um, uh, at killing home, uh, 888 00:48:18.805 --> 00:48:23.045 operators do not currently, uh, uh, account for vessels 889 00:48:23.045 --> 00:48:27.125 as part of, uh, terminal capacity, uh, including stainer. 890 00:48:27.545 --> 00:48:31.645

Uh, we routinely find that HTVs are waiting on the road 891 00:48:31.755 --> 00:48:33.765 because the vessel hasn't started loading. 892 00:48:34.825 --> 00:48:36.445 We can add details in the note, as I say, 893 00:48:36.445 --> 00:48:39.925 but in in our view, what you've heard is simply, uh, 894 00:48:40.105 --> 00:48:41.525 not correct in our experience. 895 00:48:46.835 --> 00:48:50.885 Okay, thank you. I'm conscious I've got a lot of questions 896 00:48:50.945 --> 00:48:52.525 to get through, so, um, 897 00:48:53.685 --> 00:48:56.925 I, Unless the applicant really wants to jump in, 898 00:48:57.045 --> 00:48:59.205 I will move on to another point, 899 00:49:01.055 --> 00:49:03.525 James from the applicant Stanner are here, 900 00:49:03.545 --> 00:49:05.205 but, um, we're happy if you want 901 00:49:05.305 --> 00:49:07.125 to receive their response in writing 902 00:49:07.225 --> 00:49:08.685 or they can confirm what Mr. 903 00:49:08.725 --> 00:49:12.445 Tucker's said orally. I mean, it succumbs to the same thing.

904 00:49:13.605 --> 00:49:14.605 I I think a, a, 905 00:49:14.725 --> 00:49:16.925 a note in writing from Stanner would be be useful. 906 00:49:17.105 --> 00:49:20.725 Um, I liked Mr. Tucker's, uh, merry-go-round analogy. 907 00:49:20.915 --> 00:49:21.965 That was useful. 908 00:49:24.745 --> 00:49:28.325 Um, so looking to the applicant, um, 909 00:49:28.505 --> 00:49:32.205 and um, something that we've has already been, um, 910 00:49:32.435 --> 00:49:36.125 touched on, um, we seem to keep going round at, at these, 911 00:49:36.865 --> 00:49:37.885 uh, hearings. 912 00:49:38.145 --> 00:49:42.725 Um, given the consent that you're seeking through the DCO, 913 00:49:42.905 --> 00:49:44.885 um, is a maximum throughput 914 00:49:44.885 --> 00:49:49.045 of 660,000 units per year, um, 915 00:49:49.275 --> 00:49:53.605 without any sort of further controls of that, um, 916 00:49:53.605 --> 00:49:56.685 that would allow you the flexibility say, 917 00:49:56.785 --> 00:50:01.525

to potentially exceed the 1,800 units daily 918 00:50:01.825 --> 00:50:03.165 on on certain days. 919 00:50:03.905 --> 00:50:08.765 Um, obviously a point made repeatedly by DFDS and, and CLDN. 920 00:50:09.385 --> 00:50:13.125 Um, I suppose, um, there's a multiple questions to this. 921 00:50:13.265 --> 00:50:16.485 Um, can the proposed development cope with movements 922 00:50:16.505 --> 00:50:19.125 of more than 1,800 units daily? 923 00:50:19.985 --> 00:50:21.205 Um, and the follow up to 924 00:50:21.205 --> 00:50:24.525 that is should the transport assessment not be testing the 925 00:50:24.525 --> 00:50:25.725 impacts on the road network 926 00:50:26.265 --> 00:50:30.205 of moving more than 1,800 units in a, in a day? 927 00:50:33.825 --> 00:50:36.045 Uh, thank you sir. Simon Tucker for the applicant. 928 00:50:36.145 --> 00:50:41.085 So the position, um, that, that we've consistently taken is 929 00:50:41.085 --> 00:50:45.405 that the capacity of the terminal, practical capacity 930 00:50:45.445 --> 00:50:48.365 of the terminal is, is 1800 units per day.

931 00:50:48.425 --> 00:50:52.205 So that, that is the limit that it will be able to a, 932 00:50:52.275 --> 00:50:56.565 accommodate that the efficient working capacity is, is 80% 933 00:50:56.565 --> 00:50:58.125 of that, which we've talked about before. 934 00:50:58.905 --> 00:51:03.805 So the condition, um, the limit on, 935 00:51:03.945 --> 00:51:06.085 on a, on that basis isn't necessary 936 00:51:06.085 --> 00:51:09.445 because that's practically what the terminal can, can do. 937 00:51:09.745 --> 00:51:14.245 If, um, it is considered essential, then 938 00:51:15.145 --> 00:51:18.685 it is, i, I guess, feasible to have a a, a daily cap in, 939 00:51:18.685 --> 00:51:21.365 in the DCO, which would set the limit at the 1800 940 00:51:21.365 --> 00:51:23.845 that's been assessed in the, in the transport assessment. 941 00:51:34.245 --> 00:51:37.825 Um, I turn to, uh, perhaps just for variety, I'll go 942 00:51:37.825 --> 00:51:41.745 to CLDN first, then DFDS, um, uh, um, would, 943 00:51:41.745 --> 00:51:44.305 what would your, uh, comments be on that point? 944 00:51:45.245 --> 00:51:47.945

Uh, thank you sir. Robbie Owen for CRDN, uh, 945 00:51:48.165 --> 00:51:51.625 as you observe, sir, this is of concern to us in terms of 946 00:51:52.245 --> 00:51:54.625 the annual cap of six 60,000. 947 00:51:54.965 --> 00:51:58.225 Um, uh, I, uh, very much welcome 948 00:51:58.225 --> 00:52:01.185 what Mr. Tucker has just said, uh, that the applicant, 949 00:52:02.295 --> 00:52:04.625 what I understood him to say the applicant would, would, 950 00:52:04.675 --> 00:52:07.145 would consider a daily capital that was reasonable of 1800 951 00:52:07.145 --> 00:52:11.425 because that, uh, it is our concern that, 952 00:52:11.925 --> 00:52:13.865 um, uh, a figure 953 00:52:13.925 --> 00:52:15.945 of over 1800 has not been assessed 954 00:52:15.945 --> 00:52:16.985 in the transport assessment. 955 00:52:17.245 --> 00:52:19.505 Um, I think very briefly, if I can, uh, 956 00:52:19.605 --> 00:52:23.785 ask Mr. Ross on my right hand side, um, from, uh, 957 00:52:23.865 --> 00:52:25.425 R-H-D-H-V, you've heard from him

958 00:52:25.425 --> 00:52:29.545 before, um, to comment on, on, on this and, 959 00:52:29.645 --> 00:52:33.945 and practically, how would a daily cap 960 00:52:33.945 --> 00:52:36.785 of 1800 be sort of managed and, 961 00:52:36.805 --> 00:52:38.945 and enforce what sort of plans would be 962 00:52:39.465 --> 00:52:40.545 required to deliver that? 963 00:52:40.965 --> 00:52:41.965 Mr. Ross? 964 00:52:47.155 --> 00:52:51.665 Thank you, Mr. Darwin. Um, Andrew Ross for CLDN? 965 00:52:52.565 --> 00:52:57.185 Uh, yes, we, just to reiterate, we welcome the commitment 966 00:52:57.185 --> 00:53:00.345 to, uh, a daily cap of 1800 967 00:53:01.365 --> 00:53:03.945 and would be interested in the mechanisms 968 00:53:03.965 --> 00:53:06.905 and how that would be delivered. 969 00:53:07.405 --> 00:53:12.225 Um, it, it'd be typical in a, in a port environment 970 00:53:12.325 --> 00:53:16.025 for something like a freight management plan, um, 971 00:53:16.475 --> 00:53:19.785

which would contain the, the controls, um, 972 00:53:20.445 --> 00:53:22.025 the monitoring measures, 973 00:53:22.045 --> 00:53:24.585 and ultimately the enforcement if that cap 974 00:53:25.505 --> 00:53:26.505 Was exceeded. 975 00:53:32.005 --> 00:53:33.075 Thank you. And just 976 00:53:33.075 --> 00:53:35.635 before I go back to the applicant's, I'll, I'll turn to DFDS 977 00:53:36.475 --> 00:53:38.635 Isabella for DFDS. 978 00:53:39.495 --> 00:53:41.595 So we also welcome the offer. 979 00:53:41.755 --> 00:53:44.275 I think it was that a cap could be imposed. 980 00:53:44.925 --> 00:53:47.315 We've explained in various stages, 981 00:53:47.375 --> 00:53:49.795 if you pointed out why we consider that to be necessary. 982 00:53:50.415 --> 00:53:52.675 I'm not sure that there's anything we need to add 983 00:53:52.675 --> 00:53:54.195 to our written representations. 984 00:53:56.535 --> 00:53:58.675 Uh, for, for the reasons in our written representations,

985 00:53:58.675 --> 00:54:00.155 we do think it's essential to have 986 00:54:00.155 --> 00:54:01.875 that cap imposed daily cap. 987 00:54:08.165 --> 00:54:12.515 Thank you. Um, just going back to, uh, Mr. Tucker 988 00:54:12.615 --> 00:54:16.675 or somebody else on the, the applicant's team, um, uh, the, 989 00:54:16.675 --> 00:54:20.235 the, the point made about, um, sort of mechanisms for 990 00:54:20.375 --> 00:54:24.915 how you would practically secure, um, a, a daily cap. 991 00:54:25.075 --> 00:54:27.115 I think it actually sort of preempts a question 992 00:54:27.115 --> 00:54:30.795 that I had further on in a slightly different, um, context, 993 00:54:31.375 --> 00:54:35.195 but, um, would, would some, some sort of, uh, you know, 994 00:54:35.195 --> 00:54:39.155 freight management plan, HDV management plan update to the, 995 00:54:39.655 --> 00:54:44.035 um, travel plan that you've already, um, uh, got attached, 996 00:54:44.375 --> 00:54:48.435 um, to, to the, uh, DCO through the, the, the list of, um, 997 00:54:48.465 --> 00:54:50.715 certified documents as, as drafted. 998 00:54:52.415 --> 00:54:55.915

You know, what, what are your thoughts on, um, on that? 999 00:54:56.095 --> 00:55:00.875 How, how we, um, might secure practical, um, 1000 00:55:01.435 --> 00:55:02.955 delivery of that on a daily basis? 1001 00:55:06.685 --> 00:55:08.755 Thank you. Si, Simon Tucker for the applicant. 1002 00:55:08.895 --> 00:55:11.795 Um, I, I suppose firstly sir, the, um, if, 1003 00:55:11.795 --> 00:55:14.915 if there were an 1800 cap in the, in the DCO, that would be 1004 00:55:15.425 --> 00:55:16.955 part of the, the consent. 1005 00:55:17.095 --> 00:55:21.075 So reaching it would be in contravention 1006 00:55:21.135 --> 00:55:22.955 of the development consent order. 1007 00:55:23.295 --> 00:55:27.195 So, um, whether it needs management in that respect 1008 00:55:27.215 --> 00:55:29.395 or not, it's, it's, it would be an absolute 1009 00:55:29.925 --> 00:55:31.235 limit on what's permitted. 1010 00:55:35.125 --> 00:55:39.995 Could you add, Um, if the, uh, sorry, 1011 00:55:40.055 --> 00:55:42.835 sir, I thought you were still writing.

1012 00:55:42.935 --> 00:55:43.435 So wait. 1013 00:55:48.055 --> 00:55:50.675 Um, in terms of the, the freight management plan, um, 1014 00:55:50.895 --> 00:55:53.155 that's something that we could, we could have a, 1015 00:55:53.695 --> 00:55:56.195 we could consider and give some thought to if, if 1016 00:55:56.195 --> 00:55:57.795 that's something that you're, you're keen to see. 1017 00:56:01.605 --> 00:56:04.995 Thank you. I, I think just, um, with the XA would, 1018 00:56:05.045 --> 00:56:08.635 would welcome a consideration of, you know, how, how best 1019 00:56:08.635 --> 00:56:10.155 to achieve that. 1020 00:56:10.615 --> 00:56:11.795 Um, yeah. 1021 00:56:11.935 --> 00:56:16.275 And later on we, we've got some questions around, um, 1022 00:56:16.665 --> 00:56:20.555 sort of mitigations, um, uh, um, sort 1023 00:56:20.555 --> 00:56:23.725 of operational controls that made us think some sort of, 1024 00:56:24.065 --> 00:56:25.325 you know, management plan, 1025 00:56:25.325 --> 00:56:27.765

operational plan might be helpful. 1026 00:56:29.105 --> 00:56:30.365 Oh, um, Mr. Owen, 1027 00:56:31.345 --> 00:56:32.565 Robbie Owen for CLDN. 1028 00:56:33.025 --> 00:56:36.325 So I wonder if we could also explore, um, this issue. 1029 00:56:36.485 --> 00:56:38.005 'cause we're, we're, Wr. 1030 00:56:38.005 --> 00:56:42.365 Tucker's referred to the, um, 80% 1031 00:56:43.275 --> 00:56:45.445 maximum practical capacity, I think is the phrase 1032 00:56:45.785 --> 00:56:48.645 or similar phrase to, to that that's been used. 1033 00:56:49.065 --> 00:56:52.845 Uh, and therefore it, it, it's not immediately clear to us 1034 00:56:53.985 --> 00:56:58.405 why, uh, in addition to a daily peak 1035 00:56:58.425 --> 00:57:02.845 of 1800, which we would agree with why the, um, uh, 1036 00:57:02.845 --> 00:57:07.725 annual peak, um, should not be five two 5,000 1037 00:57:07.865 --> 00:57:09.525 as opposed to six 60,000 1038 00:57:09.525 --> 00:57:13.365 because we, we, we we're told that 80%,

1039 00:57:13.545 --> 00:57:15.165 namely five two 5,000, 1040 00:57:15.505 --> 00:57:19.205 not six 60,000 is the practical maximum. 1041 00:57:19.305 --> 00:57:21.325 And therefore, what purpose is served 1042 00:57:21.585 --> 00:57:25.325 by the DCO nevertheless capping at the six 60,000? 1043 00:57:25.865 --> 00:57:28.365 Um, uh, it, it, it, 1044 00:57:28.565 --> 00:57:31.725 'cause it seems to us that given the agreed, uh, 1045 00:57:31.745 --> 00:57:36.085 as per the transport SOCG peaking factor of 1.25, 1046 00:57:37.865 --> 00:57:41.845 um, the, uh, uh, annual amount of 1047 00:57:43.275 --> 00:57:47.885 525,000, as I understand it averages out at 1440 a day. 1048 00:57:48.305 --> 00:57:50.565 And then if you peak that, um, 1049 00:57:50.825 --> 00:57:52.645 as per the agreed peaking factor, 1050 00:57:52.645 --> 00:57:54.245 that I believe gets you to 1800. 1051 00:57:54.745 --> 00:57:56.285 So I'm not entirely sure. 1052 00:57:56.325 --> 00:57:58.765

I think we just need to understand the thinking behind 1053 00:57:59.545 --> 00:58:01.765 why we still talking about six 60,000. 1054 00:58:01.945 --> 00:58:04.325 We understand why we're talking about 1800 1055 00:58:04.525 --> 00:58:06.525 'cause that's what's been assessed, uh, as well 1056 00:58:06.525 --> 00:58:08.165 as an average of 1440. 1057 00:58:09.025 --> 00:58:11.485 Um, but so, so why are we, why are we still referring 1058 00:58:11.485 --> 00:58:13.885 to six 60, not five, two, 5,000? 1059 00:58:13.945 --> 00:58:16.285 We haven't had clarity on that point. 1060 00:58:16.545 --> 00:58:17.885 We don't feel from the applicant. 1061 00:58:21.015 --> 00:58:23.245 Thank you, Mr. Rowan. I appreciate that. 1062 00:58:23.265 --> 00:58:26.325 That's probably quite a big question for the applicant 1063 00:58:26.345 --> 00:58:27.405 to perhaps respond to. 1064 00:58:27.945 --> 00:58:30.365 Um, now it might be something you want to 1065 00:58:31.165 --> 00:58:34.005 consider in writing, but, um, happy if you want

1066 00:58:34.005 --> 00:58:35.245 to make a response now, 1067 00:58:35.455 --> 00:58:36.685 James Storm for the applicant. 1068 00:58:36.865 --> 00:58:40.165 Uh, I can, I think I can respond briefly now. 1069 00:58:40.545 --> 00:58:44.965 The, uh, Mr. Tucker identified, um, the position 1070 00:58:45.075 --> 00:58:47.685 that he, as for the reasons he explained, 1071 00:58:47.685 --> 00:58:52.045 didn't consider the 1800 cap to be necessary, 1072 00:58:52.345 --> 00:58:56.165 but identified if you thought it was necessary, 1073 00:58:56.905 --> 00:59:01.565 it can be imposed if it is imposed logically, one can't get 1074 00:59:01.585 --> 00:59:05.845 to six 60 on my maths, um, of 1075 00:59:06.445 --> 00:59:09.285 multiplying the daily cap. 1076 00:59:10.065 --> 00:59:11.365 That's why has gone wrong. 1077 00:59:11.365 --> 00:59:12.645 You're gonna tell me I math has gone 1078 00:59:12.645 --> 00:59:13.645 Wrong. I think it would, I 1079 00:59:13.645 --> 00:59:14.845

think that's the 1800 1080 00:59:14.845 --> 00:59:15.965 would, would get you to the 1081 00:59:15.965 --> 00:59:16.965 Sixth. Well, in which case I 1082 00:59:16.965 --> 00:59:17.765 probably should have taken the 1083 00:59:17.765 --> 00:59:19.485 invitation to respond in writing. 1084 00:59:20.625 --> 00:59:21.625 Um, 1085 00:59:23.545 --> 00:59:25.285 Why, why do you think inspectors are told 1086 00:59:25.285 --> 00:59:26.485 not to do math? Yeah, 1087 00:59:27.015 --> 00:59:28.015 Sorry. Yes, 1088 00:59:28.015 --> 00:59:30.965 the same figure, I think, sorry, 1089 00:59:32.505 --> 00:59:35.085 you probably do don't need an annual cap. 1090 00:59:35.105 --> 00:59:38.885 If you have a daily cap, put it that way, you wouldn't need 1091 00:59:39.085 --> 00:59:41.725 to replace the number in the annual cap, 1092 00:59:42.425 --> 00:59:45.445 but query, um, its utility.

1093 00:59:46.905 --> 00:59:49.325 But, uh, we can reflect on that 1094 00:59:50.745 --> 00:59:54.965 If it might sit, if I understand correctly, the six 60,000 1095 00:59:55.525 --> 00:59:58.205 absolute maximum, and that's what's been assisted in the TA 1096 00:59:58.625 --> 01:00:01.845 and other elements of the environmental statement. 1097 01:00:03.465 --> 01:00:06.645 The practical daily capacity 1098 01:00:07.745 --> 01:00:10.565 is about 80% of that, which gives you the 1440, 1099 01:00:12.485 --> 01:00:14.045 I presume really what this is about. 1100 01:00:14.215 --> 01:00:17.765 There will be occasions when potentially 1101 01:00:18.545 --> 01:00:21.045 say Dover is closed for whatever reason, 1102 01:00:21.045 --> 01:00:22.325 because industrial action 1103 01:00:22.325 --> 01:00:23.845 or something that's affected the operation 1104 01:00:23.845 --> 01:00:27.005 of do an operator's switch or need to switch 1105 01:00:28.105 --> 01:00:31.565 and killing a home, might be able to, on those days, 1106 01:00:31.755 --> 01:00:35.645

take a bit extra if the market demands that on, 1107 01:00:35.785 --> 01:00:36.885 on that particular day. 1108 01:00:37.265 --> 01:00:40.525 But the general, if I've understood the 80% figure, the, 1109 01:00:40.545 --> 01:00:44.125 the norm is you are, you are reckoning that steeno 1110 01:00:44.185 --> 01:00:48.245 or whoever would be able to put 1,440 units 1111 01:00:48.385 --> 01:00:50.005 or thereabouts through the facility. 1112 01:00:51.105 --> 01:00:55.085 Is that sort of really, um, where the difference 1113 01:00:55.085 --> 01:00:57.365 between the 80% IE 1114 01:00:57.365 --> 01:01:01.845 5, 2 5, 520 5,000 units, pram 1115 01:01:01.845 --> 01:01:05.245 and pram per year as the norm versus 1116 01:01:05.395 --> 01:01:08.805 what the maximum six 60,000 units is all about? 1117 01:01:12.575 --> 01:01:14.995 So I'm James to storm the applicant. Mr. 1118 01:01:14.995 --> 01:01:17.595 Tucker's gonna respond. I think you mentioned killing him. 1119 01:01:17.795 --> 01:01:19.195 I think you meant immingham, but

1120 01:01:19.495 --> 01:01:21.515 but just for the, yeah, for, 1121 01:01:24.275 --> 01:01:25.695 Uh, Simon Tucker for the applicant. 1122 01:01:25.795 --> 01:01:27.895 So, so that's, that, that's, that's correct. 1123 01:01:28.355 --> 01:01:31.095 Um, just to be clear though, the 1124 01:01:33.285 --> 01:01:35.645 transport assessment starting point was the capacity 1125 01:01:35.705 --> 01:01:37.605 of 1800 units per day 1126 01:01:37.745 --> 01:01:41.405 and the 660 is derived from the 1800, 1127 01:01:41.705 --> 01:01:43.005 not, not the other way round. 1128 01:01:44.145 --> 01:01:48.445 Um, so the, the reason why a daily cap would 1129 01:01:49.515 --> 01:01:51.525 supersede an annual cap is that you, 1130 01:01:51.585 --> 01:01:53.525 you couldn't exceed 1800 a day, 1131 01:01:53.525 --> 01:01:55.485 which is what's been assessed in the environmental 1132 01:01:55.775 --> 01:01:57.165 assessment generally. 1133 01:01:58.025 --> 01:02:02.325

Um, and therefore it covers the base position that 1134 01:02:02.985 --> 01:02:04.525 all the concerns that have been raised, 1135 01:02:04.715 --> 01:02:07.245 that there might be a higher number going 1136 01:02:07.245 --> 01:02:09.125 through the terminal, and therefore the TA 1137 01:02:09.425 --> 01:02:12.485 and other elements of the assessment aren't robust. 1138 01:02:12.665 --> 01:02:17.565 So replacing an annual cap with a daily cap gives more 1139 01:02:18.445 --> 01:02:20.645 specific control, if you like, over that, 1140 01:02:20.815 --> 01:02:21.885 which has been assessed. 1141 01:02:27.175 --> 01:02:31.865 Okay, thank you again, conscious of, uh, of, of time. 1142 01:02:32.125 --> 01:02:36.425 So, um, um, a, a again, it's a question for the applicant, 1143 01:02:36.485 --> 01:02:40.945 but based on dfd s's, um, uh, rep 1144 01:02:41.485 --> 01:02:46.105 six, uh, 0 3 8, um, paragraph 134 of that, 1145 01:02:46.845 --> 01:02:50.065 um, expresses concerns that evidence has not been 1146 01:02:50.305 --> 01:02:53.305 provided of how simultaneous construction

1147 01:02:53.325 --> 01:02:55.585 and operation have been assessed. 1148 01:02:56.245 --> 01:02:59.345 Um, I know in your rep, 1149 01:02:59.535 --> 01:03:02.265 5034, um, 1150 01:03:02.575 --> 01:03:07.065 paragraph 5.16, um, you said that sort 1151 01:03:07.065 --> 01:03:11.065 of following consideration by technical assessors of both 1152 01:03:12.025 --> 01:03:14.625 scenarios, so, um, simultaneous 1153 01:03:14.805 --> 01:03:18.105 or sequentially, um, the worst case scenario for, 1154 01:03:18.105 --> 01:03:22.105 for using in, in, in the ES for testing, the worst case, uh, 1155 01:03:22.155 --> 01:03:25.425 would be construction then operation sequentially. 1156 01:03:26.085 --> 01:03:29.265 Um, but I think the point the FDS are making is, um, 1157 01:03:29.415 --> 01:03:33.025 this testing of both scenarios isn't sort of referred 1158 01:03:33.025 --> 01:03:33.905 to in the, in the 1159 01:03:34.105 --> 01:03:38.465 ES sorry. 1160 01:03:38.605 --> 01:03:43.105

And I'll just look to DFDS to um, sort of nod 1161 01:03:43.105 --> 01:03:45.785 that hopefully that's, that's, uh, uh, we've sort 1162 01:03:45.785 --> 01:03:47.865 of interpreted your concerns correctly there. 1163 01:03:48.105 --> 01:03:50.385 Isabella Tfor for DFDS, uh, 1164 01:03:50.465 --> 01:03:51.825 I think the point is there's no, 1165 01:03:51.935 --> 01:03:53.825 there's insufficient analysis of 1166 01:03:53.885 --> 01:03:55.825 how the conclusion has been reached, that 1167 01:03:55.825 --> 01:03:57.065 that is the worst case. 1168 01:03:57.125 --> 01:03:58.825 We, we haven't been able to interrogate 1169 01:03:58.825 --> 01:04:00.985 or understand why that's said to be the case. 1170 01:04:02.475 --> 01:04:06.065 Thank you for that clarification. Um, Mr. 1171 01:04:06.325 --> 01:04:07.385 str or Mr. Tucker 1172 01:04:10.235 --> 01:04:14.825 James STR for the applicant, sir, we, we'll come back 1173 01:04:14.825 --> 01:04:17.545 to you and see whether we think it's necessary

1174 01:04:17.545 --> 01:04:20.065 to provide you with more analysis 1175 01:04:20.065 --> 01:04:23.505 or whether that'd be useful if you require it, um, to, to 1176 01:04:24.505 --> 01:04:25.465 underpin that point 1177 01:04:31.315 --> 01:04:34.415 or give you the now more details as to 1178 01:04:34.415 --> 01:04:36.935 how the conclusion was reached in effect. 1179 01:04:38.085 --> 01:04:40.575 Okay. Tha thank you for, for that. 1180 01:04:41.355 --> 01:04:43.855 Uh, that'll be useful as a, an action point. 1181 01:04:45.075 --> 01:04:49.335 Um, again, I think in, in sort of, uh, for expediency, 1182 01:04:49.575 --> 01:04:52.935 I don't think we're gonna, we've got any, uh, more questions 1183 01:04:53.155 --> 01:04:56.455 to pursue under, uh, this agenda item A, 1184 01:04:56.515 --> 01:05:00.495 but I will just quickly, um, give, um, 1185 01:05:01.145 --> 01:05:04.335 interested parties a chance if they've got anything that we, 1186 01:05:04.355 --> 01:05:07.135 we think we haven't covered. 1187 01:05:09.155 --> 01:05:10.535

Um, CLDN is there, 1188 01:05:10.535 --> 01:05:13.015 is there anything under this agenda item additionally 1189 01:05:13.015 --> 01:05:14.855 that you wanted to raise? 1190 01:05:20.975 --> 01:05:22.275 Uh, robo and CLDN, 1191 01:05:22.275 --> 01:05:24.635 this is agenda item three A, isn't it still? 1192 01:05:24.855 --> 01:05:28.475 Uh, yes. Uh, no. Nothing more on that item. Thank you. 1193 01:05:29.285 --> 01:05:32.875 Thank you. And DFDS any, anything else on, um, three A 1194 01:05:33.715 --> 01:05:36.115 Isabella to, for DFDS? 1195 01:05:36.665 --> 01:05:40.715 Just to say that we, in response to Mr. 1196 01:05:40.715 --> 01:05:43.515 Tucker's point about, well, if there's a daily limit, then 1197 01:05:43.895 --> 01:05:46.475 that's sufficient because, you know, it would be a breach 1198 01:05:46.475 --> 01:05:47.875 of the DCO and a criminal offense 1199 01:05:47.935 --> 01:05:48.995 to fail to comply with that. 1200 01:05:49.295 --> 01:05:50.435 We do think it is important

1201 01:05:50.435 --> 01:05:52.955 that further consideration is given us to the monitoring of 1202 01:05:52.955 --> 01:05:56.355 that, um, and a freight management plan 1203 01:05:56.415 --> 01:05:59.315 or whichever best way the applicant thinks, because 1204 01:05:59.315 --> 01:06:03.195 otherwise how will anybody know whether it's been exceeded? 1205 01:06:07.005 --> 01:06:07.715 Noted. Thank you. 1206 01:06:13.225 --> 01:06:17.555 Okay. Um, with, with that, um, we will move on to, um, 1207 01:06:18.505 --> 01:06:21.795 item, uh, BI think I've, I've got a couple of questions. 1208 01:06:21.795 --> 01:06:23.195 I think Graham, you, you might have, 1209 01:06:23.555 --> 01:06:27.435 I think you said you have additional, uh, one, um, so to, 1210 01:06:27.435 --> 01:06:31.955 to the applicant, um, in, um, paragraphs, 1211 01:06:32.415 --> 01:06:33.515 um, 51 1212 01:06:33.695 --> 01:06:38.235 and 52 of uh, DDSS, um, 1213 01:06:38.695 --> 01:06:43.315 rep six, uh, 0 38, uh, you'll probably have seen 1214 01:06:43.505 --> 01:06:46.555

that they are submitting that there may be a contradiction 1215 01:06:47.095 --> 01:06:50.715 in the way your, um, consultants, uh, 1216 01:06:50.975 --> 01:06:54.635 expect the entry lanes to the East Gate 1217 01:06:55.335 --> 01:06:56.395 to be used. 1218 01:06:57.135 --> 01:07:00.755 Um, IE uh, both lanes being available for use 1219 01:07:00.935 --> 01:07:02.315 by HG vs. 1220 01:07:02.895 --> 01:07:05.515 Uh, as opposed to the near side 1221 01:07:05.695 --> 01:07:10.115 or left lane being dedicated to cars and vans. 1222 01:07:10.415 --> 01:07:14.315 Uh, as is shown on sheet three of, um, as 1223 01:07:14.855 --> 01:07:19.515 29, the general arrangement plans, um, would you be able 1224 01:07:19.515 --> 01:07:23.475 to clarify, uh, what the intentions are for the operation 1225 01:07:23.475 --> 01:07:25.795 of entry lanes for the East Gate, please? 1226 01:07:29.415 --> 01:07:31.795 Uh, Simon Tucker for the applicant. Uh, thank you sir. 1227 01:07:31.895 --> 01:07:35.915 So I could I just proceed that, um, my answer to that

1228 01:07:35.915 --> 01:07:38.235 with just a general comment on the, um, Eastgate 1229 01:07:38.235 --> 01:07:40.355 and Westgate capacity assessment, 1230 01:07:40.365 --> 01:07:42.275 which was an action point you'll remember, 1231 01:07:42.275 --> 01:07:46.275 came out I think from the, the, um, previous hearings. 1232 01:07:46.295 --> 01:07:48.355 And you'll have seen in the, the statement of common ground 1233 01:07:48.355 --> 01:07:51.715 that it's marked as orange as a matter under discussion. 1234 01:07:51.775 --> 01:07:52.835 And, um, Mr. 1235 01:07:53.095 --> 01:07:56.995 East's, uh, commentary there reflects a position 1236 01:07:57.775 --> 01:07:59.435 as a rep six obviously. 1237 01:07:59.655 --> 01:08:02.395 Um, since then we have had a further dialogue 1238 01:08:02.395 --> 01:08:05.755 and, um, as I understand it, we're now agreed on 1239 01:08:05.755 --> 01:08:09.795 that methodology subject to, um, 1240 01:08:10.505 --> 01:08:11.915 clarification of the question 1241 01:08:11.915 --> 01:08:13.315

that you've just asked basically. 1242 01:08:13.455 --> 01:08:17.365 And, and, and so the, um, the answer to it is that, um, 1243 01:08:17.865 --> 01:08:22.005 the current drawings do show, uh, with an annotation 1244 01:08:22.105 --> 01:08:24.645 and an arrow that the, that the, um, 1245 01:08:24.645 --> 01:08:26.925 left hand lane will be dedicated to, to cars. 1246 01:08:26.945 --> 01:08:30.325 That's not the intention of the operation of, of the, 1247 01:08:30.865 --> 01:08:32.205 of the East Gate. 1248 01:08:32.425 --> 01:08:34.205 The intention is that it will be available 1249 01:08:34.425 --> 01:08:37.685 for both lanes will be available for all vehicles. 1250 01:08:38.825 --> 01:08:41.605 And we particularly think that's helpful for left-hand drive 1251 01:08:42.915 --> 01:08:46.445 HTVs, for example, to be able to use both facilities. 1252 01:08:46.705 --> 01:08:48.485 So, or either facility, I should say. 1253 01:08:49.265 --> 01:08:52.805 Um, the change notification that you discussed this morning, 1254 01:08:53.305 --> 01:08:56.725 um, or this afternoon when, when you see it, you'll see that

1255 01:08:56.725 --> 01:08:58.205 that annotation has been removed. 1256 01:08:58.225 --> 01:09:02.845 To be clear that that's no longer, um, part of the scheme, 1257 01:09:03.745 --> 01:09:07.685 um, the section 2 7 8 agreement that's being progressed 1258 01:09:07.685 --> 01:09:09.125 with Northeast links in terms 1259 01:09:09.125 --> 01:09:11.365 of the design also doesn't include any, 1260 01:09:12.465 --> 01:09:14.085 um, allocation of lanes. 1261 01:09:19.775 --> 01:09:21.445 Thank you for that clarification. 1262 01:09:21.795 --> 01:09:23.765 I'll come to DFDS in in a moment. 1263 01:09:24.465 --> 01:09:29.045 Um, just, just a, a sort of very quick follow up on that. 1264 01:09:29.265 --> 01:09:33.605 Um, I seem to remember in the, um, transport assessment, um, 1265 01:09:33.905 --> 01:09:37.645 one of the sort of benefits being extolled of, 1266 01:09:37.705 --> 01:09:42.685 of having the car lane only, um, was that it would have, 1267 01:09:42.785 --> 01:09:45.085 uh, automatic number plate recognition 1268 01:09:45.105 --> 01:09:49.165

and that lane would sort of, albeit small vehicles, 1269 01:09:49.185 --> 01:09:51.725 but it would flow, um, smoothly. 1270 01:09:52.505 --> 01:09:55.365 Um, would, would the change you're talking about, um, 1271 01:09:55.715 --> 01:09:58.045 have sort of any detriment to something 1272 01:09:58.045 --> 01:09:59.445 that you were flagging up as a benefit 1273 01:10:00.005 --> 01:10:01.405 previously in the transport assessment? 1274 01:10:03.465 --> 01:10:04.885 Uh, Simon Tucker for the applicant, 1275 01:10:04.885 --> 01:10:07.405 it doesn't have any material impact on, 1276 01:10:07.705 --> 01:10:10.925 on the transport assessment as a, as an as a point. 1277 01:10:11.785 --> 01:10:16.765 Um, the AMPR is, is being looked at outside of the, the DCO, 1278 01:10:16.905 --> 01:10:18.045 um, by ABP. 1279 01:10:18.945 --> 01:10:23.885 Um, and that was, um, an assumed work way of working. 1280 01:10:24.345 --> 01:10:26.765 Um, but it, so it's not part of the DCO, it's not been 1281 01:10:27.565 --> 01:10:29.405 included in any of the assessments.

1282 01:10:29.945 --> 01:10:34.245 Um, and ultimately, if necessary, 1283 01:10:34.265 --> 01:10:35.885 the NPR, 'cause it's a camera, 1284 01:10:36.025 --> 01:10:38.405 it could pick up vehicles approaching it at both 1285 01:10:38.405 --> 01:10:39.525 lanes if it was implemented. 1286 01:10:39.525 --> 01:10:41.245 So it doesn't affect it in any way. 1287 01:10:43.655 --> 01:10:45.605 Thank you for that explanation. 1288 01:10:46.585 --> 01:10:50.445 Um, yeah, I mean, um, that's sounds like, uh, 1289 01:10:50.445 --> 01:10:52.605 hopefully good, good progress, uh, 1290 01:10:53.055 --> 01:10:55.805 being made since the statement of common ground on, 1291 01:10:55.825 --> 01:10:56.885 on East and Westgate. 1292 01:10:57.465 --> 01:11:01.005 Um, I'll come to DFDS to confirm if, if 1293 01:11:01.005 --> 01:11:03.565 that is the position on agreed methodology. 1294 01:11:03.885 --> 01:11:06.925 'cause that actually came to another later question that, 1295 01:11:06.955 --> 01:11:10.885

that I had, that the, um, statements, common ground seemed 1296 01:11:10.885 --> 01:11:13.965 to, uh, refer to scenarios for the, 1297 01:11:14.025 --> 01:11:16.085 the East West Gate split. 1298 01:11:16.745 --> 01:11:20.045 Um, and it didn't seem to include the, um, the 1299 01:11:20.115 --> 01:11:22.765 what DFDS was saying as a worst case scenario, 1300 01:11:23.115 --> 01:11:25.725 that should be tested for the East Gate of a hundred percent 1301 01:11:25.745 --> 01:11:26.845 of traffic. 1302 01:11:27.185 --> 01:11:29.965 Um, so perhaps if, if I come to DFDS 1303 01:11:29.965 --> 01:11:34.845 and just, um, yeah, check what, what has been agreed 1304 01:11:34.845 --> 01:11:37.005 with Eastern Westgate methodology, 1305 01:11:38.265 --> 01:11:42.445 Uh, Isabella for DFDS, I think that there are, 1306 01:11:43.245 --> 01:11:45.005 I think that there are two slightly separate issues 1307 01:11:45.005 --> 01:11:46.525 with the Eastern Westgate, and one I think is, 1308 01:11:47.145 --> 01:11:48.645 um, Ms. Simon.

1309 01:11:48.915 --> 01:11:52.045 Yeah, one, one is assignment to the Eastern Westgate 1310 01:11:52.045 --> 01:11:54.325 where there has been that difference between the parties. 1311 01:11:55.225 --> 01:11:57.045 We understand, um, 1312 01:11:57.465 --> 01:12:00.885 and I'm sure the applicant will correct me if I'm wrong, 1313 01:12:00.955 --> 01:12:05.365 that the position is as set out in paragraph 12 of our rep, 1314 01:12:05.705 --> 01:12:09.885 6 0 3 8, where it's our understanding 1315 01:12:09.995 --> 01:12:12.645 that the applicant is going to undertake a number of 1316 01:12:13.675 --> 01:12:17.885 further sensitivity assessments, which will include, um, 1317 01:12:20.595 --> 01:12:24.765 looking at 60 and 40% assignment to the East Westgate 1318 01:12:24.765 --> 01:12:29.565 and a hundred and 0% to the Eastern Westgate, uh, 1319 01:12:29.565 --> 01:12:30.565 Other way around. So 40% 1320 01:12:30.565 --> 01:12:32.005 Eastgate, 6% of Westgate, 1321 01:12:32.355 --> 01:12:35.005 Okay, sorry, 40% Eastgate, 60% Westgate. 1322 01:12:35.045 --> 01:12:37.565

I got the, I got the gates wrong, the wrong way around. 1323 01:12:37.865 --> 01:12:39.565 So that is further work 1324 01:12:39.635 --> 01:12:42.645 that we understand the applicant has committed to undertake 1325 01:12:42.665 --> 01:12:44.165 and is in statement of common ground. 1326 01:12:44.785 --> 01:12:47.045 Uh, and then I think there's a slightly narrower issue 1327 01:12:47.045 --> 01:12:51.485 with this lane, um, reallocation, 1328 01:12:52.025 --> 01:12:54.085 uh, that you've just been exploring with Mr. Tucker. 1329 01:12:54.085 --> 01:12:56.445 And I'll ask Mr. East just to confirm the position on that. 1330 01:12:59.025 --> 01:13:02.485 So Matthew East from DFDS, um, the assessments 1331 01:13:02.485 --> 01:13:05.005 that we've been working with the applicant's team on 1332 01:13:05.065 --> 01:13:08.365 around the gatehouse assessments, we bel we understand 1333 01:13:08.385 --> 01:13:11.325 and we've been going through the reviews that both the east 1334 01:13:11.385 --> 01:13:12.885 and west gate has been assessed 1335 01:13:12.985 --> 01:13:15.805 for a hundred percent demand on both of those,

1336 01:13:15.865 --> 01:13:18.605 but only at the gate, not the proceeding junctions 1337 01:13:18.625 --> 01:13:20.605 or, uh, external junctions or internal junctions. 1338 01:13:20.605 --> 01:13:23.085 That's the gate assignment piece 1339 01:13:23.085 --> 01:13:24.205 that goes on further beyond that. 1340 01:13:24.545 --> 01:13:26.765 We highlighted, as you rightly pointed out there, 1341 01:13:26.835 --> 01:13:30.005 that the second lane on the east gate was for vans 1342 01:13:30.005 --> 01:13:32.285 and cars only through recent discussions, 1343 01:13:32.625 --> 01:13:34.885 the applicants committed to providing 1344 01:13:34.885 --> 01:13:37.125 that change notification, which changes it from one lane, 1345 01:13:37.265 --> 01:13:39.845 uh, HT V access to two lanes HT V access. 1346 01:13:40.545 --> 01:13:43.565 Um, and we note that there is those flow, uh, 1347 01:13:43.985 --> 01:13:45.205 Uh, Flow and effects 1348 01:13:45.225 --> 01:13:48.205 to both the cars entering the East Gate as well 1349 01:13:48.205 --> 01:13:49.285

as those turning in the Paul 1350 01:13:49.285 --> 01:13:50.765 Road as we're going through that. 1351 01:13:50.785 --> 01:13:52.405 But we believe from the analysis 1352 01:13:52.705 --> 01:13:55.165 and looking at when the peaks occur, that moves us away from 1353 01:13:55.165 --> 01:13:56.725 that, that, uh, those concerns. 1354 01:14:01.885 --> 01:14:05.295 Okay, thank you. Um, Mr. Tucker, did you have any, 1355 01:14:05.355 --> 01:14:07.135 uh, response to that? 1356 01:14:07.475 --> 01:14:09.455 Thanks, sir. Simon Tucker for the applicant. 1357 01:14:09.555 --> 01:14:12.165 Um, yeah, just, just to be crystal clear then, we, 1358 01:14:12.165 --> 01:14:15.885 we are agreed that the capacity of the security gates 1359 01:14:17.135 --> 01:14:20.565 isn't a constraint to, to the assignment of traffic, 1360 01:14:20.705 --> 01:14:25.205 and that in both cases we've agreed that 100% 1361 01:14:25.205 --> 01:14:27.725 of the traffic could use either and 1362 01:14:27.745 --> 01:14:30.725 and remain acceptable in terms of its operation.

1363 01:14:30.905 --> 01:14:34.125 So, um, the, the rest of the, 1364 01:14:34.185 --> 01:14:37.045 the work about the sensitivity test is, is, is correct. 1365 01:14:37.045 --> 01:14:41.685 We're testing an assumption of 60% 1366 01:14:41.825 --> 01:14:44.925 of traffic using Westgate and 40% using Eastgate. 1367 01:15:01.005 --> 01:15:02.295 Okay. Thank, thank you for that. 1368 01:15:04.315 --> 01:15:08.815 Um, and just, just a, a sort of question, um, for applicant, 1369 01:15:08.955 --> 01:15:10.215 uh, and DFDS 1370 01:15:10.215 --> 01:15:14.695 and CLDN, um, can I, can we, the XI take it that as, 1371 01:15:15.075 --> 01:15:19.975 uh, GDSs plan has been appended to the signed 1372 01:15:20.575 --> 01:15:22.895 transport statement of common ground, um, 1373 01:15:22.965 --> 01:15:26.815 there's now agreement between parties about the sort 1374 01:15:26.815 --> 01:15:30.375 of numbers and locations for local facilities 1375 01:15:30.395 --> 01:15:34.735 to be taken into account that might influence traffic, um, 1376 01:15:35.195 --> 01:15:38.015

you know, perhaps using east or West Gates, um, 1377 01:15:38.955 --> 01:15:40.535 and yeah, are, 1378 01:15:40.675 --> 01:15:43.655 are all pa I think we've slightly answered this one. 1379 01:15:43.655 --> 01:15:45.535 Are all parties, um, now happy 1380 01:15:45.535 --> 01:15:48.135 that the scenario's being tested for the distribution 1381 01:15:48.135 --> 01:15:50.895 of vehicles between the gates is appropriate? 1382 01:15:51.835 --> 01:15:54.215 Uh, perhaps start with CLDN, 1383 01:15:56.395 --> 01:15:57.615 Robbie, 0 and CLDN? 1384 01:15:57.915 --> 01:16:00.215 Yes, sir. We are happy in that respect, given 1385 01:16:00.215 --> 01:16:02.615 that it's in the SOCG, which has been agreed. 1386 01:16:05.705 --> 01:16:08.625 DFTS, Isabella to Ford, DFDS, Mr. 1387 01:16:08.625 --> 01:16:10.465 East produced that plan, so it'd be unusual 1388 01:16:10.465 --> 01:16:11.625 for him to disagree with it, sir. 1389 01:16:13.035 --> 01:16:17.865 Thank you. Um, great. Thank you.

1390 01:16:17.925 --> 01:16:19.145 And, uh, Mr. Tucker, 1391 01:16:19.595 --> 01:16:20.595 Thank you, sir. Simon Tucker 1392 01:16:20.595 --> 01:16:21.425 for the applicant. 1393 01:16:21.525 --> 01:16:23.985 So there are two plans that are agreed in terms 1394 01:16:23.985 --> 01:16:26.545 of facilities on the local network. 1395 01:16:26.885 --> 01:16:28.985 Um, item seven in the statement of common ground 1396 01:16:30.645 --> 01:16:34.945 rep 6 0 1 oh refers to a plan that we prepared in response 1397 01:16:34.945 --> 01:16:36.545 to your TT 1.2. 1398 01:16:36.645 --> 01:16:39.745 So that deals with public facilities on the network. 1399 01:16:41.245 --> 01:16:45.345 Um, it also deals with, um, the location of 1400 01:16:46.105 --> 01:16:49.225 existing restrictions for HGV movements on local roads 1401 01:16:49.225 --> 01:16:50.905 and car parking restrictions. 1402 01:16:51.925 --> 01:16:55.185 Um, and that's pertinent, um, not necessarily to the, 1403 01:16:55.185 --> 01:16:59.185

the distribution of assignments of traffic, sorry. 1404 01:16:59.185 --> 01:17:00.705 But it is pertinent to, to one 1405 01:17:00.705 --> 01:17:02.745 of the questions you asked previously about, um, 1406 01:17:02.845 --> 01:17:05.105 impact on amenity, for example, so 1407 01:17:05.105 --> 01:17:06.145 that that is agreed as well. 1408 01:17:07.045 --> 01:17:11.845 Um, in terms of the third party HGV facilities in the local 1409 01:17:11.915 --> 01:17:13.485 area, the location 1410 01:17:13.505 --> 01:17:15.765 and broad scale of, well, as I said in the statement 1411 01:17:15.765 --> 01:17:16.845 of common ground, the location 1412 01:17:16.865 --> 01:17:18.685 and broad scale of them are agreed. 1413 01:17:19.625 --> 01:17:21.845 The extent to which that directly relates 1414 01:17:21.945 --> 01:17:26.125 to the East West split is still, um, not agreed. 1415 01:17:26.265 --> 01:17:28.685 As I've said. Um, we are 1416 01:17:28.685 --> 01:17:31.765 however, agreeing to assess, uh, an

1417 01:17:32.615 --> 01:17:35.725 60% usage of, of Westgate, 1418 01:17:35.825 --> 01:17:38.485 but that is without prejudice to the fact 1419 01:17:38.485 --> 01:17:42.325 that we don't agree that all movements from the site will 1420 01:17:42.325 --> 01:17:44.845 have a low, will have a, a need 1421 01:17:44.845 --> 01:17:47.365 or a desire to go to one of those local facilities. 1422 01:17:47.425 --> 01:17:50.005 So it doesn't influence everything. 1423 01:17:50.065 --> 01:17:52.245 It just influences some of the movements that may 1424 01:17:52.245 --> 01:17:53.805 or may not be leaving the facility. 1425 01:17:58.455 --> 01:18:01.445 Thank you for that. That's very clear. Great. 1426 01:18:03.995 --> 01:18:08.245 Just, just while we're touching on assignment 1427 01:18:08.505 --> 01:18:11.965 to either East or Westgate, um, 1428 01:18:14.005 --> 01:18:16.765 I know from my own use of Satnav that as soon 1429 01:18:16.765 --> 01:18:19.685 as you plug something in, it usually asks, well, 1430 01:18:19.695 --> 01:18:22.925

gives you options as as to which of the, the routes you want 1431 01:18:22.925 --> 01:18:27.445 to take in terms of, um, time that that seems to be 1432 01:18:27.445 --> 01:18:30.725 what they, um, focus on in terms 1433 01:18:30.725 --> 01:18:33.205 of any HGV um, drivers 1434 01:18:33.545 --> 01:18:35.845 and their use of H of SAT nav. 1435 01:18:35.985 --> 01:18:38.485 Do, do we know, do they make much use of satnav 1436 01:18:38.505 --> 01:18:43.245 and do they tend to follow what the satnav, um, 1437 01:18:44.475 --> 01:18:45.645 best guidance is? 1438 01:18:46.005 --> 01:18:50.045 IE they, they rely on the time component rather than perhaps 1439 01:18:50.335 --> 01:18:52.845 miles or kilometers traveled. 1440 01:18:57.515 --> 01:18:59.415 Mr. Tucker, do you want to start 1441 01:19:00.965 --> 01:19:02.655 Like Sir Simon Tucker for the applicant? 1442 01:19:02.685 --> 01:19:04.735 I'll, I'll give you my views on that, which I think I, 1443 01:19:04.935 --> 01:19:07.375 I might have expressed in in a previous session,

1444 01:19:07.595 --> 01:19:10.495 but, um, I'm sure they do use satin navs. 1445 01:19:11.435 --> 01:19:15.135 Um, I think the, The difference 1446 01:19:15.135 --> 01:19:18.095 between HGV drivers attending this facility will be 1447 01:19:18.735 --> 01:19:21.895 familiarity with the local network as well as, 1448 01:19:23.155 --> 01:19:24.535 um, routing. 1449 01:19:24.835 --> 01:19:28.295 And as I expressed, I think previously, the route 1450 01:19:28.295 --> 01:19:29.615 through Eastgate 1451 01:19:29.835 --> 01:19:34.655 for an HTV driver who's traveling along distance is, um, 1452 01:19:35.485 --> 01:19:38.895 much more attractive in terms of the number of junctions 1453 01:19:39.795 --> 01:19:43.015 and stoppages that they have to maneuver around 1454 01:19:43.875 --> 01:19:46.695 and work their ways up and down the gears, for example. 1455 01:19:47.835 --> 01:19:51.735 Um, so to, to the, the driver that's coming from the M 180 1456 01:19:51.915 --> 01:19:53.895 and is familiar with the area, my view is 1457 01:19:53.895 --> 01:19:56.935

that they would choose Eastgate in preference to 1458 01:19:58.335 --> 01:20:03.175 Westgate, um, based on that knowledge of how easy it is get 1459 01:20:03.195 --> 01:20:04.455 to be to get to the facility, 1460 01:20:14.975 --> 01:20:17.775 DFTS, Andrew Burn of DFDS. 1461 01:20:18.715 --> 01:20:21.055 We, uh, as well as being a, a shipping company, 1462 01:20:21.075 --> 01:20:22.815 we do also have a, a logistics arm. 1463 01:20:22.955 --> 01:20:25.895 Um, so we have consulted with them, um, 1464 01:20:25.915 --> 01:20:27.735 and with some of our major customers, uh, 1465 01:20:27.795 --> 01:20:29.615 and in alignment with what Mr. 1466 01:20:29.835 --> 01:20:33.135 Uh, Tucker says, most of the drivers who, uh, come 1467 01:20:33.135 --> 01:20:36.495 to the dock know the area, uh, and they visit it regularly. 1468 01:20:36.915 --> 01:20:40.375 Um, that said, um, around 40% of the traffic 1469 01:20:40.375 --> 01:20:44.975 that uses our services has offices or distribution centers 1470 01:20:45.195 --> 01:20:47.855 or warehouses along the A one 60 route.

1471 01:20:48.395 --> 01:20:51.575 Um, so no matter if it's east 1472 01:20:51.595 --> 01:20:53.335 or west, they will will come there 1473 01:20:53.335 --> 01:20:55.815 to either make a driver change, collect some cargo, 1474 01:20:55.815 --> 01:20:57.815 collect some paperwork anyway. 1475 01:20:58.475 --> 01:21:00.335 Um, fuel 1476 01:21:00.515 --> 01:21:04.735 and mileage is normally the, the driving factor. 1477 01:21:04.735 --> 01:21:07.735 Clearly, if there is a particular delay on the road, 1478 01:21:07.735 --> 01:21:09.535 they'll talk on the radios, they'll, 1479 01:21:09.535 --> 01:21:12.655 they'll find the quickest way, but normally it's driven by, 1480 01:21:12.995 --> 01:21:14.655 um, by distance. 1481 01:21:14.915 --> 01:21:17.415 And the feedback we got from my logistics colleagues 1482 01:21:17.415 --> 01:21:20.295 who did, uh, a few sat nav runs 1483 01:21:20.475 --> 01:21:22.415 for us was via the West Gate 1484 01:21:37.565 --> 01:21:39.325

Isabella, for DFDS. 1485 01:21:39.325 --> 01:21:41.485 Just to add to, I think, um, some of the 1486 01:21:42.085 --> 01:21:44.885 feedback received was that those, um, drivers 1487 01:21:45.065 --> 01:21:47.205 who did use SAT nav tended to use it 1488 01:21:47.465 --> 01:21:48.765 for the beginning of their journey. 1489 01:21:49.265 --> 01:21:51.925 Um, and as they approached the area at the port 1490 01:21:51.925 --> 01:21:54.645 of IMing in which they were familiar with, they reverted 1491 01:21:54.645 --> 01:21:57.005 to their own knowledge and and practice. 1492 01:22:14.785 --> 01:22:16.645 Robbie Aaron for CLDN, just briefly. 1493 01:22:17.185 --> 01:22:18.285 So if I may, um, 1494 01:22:18.865 --> 01:22:21.365 we were hearing about a freight management plan earlier, 1495 01:22:21.665 --> 01:22:23.165 and, uh, Mr. Ross just wanted 1496 01:22:23.165 --> 01:22:25.325 to make a comment about the role that 1497 01:22:26.045 --> 01:22:27.885 a freight management plan could play in

1498 01:22:27.885 --> 01:22:28.965 this respect, Mr. Ross, 1499 01:22:33.225 --> 01:22:35.005 Andrew Ross for CLDN. 1500 01:22:35.545 --> 01:22:37.685 Um, yes. 1501 01:22:37.825 --> 01:22:39.925 So it, if SIR was minded, 1502 01:22:40.365 --> 01:22:43.805 a freight management plan could be, uh, a mechanism for 1503 01:22:44.595 --> 01:22:47.005 controlling the movements of, uh, I guess 1504 01:22:47.005 --> 01:22:50.685 what you would term the strategic HGV movements, the ones 1505 01:22:50.685 --> 01:22:52.645 that are heading straight out onto the wider 1506 01:22:53.515 --> 01:22:56.445 network rather than the local facilities, 1507 01:22:56.465 --> 01:23:00.685 and could be a mechanism for introducing measures, uh, 1508 01:23:01.505 --> 01:23:04.605 to, uh, control and enforce those 1509 01:23:05.245 --> 01:23:06.245 Movements. 1510 01:23:27.835 --> 01:23:29.775 Mr. Tucker, any observations particularly on 1511 01:23:29.775 --> 01:23:31.535

what Mr. Ross has just suggested? 1512 01:23:33.595 --> 01:23:36.015 Uh, thank you sir. Simon Tucker for the applicant. 1513 01:23:36.435 --> 01:23:39.255 Um, well, I, I suppose firstly, those measures are, 1514 01:23:39.275 --> 01:23:40.455 are in place with stenner. 1515 01:23:40.455 --> 01:23:43.695 They already have, um, app-based booking systems 1516 01:23:43.795 --> 01:23:46.855 for their drivers through which they could provide them with 1517 01:23:47.485 --> 01:23:49.015 information about routing. 1518 01:23:49.765 --> 01:23:53.695 They have facilities, um, elsewhere where there's, 1519 01:23:53.695 --> 01:23:54.935 for example, two terminals 1520 01:23:54.935 --> 01:23:57.655 and they have to tell tell drivers which one to go to, so 1521 01:23:57.655 --> 01:24:01.415 that, that is already in place as a, 1522 01:24:01.415 --> 01:24:03.775 as a facility techn technologically. 1523 01:24:04.075 --> 01:24:07.135 Um, and that certainly could be, would be brought forward 1524 01:24:07.395 --> 01:24:10.095 as a matter of course, from their management, um,

1525 01:24:10.475 --> 01:24:13.215 of this terminal as well. 1526 01:24:13.595 --> 01:24:18.375 Um, when, if granted consent, 1527 01:24:18.375 --> 01:24:20.975 obviously when the, when the facility is starting 1528 01:24:21.035 --> 01:24:24.375 to be used, there could also, there's also very likely to be 1529 01:24:25.045 --> 01:24:27.855 information provided to drivers that are currently going 1530 01:24:27.855 --> 01:24:30.255 to killing home, for example, one day they've gotta be told 1531 01:24:30.255 --> 01:24:33.455 to go, go somewhere else and then, and that, 1532 01:24:33.455 --> 01:24:35.015 and that the way that that would work would be, 1533 01:24:35.015 --> 01:24:37.935 would necessarily involve giving them information about 1534 01:24:38.225 --> 01:24:39.695 where it is and how to get there. 1535 01:24:55.395 --> 01:24:58.275 I certainly think it might be useful in, in terms of 1536 01:24:58.985 --> 01:25:02.715 looking at a freight management plan, what might be done, 1537 01:25:03.015 --> 01:25:05.435 um, to in effect reinforce 1538 01:25:06.375 --> 01:25:09.995

as Mr. Ross has suggested, at the very least to try 1539 01:25:09.995 --> 01:25:13.515 and get, um, those vehicles routing, um, 1540 01:25:14.455 --> 01:25:16.755 to the proposed development, um, 1541 01:25:17.025 --> 01:25:18.915 naturally via the strategic route 1542 01:25:18.915 --> 01:25:21.315 that they used the strategic route rather than heading 1543 01:25:21.315 --> 01:25:22.355 through the West Gate. 1544 01:25:23.495 --> 01:25:27.435 Um, they might, um, under 1545 01:25:27.435 --> 01:25:29.355 that scenario still be some traffic 1546 01:25:29.695 --> 01:25:33.315 or some vehicles perhaps on departure, uh, 1547 01:25:33.345 --> 01:25:35.075 that might come out via the Eastgate, 1548 01:25:35.175 --> 01:25:39.155 but still end up going via the A 180, then onto the one 60 1549 01:25:39.215 --> 01:25:43.675 to go to the truck stop, um, on the road. 1550 01:25:44.535 --> 01:25:48.715 Um, but that would at least avoid, I suppose, traffic going 1551 01:25:48.715 --> 01:25:50.235 through the middle of Ingham and

1552 01:25:50.235 --> 01:25:52.795 or going through the Westgate, um, 1553 01:25:53.015 --> 01:25:54.195 to get to that truck stop. 1554 01:25:54.655 --> 01:25:56.555 So is that something you can take away 1555 01:25:56.655 --> 01:25:59.355 and have a look at in terms of producing some sort 1556 01:25:59.355 --> 01:26:03.485 of freight management plan that might have something, um, 1557 01:26:04.245 --> 01:26:07.885 embedded in it that might assist in that distribution 1558 01:26:07.885 --> 01:26:08.925 or assignment split? 1559 01:26:11.545 --> 01:26:13.605 Um, Simon Tucker for the applicant, yes, sir. 1560 01:26:16.285 --> 01:26:19.025 On, on this point, any further observations for anybody 1561 01:26:19.045 --> 01:26:20.785 or shall we, uh, move on to Mr. 1562 01:26:21.145 --> 01:26:22.145 Harrison's? Next question. 1563 01:26:29.165 --> 01:26:31.745 Seeing Mr. Matthew East from DFDS, 1564 01:26:31.745 --> 01:26:32.745 apologies about taking some time 1565 01:26:32.745 --> 01:26:33.785

to get back to you here on this one. 1566 01:26:34.665 --> 01:26:36.265 I think what we're highlighting here is 1567 01:26:36.265 --> 01:26:39.385 that there is a significant level of uncertainty 1568 01:26:39.405 --> 01:26:40.745 around route behavior 1569 01:26:41.005 --> 01:26:42.905 and driver behaviors all going by that. 1570 01:26:43.105 --> 01:26:45.745 I think dfd S'S position has been as always stated, 1571 01:26:45.775 --> 01:26:48.265 that the Westgate would be more favorable for most drivers 1572 01:26:48.265 --> 01:26:52.505 because of a, a high number of, um, variables associated to 1573 01:26:52.505 --> 01:26:54.745 that, be it signage, road type 1574 01:26:55.045 --> 01:26:56.825 and existing facilities around there. 1575 01:26:58.295 --> 01:27:02.985 Effectively, this range of uncertainties leads itself down 1576 01:27:02.985 --> 01:27:05.145 to an assessment of variability, 1577 01:27:05.525 --> 01:27:07.545 and that's the main reason why we're sort of saying 1578 01:27:07.545 --> 01:27:09.305 that we would like to see an assessment

1579 01:27:09.445 --> 01:27:11.305 of the Westgate at 60% 1580 01:27:11.525 --> 01:27:13.785 and an assessment of the Eastgate at a hundred percent. 1581 01:27:14.125 --> 01:27:15.545 So we've got a range of options there, 1582 01:27:15.965 --> 01:27:18.865 and no matter where it lands in terms of practice, we've got 1583 01:27:18.865 --> 01:27:20.305 that covered by the transport assessment 1584 01:27:20.405 --> 01:27:22.065 and we know we've got suitable conditions 1585 01:27:22.065 --> 01:27:25.785 of the road network to, uh, respond to those conditions. 1586 01:27:51.395 --> 01:27:55.525 Okay. Um, so, um, moving on to, uh, 1587 01:27:55.625 --> 01:27:58.085 agenda item C, um, 1588 01:27:58.305 --> 01:28:00.725 around the inputs into the transport assessment. 1589 01:28:00.815 --> 01:28:05.125 We've got fair few questions, uh, here, albeit I think one 1590 01:28:05.125 --> 01:28:07.285 or two we've already, um, touched on. 1591 01:28:07.705 --> 01:28:10.805 Um, so to, to the applicant, um, uh, 1592 01:28:10.885 --> 01:28:15.165

setting aside the matter of, um, conversion of, uh, 1593 01:28:15.485 --> 01:28:20.285 HGV to PCU, which we we've previously covered, um, 1594 01:28:21.465 --> 01:28:23.005 uh, do you, uh, agree 1595 01:28:23.005 --> 01:28:26.725 or disagree with DFDS, um, that the transport assessment, 1596 01:28:27.145 --> 01:28:32.045 um, contains, um, some other, um, 1597 01:28:32.305 --> 01:28:35.525 errors and issues, uh, as most recently alluded 1598 01:28:35.545 --> 01:28:38.685 to in REP 6 0 3 8? 1599 01:28:39.585 --> 01:28:43.685 Um, if, if you don't accept that the TA contains, um, 1600 01:28:43.785 --> 01:28:44.805 any errors, um, 1601 01:28:45.035 --> 01:28:47.405 it'd be helpful if you could explain why that is the case. 1602 01:28:48.745 --> 01:28:53.285 Um, and, but if, if you do accept that, um, um, 1603 01:28:53.665 --> 01:28:56.685 the, the TA does contain errors, um, 1604 01:28:56.995 --> 01:29:00.045 what implications do those have, um, 1605 01:29:00.225 --> 01:29:03.405 for conclusions drawn in the ta, um,

1606 01:29:03.625 --> 01:29:06.165 and also the TA's subsequent consideration 1607 01:29:06.385 --> 01:29:08.765 by the three highway authorities? 1608 01:29:10.105 --> 01:29:13.085 Um, Mr. Tucker, 1609 01:29:14.295 --> 01:29:15.295 Thank you sir. Simon 1610 01:29:15.295 --> 01:29:16.245 Tucker for the applicant. 1611 01:29:16.305 --> 01:29:20.805 So, um, firstly, um, again, as reported in the, 1612 01:29:21.105 --> 01:29:24.405 um, statement of common ground under 0.5, 1613 01:29:25.415 --> 01:29:28.805 there were some outstanding comments that had been received 1614 01:29:29.305 --> 01:29:33.405 by, um, DFDS, um, purchase prior to, 1615 01:29:33.505 --> 01:29:38.325 to the deadline, um, relating to some 1616 01:29:39.685 --> 01:29:43.245 re well, in my view, relatively minor changes to, 1617 01:29:43.425 --> 01:29:44.445 to parameters 1618 01:29:44.445 --> 01:29:48.565 and the like, um, we responded to those as fully 1619 01:29:48.865 --> 01:29:53.685

as we were able to, um, as part of our rep six deadline, um, 1620 01:29:53.865 --> 01:29:54.925 rep six submission. 1621 01:29:55.145 --> 01:29:59.565 As in terms of the updated technical note, two, um, we have 1622 01:29:59.665 --> 01:30:01.885 as of lunchtime today agreed, 1623 01:30:02.065 --> 01:30:03.765 all those outstanding matters with Mr. 1624 01:30:03.795 --> 01:30:04.925 East and his colleagues. 1625 01:30:05.785 --> 01:30:10.205 So we will be, um, updating 1626 01:30:10.205 --> 01:30:12.485 that technical note two as set out in, in, um, 1627 01:30:13.865 --> 01:30:15.885 action five in the statements of common ground 1628 01:30:15.985 --> 01:30:20.685 to reflect an agreed set of flows and 1629 01:30:20.685 --> 01:30:22.125 therefore outputs. 1630 01:30:22.265 --> 01:30:23.125 In terms of the models, 1631 01:30:30.545 --> 01:30:32.045 Um, sorry. 1632 01:30:32.045 --> 01:30:34.365 So I was writing, um, none, none

1633 01:30:34.365 --> 01:30:37.925 of those have made any material impact on the output 1634 01:30:37.985 --> 01:30:39.005 of the modeling. 1635 01:30:40.545 --> 01:30:42.725 Um, and therefore the, the conclusions of 1636 01:30:42.725 --> 01:30:44.005 that note remain robust, 1637 01:30:44.065 --> 01:30:46.605 but you will see it again in due course, 1638 01:30:46.625 --> 01:30:47.965 if I can put it that way. 1639 01:30:48.465 --> 01:30:52.525 Um, in terms of discussions with the highway authorities, 1640 01:30:52.665 --> 01:30:55.645 we have, as you know, three affected highway authorities, 1641 01:30:56.105 --> 01:31:00.245 um, here, national Highways whose, um, principal 1642 01:31:01.345 --> 01:31:03.405 or only, um, concern is the A 180 1643 01:31:03.405 --> 01:31:04.845 and the A one 60 corridors. 1644 01:31:05.545 --> 01:31:07.045 Um, we've, we've met with them 1645 01:31:07.065 --> 01:31:09.125 and explained the changes that have occurred. 1646 01:31:09.545 --> 01:31:12.485

Um, they've confirmed that they will 1647 01:31:13.585 --> 01:31:17.045 review the updated data in line with, um, 1648 01:31:17.745 --> 01:31:20.645 the MPPF policy statement reports 1649 01:31:20.705 --> 01:31:23.085 and also circular one of 22, 1650 01:31:23.415 --> 01:31:25.405 which is the National Highway Department 1651 01:31:25.405 --> 01:31:27.645 of Transport circular, um, 1652 01:31:27.865 --> 01:31:31.645 and confirm that their principal focus 1653 01:31:32.105 --> 01:31:35.605 of review will be on whether the development itself results 1654 01:31:35.605 --> 01:31:39.085 in any material change, principally in safety 1655 01:31:39.545 --> 01:31:41.205 and in queuing at the junction. 1656 01:31:41.545 --> 01:31:44.805 So we will provide that information as part 1657 01:31:44.805 --> 01:31:46.285 of the submission for them to review. 1658 01:31:47.145 --> 01:31:51.085 And then the intention is to have, um, an updated statement 1659 01:31:51.085 --> 01:31:52.085 of common ground with them

1660 01:31:52.155 --> 01:31:54.245 that we can provide to the examination. 1661 01:31:56.065 --> 01:32:00.805 Um, the same, um, applies to North Lincolnshire. 1662 01:32:00.805 --> 01:32:03.125 They have less of an impact, the, 1663 01:32:03.125 --> 01:32:05.605 the main road being the A one 60, which is the Trunk road, 1664 01:32:05.605 --> 01:32:08.165 but they're obviously interested in the impact on the 1665 01:32:08.365 --> 01:32:09.885 approaches to that road. 1666 01:32:11.105 --> 01:32:13.485 Um, and again, we've, we've explained the changes 1667 01:32:13.745 --> 01:32:17.885 and subject to finalizing this note, which we will now do, 1668 01:32:17.885 --> 01:32:20.525 we now we've agreed the inputs that will go to them 1669 01:32:20.545 --> 01:32:22.765 and to now Northeast Lincolnshire as well, 1670 01:32:23.795 --> 01:32:27.405 with the intention of providing you with updated statements 1671 01:32:27.405 --> 01:32:29.085 of common or ground 1672 01:32:29.145 --> 01:32:30.725 or notes on statements of common ground 1673 01:32:30.725 --> 01:32:31.725

that confirm their position. 1674 01:32:31.825 --> 01:32:32.605 In respect to that, 1675 01:32:43.535 --> 01:32:43.885 sorry, 1676 01:32:44.745 --> 01:32:45.745 Unless I missed it. I 1677 01:32:45.745 --> 01:32:47.485 don't think you mentioned Northeast linkage 1678 01:32:47.485 --> 01:32:48.485 Account. Oh, sorry, I 1679 01:32:48.485 --> 01:32:48.805 I did, 1680 01:32:48.805 --> 01:32:50.325 but I probably said it to two shorthand, 1681 01:32:50.325 --> 01:32:53.285 exactly the same approach with Northeast Links as, as, um, 1682 01:32:53.785 --> 01:32:58.525 as North Lincoln share the, um, sensitivity tests 1683 01:32:58.525 --> 01:33:00.725 that we've debated is more interest, obviously 1684 01:33:00.745 --> 01:33:04.085 to North Lincolnshire and National Highways than to NA nlk. 1685 01:33:04.285 --> 01:33:06.885 'cause there's 40 60 split will reduce the impact 1686 01:33:06.985 --> 01:33:08.965 on N'S roads.

1687 01:33:09.905 --> 01:33:13.565 Um, and just, just to be sort of clear 1688 01:33:14.585 --> 01:33:17.645 at our position as we've set out in, in that technical note 1689 01:33:17.665 --> 01:33:20.885 and in, in our sort of review of the policy position on, 1690 01:33:20.905 --> 01:33:22.885 on assessment, is that the changes 1691 01:33:22.885 --> 01:33:25.805 that have occurred in the TA don't materially affect the 1692 01:33:25.805 --> 01:33:30.445 outcome of the TA in terms of its conclusions, in respect 1693 01:33:30.445 --> 01:33:34.925 of the acceptability of the development and the, the need or 1694 01:33:34.925 --> 01:33:37.845 otherwise for mitigation, either that there is no need 1695 01:33:37.845 --> 01:33:39.445 for specific highway mitigation 1696 01:33:39.465 --> 01:33:40.925 as a result of the proposals. 1697 01:33:52.165 --> 01:33:56.785 The FDS Isabella, TEFL Ford DFDS, there were a number 1698 01:33:56.825 --> 01:33:58.945 of matters covered there, which started with the, 1699 01:33:58.975 --> 01:34:02.905 leaving aside the PCU conversion, the other areas 1700 01:34:03.045 --> 01:34:04.065

of, um, dispute. 1701 01:34:04.085 --> 01:34:07.985 And I think, um, it, what Mr. Tucker, 1702 01:34:08.185 --> 01:34:09.545 I think said reflects Mr. 1703 01:34:09.645 --> 01:34:12.145 East's understanding that they have agreed to rectify those 1704 01:34:12.525 --> 01:34:14.385 and to reissue the technical note. 1705 01:34:15.165 --> 01:34:18.905 Um, and we are hopeful that won't make any, um, 1706 01:34:20.465 --> 01:34:22.105 material difference to that technical note, 1707 01:34:22.125 --> 01:34:23.985 but we'll await its reissue. 1708 01:34:25.075 --> 01:34:27.985 There are then a number of sensitivity tests, 1709 01:34:28.075 --> 01:34:31.905 which the applicant has, um, committed to doing, which 1710 01:34:31.905 --> 01:34:35.105 as I say, we outline in paragraph 12 of rep 6 0 3 8. 1711 01:34:36.975 --> 01:34:40.985 Obviously we need that, those sensitivity tests 1712 01:34:41.285 --> 01:34:42.305 as soon as possible. 1713 01:34:42.485 --> 01:34:46.025 And from our perspective anyway, deadline seven

1714 01:34:46.085 --> 01:34:48.585 by the latest because we need to review and consider them. 1715 01:34:49.165 --> 01:34:52.825 And we understand that the, uh, highway authorities are also 1716 01:34:54.145 --> 01:34:56.905 awaiting, um, those sensitivity tests 1717 01:34:56.945 --> 01:34:58.005 so that they can comment. 1718 01:34:59.535 --> 01:35:04.045 There was then, um, Mr. Tucker made the point that 1719 01:35:04.825 --> 01:35:09.525 the changes that have been made don't affect the conclusions 1720 01:35:09.525 --> 01:35:10.525 of the transport assessment, 1721 01:35:10.625 --> 01:35:12.845 and we disagree with that position. 1722 01:35:13.625 --> 01:35:16.445 Uh, and this principally arises from the 1723 01:35:16.565 --> 01:35:17.965 PCU conversion point. 1724 01:35:19.025 --> 01:35:24.005 Um, where I'll summarize, Mr. East couldn't step in. 1725 01:35:24.275 --> 01:35:26.445 Essentially the transport assessment showed 1726 01:35:26.445 --> 01:35:27.685 that there was a, a lot 1727 01:35:27.685 --> 01:35:30.245

of spare capacity in the existing road network 1728 01:35:30.265 --> 01:35:31.485 and, and junctions. 1729 01:35:32.425 --> 01:35:35.925 Um, we have always said that we had some concerns 1730 01:35:35.925 --> 01:35:38.285 that there would be junctions operating at 1731 01:35:38.285 --> 01:35:39.925 or above practical capacity. 1732 01:35:40.535 --> 01:35:42.925 Their most recent, uh, assessment now 1733 01:35:42.925 --> 01:35:46.725 that they've corrected the PCUs shows that, well, 1734 01:35:46.975 --> 01:35:48.125 their deadline four, 1735 01:35:48.125 --> 01:35:49.965 their deadline five representation showed 1736 01:35:49.965 --> 01:35:53.005 that there were five junctions operating with an RFC 1737 01:35:53.005 --> 01:35:54.565 of 0.85 or above. 1738 01:35:55.225 --> 01:35:58.125 So at or above practical capacity at, at their, 1739 01:35:58.145 --> 01:36:01.165 in their rep six submissions, they now say it's, 1740 01:36:01.945 --> 01:36:03.885 or they, they suggest it's four junctions.

1741 01:36:03.905 --> 01:36:06.565 So we're not quite sure how that is the reason, 1742 01:36:06.625 --> 01:36:09.485 but in any event, four or five junctions now at 1743 01:36:09.545 --> 01:36:12.405 or above practical capacity that were not at the time 1744 01:36:12.405 --> 01:36:14.085 of their original transport assessment. 1745 01:36:14.985 --> 01:36:18.085 And we are not sure the extent to which that has been drawn 1746 01:36:18.105 --> 01:36:20.805 to the attention of the highway authorities 1747 01:36:21.195 --> 01:36:25.365 because this correction to the PCUs was, um, 1748 01:36:26.225 --> 01:36:30.525 rather, uh, buried in, uh, 1749 01:36:30.605 --> 01:36:34.845 a technical appendix, um, in response to an agenda item, 1750 01:36:34.895 --> 01:36:36.725 which wouldn't immediately have been obvious 1751 01:36:36.785 --> 01:36:37.845 to the highway authorities, 1752 01:36:37.845 --> 01:36:39.885 even if they were looking on the pin's website. 1753 01:36:40.305 --> 01:36:43.085 The document does in itself say, we have noted 1754 01:36:43.185 --> 01:36:44.205

or been informed 1755 01:36:44.205 --> 01:36:46.485 that we have made an error in the PCU conversion. 1756 01:36:46.485 --> 01:36:48.365 So it's not immediately obvious. 1757 01:36:49.145 --> 01:36:53.725 Um, our concerns, you know, are that these matters are, 1758 01:36:54.105 --> 01:36:56.165 are producing different results. 1759 01:36:56.235 --> 01:36:58.365 They're coming out late in the process. 1760 01:36:59.025 --> 01:37:01.565 We note that in their deadline six submissions, there's, 1761 01:37:01.745 --> 01:37:04.765 the applicant seemed to criticize us for only telling them 1762 01:37:04.905 --> 01:37:08.525 of the error in their PCU conversions too late. 1763 01:37:09.145 --> 01:37:11.165 Um, we had assumed 1764 01:37:11.235 --> 01:37:13.405 that their conversion factors would be correct, 1765 01:37:13.985 --> 01:37:17.605 and if anything, you know, it's through our diligence 1766 01:37:17.605 --> 01:37:18.765 that that has been revealed. 1767 01:37:18.905 --> 01:37:21.245 But it's obviously important that that is frankly drawn

1768 01:37:21.245 --> 01:37:22.925 to the attention of the highway authorities. 1769 01:37:28.375 --> 01:37:30.285 Thank you. Um, just 1770 01:37:30.285 --> 01:37:33.525 before I go back to the applicant, um, did, did CLDN, uh, 1771 01:37:33.555 --> 01:37:35.485 have any points they want to raise? 1772 01:37:35.935 --> 01:37:38.485 Thank you, sir. Yes, there are two points we'd like 1773 01:37:38.485 --> 01:37:40.525 to raise, uh, and I'll deal with him in turn and, 1774 01:37:40.705 --> 01:37:44.325 and relation to each bring Mr. Ross in, um, 1775 01:37:44.625 --> 01:37:47.845 the first point concerns the, the now agreed dwells number 1776 01:37:47.845 --> 01:37:52.365 of 1.4 and the effect of that on the transport assessment. 1777 01:37:52.825 --> 01:37:57.005 Um, does that have any implications, um, given that 1778 01:37:58.825 --> 01:38:01.445 It could well change the arrival 1779 01:38:01.545 --> 01:38:03.925 and departure profiles of, of traffic? 1780 01:38:04.345 --> 01:38:07.765 And we, we, we, we, you've heard 1781 01:38:07.765 --> 01:38:11.885

that the applicants are undertaking this sensitivity test, 1782 01:38:12.145 --> 01:38:14.525 um, to be provided in the addendum 1783 01:38:14.525 --> 01:38:15.805 to the TA at Deadline seven, 1784 01:38:16.465 --> 01:38:18.685 and that is dealing with the matters 1785 01:38:18.685 --> 01:38:21.565 that the transport SOCG is now agreed upon in terms 1786 01:38:21.565 --> 01:38:24.165 of solar tractor ratio gate assignments 1787 01:38:24.225 --> 01:38:25.725 and the P c's factor. 1788 01:38:25.865 --> 01:38:30.445 But given that the 1.4 dwell time, 1789 01:38:30.445 --> 01:38:33.205 average dwell time was only agreed, um, 1790 01:38:33.385 --> 01:38:37.325 at deadline six literally on that day, um, we haven't 1791 01:38:37.945 --> 01:38:42.445 had a explanation from the, um, uh, 1792 01:38:42.635 --> 01:38:45.005 applicant's, let alone the satisfactory one in terms of 1793 01:38:45.795 --> 01:38:47.645 what they're gonna be doing in terms 1794 01:38:47.645 --> 01:38:50.565 of the sensitivity test, given the now agreed dwell time.

1795 01:38:50.665 --> 01:38:54.045 So Mr. Ross, uh, has been considering this 1796 01:38:54.045 --> 01:38:55.045 and has some concerns. 1797 01:38:55.045 --> 01:38:57.925 Mr. Ross, would you like to just briefly the examining 1798 01:38:57.925 --> 01:38:59.045 authority on those please? 1799 01:39:03.905 --> 01:39:05.245 So, uh, sorry. 1800 01:39:05.345 --> 01:39:08.645 Um, Andrew Ross for CLDN, um, 1801 01:39:09.515 --> 01:39:13.445 I've not got too much more to, to add to that, just to, 1802 01:39:14.555 --> 01:39:19.445 it's, and I hear what Mr. Tucker said earlier about, um, 1803 01:39:20.635 --> 01:39:23.805 arrival profiles for HTVs, 1804 01:39:24.225 --> 01:39:27.125 but I think we'd like to specifically see something 1805 01:39:27.155 --> 01:39:31.765 that revisited those arrival profiles, that demonstrates 1806 01:39:32.395 --> 01:39:36.485 that this is indeed, uh, not a material 1807 01:39:37.745 --> 01:39:42.205 change in terms of, um, the, rather the dwell times 1808 01:39:42.465 --> 01:39:46.805

and not inducing a material change to those HT v arrival 1809 01:39:47.505 --> 01:39:51.645 and indeed departure pro profiles, uh, to, 1810 01:39:51.785 --> 01:39:55.005 to enable us to challenge or, or, or agree. 1811 01:39:58.105 --> 01:39:59.245 Uh, thank you, Mr. Ross. 1812 01:39:59.665 --> 01:40:03.605 The, the second point sir, relates to, um, 1813 01:40:04.705 --> 01:40:07.005 the, uh, agreement of, 1814 01:40:07.005 --> 01:40:09.205 or rather lack of agreement, um, uh, 1815 01:40:09.225 --> 01:40:11.565 of the transport mitigation thresholds. 1816 01:40:12.185 --> 01:40:16.205 Um, uh, and as you will note from the transport SOCG, 1817 01:40:16.515 --> 01:40:17.605 they have not been agreed, 1818 01:40:17.625 --> 01:40:20.325 and as we've heard, the applicant's position is that, um, 1819 01:40:21.905 --> 01:40:25.925 the magnitude of impact is, is not severe in terms 1820 01:40:25.925 --> 01:40:27.805 of the national planning policy framework and 1821 01:40:27.805 --> 01:40:30.245 therefore, uh, no mitigation is required.

1822 01:40:30.245 --> 01:40:32.365 Whereas CDNs position, 1823 01:40:32.385 --> 01:40:34.165 and I believe also D Fdss, is 1824 01:40:34.165 --> 01:40:37.645 that five junctions are over capacity thresholds, 1825 01:40:37.645 --> 01:40:40.285 and that's even before the application of sensitivity and 1826 01:40:40.285 --> 01:40:44.085 therefore, impacts are significant and require mitigation. 1827 01:40:44.385 --> 01:40:47.445 And, uh, again, I'd like Mr. Ross to comment on this, 1828 01:40:47.445 --> 01:40:51.165 particularly the, um, relevance of an application of the, 1829 01:40:51.625 --> 01:40:54.805 uh, national policy statement for port so far as what 1830 01:40:54.805 --> 01:40:56.925 that has to say about mitigation 1831 01:40:57.185 --> 01:40:59.125 and, uh, its, its relevance here. 1832 01:41:01.585 --> 01:41:02.585 Mr. Ross? 1833 01:41:05.455 --> 01:41:09.605 Thank you. Andrew Ross for CLDN? Yes. 1834 01:41:09.625 --> 01:41:13.765 So we, we consider the primary test is the 1835 01:41:14.285 --> 01:41:17.005

national policy statement for ports which notes, um, 1836 01:41:17.735 --> 01:41:19.885 where development would worsen accessibility. 1837 01:41:20.835 --> 01:41:25.205 Such impact should be, uh, mitigated so far 1838 01:41:25.265 --> 01:41:26.685 as reasonably possible. 1839 01:41:27.505 --> 01:41:31.325 Um, a concern with, um, 1840 01:41:32.345 --> 01:41:36.725 the modeling, uh, we've seen so far, which, um, 1841 01:41:37.185 --> 01:41:40.965 as Mr. Owen points out is prior to any sensitivity tests, 1842 01:41:42.265 --> 01:41:46.445 is that it gives rise to concerns that delays could increase 1843 01:41:47.595 --> 01:41:51.165 significantly when that sensitivity is applied. 1844 01:41:51.865 --> 01:41:55.725 Um, and in some cases it's increasing significantly, 1845 01:41:57.025 --> 01:42:00.885 uh, prior to that sensitivity being applied. 1846 01:42:02.065 --> 01:42:05.325 So we believe that there should be consideration 1847 01:42:05.325 --> 01:42:08.365 to mitigation at these, these junctions. 1848 01:42:09.945 --> 01:42:11.125 Uh, thank you Mr. Ross.

1849 01:42:11.145 --> 01:42:13.485 And so for, you'll note the reference 1850 01:42:13.505 --> 01:42:16.565 to the National Policy Statement reports, uh, that, uh, 1851 01:42:16.625 --> 01:42:21.525 Mr. Ross referred to as, that's, um, paragraph 5.4, 1852 01:42:21.525 --> 01:42:25.525 0.24, and indeed, I, I think it was quoted 1853 01:42:25.685 --> 01:42:27.245 by DFDS in their 1854 01:42:28.505 --> 01:42:32.205 rep 6 0 3 8 document paragraphs 27 to 30. 1855 01:42:32.465 --> 01:42:35.005 And we endorse what they say there. Thank you. 1856 01:42:39.855 --> 01:42:43.525 Great, Thank you. Um, quite a lot of issues to, 1857 01:42:43.625 --> 01:42:44.925 to unpack there 1858 01:42:44.925 --> 01:42:48.845 and actually, um, uh, touched on a, my next question, 1859 01:42:48.845 --> 01:42:51.125 which would, would, would be a key one given 1860 01:42:51.125 --> 01:42:55.085 where we're at in the, the examination of, um, when, 1861 01:42:55.085 --> 01:42:58.845 when we would expect to see the, uh, the addendum referred 1862 01:42:58.845 --> 01:43:02.285

to in the, um, transport statement of common ground 1863 01:43:02.445 --> 01:43:06.325 that addresses the error with the PCU conversion 1864 01:43:06.505 --> 01:43:08.045 and also, um, 1865 01:43:08.385 --> 01:43:11.685 has the sensitivity testing, um, within it. 1866 01:43:11.865 --> 01:43:14.005 So I dunno, would, could you sort of start 1867 01:43:14.035 --> 01:43:18.165 with giving us perhaps an answer on the, um, the, the timing 1868 01:43:18.305 --> 01:43:19.325 for, for the addendum 1869 01:43:19.325 --> 01:43:23.445 and then, um, you know, address as many of the points, uh, 1870 01:43:23.445 --> 01:43:26.205 from CLDN and and DFDS as you wish? 1871 01:43:28.015 --> 01:43:30.085 Thank you, sir. Simon Tucker for the applicant. 1872 01:43:30.185 --> 01:43:33.605 So in terms of, um, the timescales, as I said, um, 1873 01:43:34.885 --> 01:43:37.805 a moment ago, we've, we've only just hot off the press sort 1874 01:43:37.805 --> 01:43:41.445 of agreed the, um, the, the final inputs to the modeling 1875 01:43:41.635 --> 01:43:45.085 that, um, is being rerun as we speak.

1876 01:43:45.265 --> 01:43:48.445 So I'm, I'm hoping to issue, um, 1877 01:43:50.365 --> 01:43:53.285 versions of those assessments, um, in the coming days. 1878 01:43:53.545 --> 01:43:57.165 So in advance of this would be to, to the interested parties 1879 01:43:57.265 --> 01:44:01.045 and to the highway authorities, um, with an intention 1880 01:44:01.065 --> 01:44:02.685 of a formal submission of it 1881 01:44:02.685 --> 01:44:04.125 to yourselves, that deadline seven. 1882 01:44:05.225 --> 01:44:09.205 Um, I'm hopeful, but I can't guarantee 1883 01:44:09.365 --> 01:44:11.165 'cause it's, it is dependent on them 1884 01:44:11.195 --> 01:44:14.165 that the highway authorities will be able 1885 01:44:14.165 --> 01:44:15.565 to consider that in that timescale. 1886 01:44:15.585 --> 01:44:17.845 But if they're not, we will report at deadline seven 1887 01:44:17.935 --> 01:44:22.645 where we're at with them. Um, just on that. 1888 01:44:22.655 --> 01:44:24.445 Thank you. And just very quickly on that, 1889 01:44:24.605 --> 01:44:27.445

I suppose similar to the comments we made around the, um, 1890 01:44:27.525 --> 01:44:30.205 navigation risk assessment, you know, given, 1891 01:44:30.205 --> 01:44:34.365 given the shortness of timescale, if, if there's anything 1892 01:44:34.365 --> 01:44:36.165 that you could, you know, potentially share 1893 01:44:36.165 --> 01:44:39.245 with interested parties with the highway authorities, 1894 01:44:39.465 --> 01:44:42.365 you know, before deadline seven, just to tee them up, 1895 01:44:42.365 --> 01:44:44.805 that would be, um, most, most welcome. 1896 01:44:46.225 --> 01:44:47.525 So Simon Tucker for the applicant. 1897 01:44:47.545 --> 01:44:49.125 So sorry if I wasn't clear sir, that 1898 01:44:49.125 --> 01:44:52.245 that was entirely my intention was to send them copies, um, 1899 01:44:52.705 --> 01:44:55.845 in the next couple of days for them to review. 1900 01:44:56.745 --> 01:44:59.405 Um, and then if, if there are time to, 1901 01:44:59.985 --> 01:45:02.085 if there are any comments that, that we need to pick up, 1902 01:45:02.085 --> 01:45:06.405 then, um, whatever we get by deadline seven,

1903 01:45:06.545 --> 01:45:09.525 we will submit, um, either with, 1904 01:45:09.525 --> 01:45:11.605 with those comments taken on board if we have them or, 1905 01:45:11.625 --> 01:45:13.445 or not, if we don't, but then there'll be a formal 1906 01:45:13.445 --> 01:45:16.405 submission deadline seven with our position on that. 1907 01:45:16.545 --> 01:45:17.805 So the interested parties 1908 01:45:17.945 --> 01:45:19.845 and highway authorities will have seen it 1909 01:45:19.845 --> 01:45:21.165 before you, you do. 1910 01:45:22.475 --> 01:45:25.405 Okay. Thank, thank you for confirming that. 1911 01:45:26.025 --> 01:45:29.325 And just, um, very, very quickly, um, 1912 01:45:29.985 --> 01:45:31.885 and picking upon a point that Mr. 1913 01:45:32.145 --> 01:45:35.845 Fur made and, and that the, um, examining authority have, 1914 01:45:36.065 --> 01:45:40.285 um, discussed on a, a number of occasions, um, it, 1915 01:45:40.385 --> 01:45:42.725 it does feel like there are, are becoming quite a lot 1916 01:45:42.725 --> 01:45:44.765

of technical notes or, or, 1917 01:45:44.865 --> 01:45:49.005 or addendums, um, you know, how, how 1918 01:45:49.515 --> 01:45:52.845 readily, um, will the transport, um, 1919 01:45:53.015 --> 01:45:57.205 assessment be capable of being read in conjunction with, 1920 01:45:57.315 --> 01:45:58.605 with the addendum report 1921 01:45:59.105 --> 01:46:03.485 or frankly, you know, would it not be simpler to submit a a, 1922 01:46:03.625 --> 01:46:06.365 an updated transport assessment, um, so 1923 01:46:06.365 --> 01:46:09.005 that we've got everything, um, up to date 1924 01:46:09.105 --> 01:46:11.165 and confident in, in one place? 1925 01:46:14.935 --> 01:46:19.085 Thank you, sir. So there are, there are, um, effectively, 1926 01:46:20.025 --> 01:46:24.325 um, the, the documents that we submitted at rep five 1927 01:46:24.985 --> 01:46:29.685 ref reflect the totality of the change, if you like, one 1928 01:46:29.685 --> 01:46:31.725 of those will be updated as we've just discussed. 1929 01:46:31.725 --> 01:46:33.805 And then the, the, the final one will be in the

1930 01:46:34.045 --> 01:46:35.125 sensitivity testing note. 1931 01:46:36.385 --> 01:46:37.485 So what we're proposing 1932 01:46:37.485 --> 01:46:40.285 to do is produce a transport assessment addendum, 1933 01:46:40.855 --> 01:46:43.845 which clearly identifies those appendices 1934 01:46:44.715 --> 01:46:48.085 that are superseded effectively by the new, new assessment. 1935 01:46:48.465 --> 01:46:52.845 And, um, also refers, for example, 1936 01:46:52.905 --> 01:46:55.285 on the gate capacity point that wasn't dealt 1937 01:46:55.285 --> 01:46:57.965 with in detail in the, in the transport assessment. 1938 01:46:58.115 --> 01:46:59.565 There's a paragraph in there, I think. 1939 01:46:59.585 --> 01:47:02.285 So it would, it would clearly refer to that being superseded 1940 01:47:02.305 --> 01:47:03.325 by a separate note. 1941 01:47:03.325 --> 01:47:05.765 So there will be an addendum that will produce, 1942 01:47:05.765 --> 01:47:06.845 that will wrap up all 1943 01:47:06.965 --> 01:47:09.805

of those additional things in one document. 1944 01:47:12.425 --> 01:47:14.285 So could I just say on that, sir Isabella, 1945 01:47:14.685 --> 01:47:17.325 for D-F-D-S-I can understand an addendum 1946 01:47:17.325 --> 01:47:19.525 where it's producing kind of additional information, 1947 01:47:19.825 --> 01:47:22.805 but where it's superseding what's now accepted 1948 01:47:22.805 --> 01:47:27.325 to be incorrect information in the original ta, um, it, 1949 01:47:27.345 --> 01:47:29.405 it would seem to me to be far more appropriate 1950 01:47:29.405 --> 01:47:31.445 to have a revised TA 1951 01:47:32.035 --> 01:47:35.605 with the correct information rather than a note which says, 1952 01:47:36.155 --> 01:47:38.765 disregard that appendix, cross out this paragraph. 1953 01:47:45.665 --> 01:47:50.095 Thank you. Um, does the applicant have a, a view on that, 1954 01:47:51.555 --> 01:47:53.215 Uh, would James form for the applicant? 1955 01:47:53.515 --> 01:47:57.295 So in in principle it will depend, uh, 1956 01:47:57.555 --> 01:48:02.055 you can correct information in a document like a TA

1957 01:48:02.635 --> 01:48:05.815 by an addendum if it's appropriate to do so, 1958 01:48:05.815 --> 01:48:09.735 because it's not changing the whole transport assessment, 1959 01:48:09.865 --> 01:48:11.615 which is the position here. 1960 01:48:12.555 --> 01:48:16.735 Um, and indeed supplementary work 1961 01:48:16.755 --> 01:48:18.175 that's been requested 1962 01:48:18.175 --> 01:48:21.655 and exceeded to such a sensitivity testing sits very 1963 01:48:21.855 --> 01:48:24.415 comfortably as a, uh, an add-on. 1964 01:48:24.555 --> 01:48:29.335 So what we can do is, is reflect on that to see, um, 1965 01:48:29.845 --> 01:48:32.855 whether it's on the right side of the line for, for the ease 1966 01:48:32.855 --> 01:48:33.975 of the reader and your, 1967 01:48:34.195 --> 01:48:36.455 and if, if the examining authority has a particular view, 1968 01:48:36.555 --> 01:48:39.895 of course, we'll, um, reflect on that as well. 1969 01:48:40.115 --> 01:48:44.295 But at the moment, the, the, the current view is that 1970 01:48:44.945 --> 01:48:49.135

based on the notes that's been produced 1971 01:48:49.155 --> 01:48:51.775 and the material that it would sit comfortably as a, 1972 01:48:51.795 --> 01:48:55.215 as an addendum and provide clarity as to where the change 1973 01:48:56.085 --> 01:48:58.175 changes are made or superseded. 1974 01:48:58.175 --> 01:48:59.775 But we can, we can reflect on 1975 01:48:59.775 --> 01:49:01.615 that further from, from this discussion. 1976 01:49:02.645 --> 01:49:05.295 Just, just one point in that connection, 1977 01:49:05.555 --> 01:49:08.295 if a revised TA was to be submitted, 1978 01:49:08.655 --> 01:49:11.855 'cause it forms part of the environmental statement, does 1979 01:49:11.855 --> 01:49:15.375 that then create a problem in terms of compliance 1980 01:49:15.375 --> 01:49:16.655 with the environment? 1981 01:49:17.125 --> 01:49:19.375 Environmental impact assessment regulations 1982 01:49:19.875 --> 01:49:23.935 and the way that's handled in terms of publicity, um, 1983 01:49:24.235 --> 01:49:27.495 and where we sit, uh, in terms of the amount of time

1984 01:49:27.495 --> 01:49:29.415 that's left when in the examination, 1985 01:49:31.345 --> 01:49:32.975 James Strong for the applicant, uh, 1986 01:49:33.405 --> 01:49:34.535 will also reflect on that. 1987 01:49:34.755 --> 01:49:37.575 So I don't, uh, as a matter of principle, of course 1988 01:49:38.805 --> 01:49:41.095 there's the environmental statement 1989 01:49:41.235 --> 01:49:43.855 and then the decision maker has 1990 01:49:43.855 --> 01:49:46.215 to take into account all environmental information 1991 01:49:46.215 --> 01:49:49.725 that arises from the environmental statement, including 1992 01:49:51.085 --> 01:49:52.645 representations that are received 1993 01:49:53.145 --> 01:49:55.205 and documents received about it. 1994 01:49:55.665 --> 01:49:58.765 So as a matter of principle, going back 1995 01:49:58.765 --> 01:50:01.445 to the previous point, there's no requirement to 1996 01:50:01.995 --> 01:50:06.965 produce a revis transport assessment as opposed 1997 01:50:07.025 --> 01:50:10.005

to a document which shares addendum or further information. 1998 01:50:10.825 --> 01:50:14.565 And if, if the consequence is that it's more 1999 01:50:15.995 --> 01:50:17.565 technically problematic 2000 01:50:17.585 --> 01:50:20.085 to produce a revised transport assessment as opposed 2001 01:50:20.085 --> 01:50:22.965 to addendum for the very reason you've just identified, 2002 01:50:22.965 --> 01:50:25.765 that's further reason why it's better to have it 2003 01:50:25.765 --> 01:50:29.005 as an addendum so that we, we can reflect on that. 2004 01:50:29.605 --> 01:50:33.365 I think everybody would prefer probably a revised ta 2005 01:50:33.725 --> 01:50:36.205 'cause it's a lot easier to have a source document. 2006 01:50:36.825 --> 01:50:41.045 So you, you don't as MR for referred end up having 2007 01:50:41.145 --> 01:50:44.005 to look at bits and pieces here, there and everywhere. 2008 01:50:44.625 --> 01:50:46.805 Um, but just nagging in the back of their mind, 2009 01:50:46.805 --> 01:50:51.765 there is a potential issue with how you deal with, um, 2010 01:50:52.065 --> 01:50:53.845 the environmental impact assessment regulations.

2011 01:50:53.965 --> 01:50:56.125 I know previously, particularly when I was dealing 2012 01:50:56.125 --> 01:51:00.045 with stuff under TCPA that they used 2013 01:51:00.045 --> 01:51:04.445 to be quite rigorous requirements on making changes 2014 01:51:05.145 --> 01:51:08.645 to NES midstream and having to consult on it. 2015 01:51:08.925 --> 01:51:13.725 I did have a quick look at the regulations last night and, 2016 01:51:13.825 --> 01:51:15.965 and guidance and couldn't find any particular 2017 01:51:15.965 --> 01:51:17.245 assistance on that matter. 2018 01:51:18.795 --> 01:51:20.525 Well, there is, there is some assistance. 2019 01:51:20.545 --> 01:51:25.045 So in some of the case law, I, I dig it out, but, uh, Mr. 2020 01:51:25.045 --> 01:51:28.285 Justices Sullivan identifying the function 2021 01:51:28.465 --> 01:51:30.965 of environmental impact assessments under the Town 2022 01:51:30.965 --> 01:51:34.925 and Country Planning Act, that they're not intended to be, 2023 01:51:35.285 --> 01:51:37.125 I think, words of obstacle course 2024 01:51:37.345 --> 01:51:39.725

or a council of perfection. 2025 01:51:40.105 --> 01:51:41.285 Indeed, the whole purpose 2026 01:51:41.825 --> 01:51:45.925 of the environmental statement process is to start a process 2027 01:51:46.105 --> 01:51:47.525 of consultation engagement 2028 01:51:47.545 --> 01:51:51.925 and receipt of comments indeed, which may lead 2029 01:51:51.925 --> 01:51:54.285 to corrections or, uh, further information 2030 01:51:54.475 --> 01:51:58.485 because they're a tool to environmental decision making 2031 01:51:58.705 --> 01:52:00.605 by the decision maker in due course. 2032 01:52:01.385 --> 01:52:04.085 So hence my point about 2033 01:52:05.385 --> 01:52:07.605 the production of a revised ta. 2034 01:52:07.705 --> 01:52:10.965 If that creates more problems in terms of procedure, 2035 01:52:11.185 --> 01:52:15.365 that's a very good reason that one doesn't do it if the 2036 01:52:15.435 --> 01:52:18.965 addendums are sufficient, um, as opposed 2037 01:52:19.025 --> 01:52:22.205 to starting the whole process again, if you see what I mean

2038 01:52:22.205 --> 01:52:25.045 by saying, well, we'll take all the information we know at 2039 01:52:25.045 --> 01:52:28.845 the end of the process and then produce a new ta, that 2040 01:52:28.845 --> 01:52:32.125 that's not the intention of the whole process. 2041 01:52:32.785 --> 01:52:35.445 So recognizing the point you made, of course, 2042 01:52:36.305 --> 01:52:39.925 in any decision making process, you might come to that view, 2043 01:52:39.995 --> 01:52:42.085 I've got a whole load of information, I'm gonna rewrite the 2044 01:52:42.925 --> 01:52:44.005 TAM to one document. 2045 01:52:44.005 --> 01:52:47.325 That's not, I think the guidance is pretty clear about that. 2046 01:52:47.385 --> 01:52:48.805 That's not the function. 2047 01:52:49.385 --> 01:52:54.285 So we, um, we can, um, debate it now more if you would like, 2048 01:52:54.905 --> 01:52:58.765 but our current view is with the information 2049 01:52:59.075 --> 01:53:03.405 that we've got in, we've accumulated, it sits well 2050 01:53:03.665 --> 01:53:05.045 as a, as an addendum. 2051 01:53:05.985 --> 01:53:07.685

And the key thing is to ensure 2052 01:53:07.685 --> 01:53:10.365 that people reading the addendum know 2053 01:53:10.935 --> 01:53:12.285 where the changes have been made 2054 01:53:12.285 --> 01:53:13.965 and what supplemental information's there 2055 01:53:14.675 --> 01:53:17.885 that may best be judged by you looking at it in due course. 2056 01:53:18.185 --> 01:53:19.605 But I'll leave that with you, 2057 01:53:22.085 --> 01:53:24.485 I think, um, whilst it's touching on tomorrow. 2058 01:53:24.865 --> 01:53:26.165 Um, one other thing 2059 01:53:26.225 --> 01:53:30.805 to consider if you go down the addendum route, um, is, 2060 01:53:31.025 --> 01:53:33.485 um, obviously the transport assessment is, 2061 01:53:33.585 --> 01:53:34.965 is specifically referenced 2062 01:53:34.965 --> 01:53:38.565 because it's an appendix to the, um, the, the es uh, 2063 01:53:38.625 --> 01:53:41.565 in schedule six of the, the DCO. 2064 01:53:42.265 --> 01:53:45.045 Um, so would, would the, if there was a, an addendum

2065 01:53:45.045 --> 01:53:49.045 that is, you know, key to it, material to it, um, would, 2066 01:53:49.045 --> 01:53:53.325 would that be, um, added to the, the list of documents? 2067 01:53:53.935 --> 01:53:56.485 Don't expect to answer now, but, uh, something to consider 2068 01:53:58.215 --> 01:54:00.325 James from the applicant? Yes, sir, it would. 2069 01:54:01.025 --> 01:54:03.445 Mr. str, Thank you sir. 2070 01:54:03.445 --> 01:54:06.285 Robbie, O and CLDN, uh, while whilst I, 2071 01:54:06.745 --> 01:54:08.085 um, I, I would agree with Mr. 2072 01:54:08.145 --> 01:54:11.965 Strawn about the case law, uh, that he referred to, uh, 2073 01:54:12.025 --> 01:54:15.485 in relation to, uh, environmental statements and, and, 2074 01:54:15.505 --> 01:54:17.165 and their, their role, et cetera. 2075 01:54:17.565 --> 01:54:20.245 I think isn't, isn't the point here in relation 2076 01:54:20.245 --> 01:54:24.685 to the environmental information regulations that 2077 01:54:25.745 --> 01:54:28.645 the revised, if it is revised TA 2078 01:54:28.865 --> 01:54:33.365

or the TA with the addendum, um, I think we need 2079 01:54:33.825 --> 01:54:36.685 to understand whether the 2080 01:54:39.375 --> 01:54:41.815 Additions, thereby to the transport assessment have any 2081 01:54:41.815 --> 01:54:44.615 implications for the environmental impact assessment 2082 01:54:45.355 --> 01:54:48.535 in terms of noise and air quality in particular. 2083 01:54:48.885 --> 01:54:53.615 Because if, if they do, then it may be that 2084 01:54:54.745 --> 01:54:56.575 additional information needs to be 2085 01:54:56.775 --> 01:54:58.135 provided in those respects 2086 01:54:58.795 --> 01:55:03.375 and that might comprise further environmental 2087 01:55:03.375 --> 01:55:05.095 information for the purposes of the regulations, 2088 01:55:05.095 --> 01:55:07.135 which obviously has a procedural implication. 2089 01:55:07.515 --> 01:55:12.095 Um, uh, I, I'm, I'm not saying that would be the, that, that 2090 01:55:12.125 --> 01:55:15.535 that, that further additional noise 2091 01:55:15.635 --> 01:55:17.575 and all air quality information is required,

2092 01:55:17.575 --> 01:55:19.775 but I think, I think we need to ask ourselves 2093 01:55:19.775 --> 01:55:23.935 that question whether the outcome of the revised ta, the, 2094 01:55:24.035 --> 01:55:27.215 the addended ta, if I can use that term, is, is that or not? 2095 01:55:29.525 --> 01:55:30.525 Thank You. 2096 01:55:41.755 --> 01:55:43.245 No doubt the applicant, uh, 2097 01:55:43.435 --> 01:55:47.365 will be looking at any knock on implications, um, 2098 01:55:48.425 --> 01:55:50.605 for other chapters within the ES 2099 01:55:51.575 --> 01:55:52.885 James drawn for the applicant. 2100 01:55:53.345 --> 01:55:55.405 Uh, yes sir. And Mr. 2101 01:55:55.585 --> 01:55:59.525 Owen's, right, that if there were knock on implications from 2102 01:56:00.025 --> 01:56:04.285 one part, then you would need to obviously deal with those 2103 01:56:04.345 --> 01:56:07.405 and correct another part and that can occur. 2104 01:56:07.825 --> 01:56:12.125 Um, we, we are already cognizant of that and our view 2105 01:56:12.345 --> 01:56:14.285

and understanding at least that's 2106 01:56:14.285 --> 01:56:16.565 what I'm told is it doesn't have any implications, not least 2107 01:56:16.565 --> 01:56:18.765 because the way noise 2108 01:56:18.825 --> 01:56:21.725 and air quality assessed in terms of, um, 2109 01:56:22.365 --> 01:56:23.485 vehicles, et cetera. 2110 01:56:24.025 --> 01:56:26.605 But be assured, Mr. 2111 01:56:26.865 --> 01:56:29.405 And Mr. Owen can rest assured, we're, 2112 01:56:29.405 --> 01:56:31.485 we're aware if there were a need to do that, 2113 01:56:31.555 --> 01:56:32.805 we'd draw your to your attention. 2114 01:56:37.265 --> 01:56:42.055 Thank you. Um, I'm conscious of a, a little while ago, um, 2115 01:56:42.455 --> 01:56:46.535 DFDS and CLDN raised a a number of other points, um, 2116 01:56:47.135 --> 01:56:51.015 a a fair few of which, um, sort of preempted, um, questions. 2117 01:56:51.075 --> 01:56:53.255 So I think, um, one, one thing 2118 01:56:53.255 --> 01:56:57.775 that I'm immediately quite keen to, um, come back to is, um,

2119 01:56:57.995 --> 01:57:02.615 the, the point made about, um, uh, capacity 2120 01:57:02.995 --> 01:57:05.175 of, um, junctions 2121 01:57:06.755 --> 01:57:11.135 and, um, um, you know, obviously, um, uh, CRDN sort 2122 01:57:11.135 --> 01:57:13.575 of set out the, the applicant's case that you, you know, 2123 01:57:13.575 --> 01:57:16.775 you're saying not not considered necessary, um, 2124 01:57:17.035 --> 01:57:21.455 but turning first to DFDS, um, in your deadline. 2125 01:57:21.555 --> 01:57:25.095 Six, um, comments you've suggested, mitigation, 2126 01:57:25.615 --> 01:57:29.295 physical mitigation, arriving from the traffic generated may 2127 01:57:29.295 --> 01:57:30.375 be necessary. 2128 01:57:30.915 --> 01:57:35.815 Um, be, um, helpful if you could just, um, maybe set out, 2129 01:57:36.315 --> 01:57:38.895 um, what you think that that might be, 2130 01:57:39.355 --> 01:57:40.935 um, what might be needed. 2131 01:57:41.675 --> 01:57:46.375 Um, at the a, uh, 1 1 7 3 kiln lane roundabout, 2132 01:57:47.035 --> 01:57:50.415

the 1 1 7 3, um, ship, 2133 01:57:50.815 --> 01:57:53.575 S-H-I-I-P roundabout, um, 2134 01:57:53.755 --> 01:57:57.335 the A 60 Mamby Road roundabout, um, 2135 01:57:57.515 --> 01:57:59.775 the Harbor Road roundabout, uh, 2136 01:57:59.955 --> 01:58:03.895 and the, uh, a one 60, a 180 roundabout 2137 01:58:07.485 --> 01:58:09.175 Matthew East for DFDS. 2138 01:58:09.435 --> 01:58:13.615 Um, so I think for the majority of the mitigations, 2139 01:58:14.265 --> 01:58:17.855 we're expecting to see a number of additional lanes 2140 01:58:18.195 --> 01:58:22.695 to be added to these junctions, either by the change 2141 01:58:22.755 --> 01:58:25.095 of line marking on the rodent network 2142 01:58:25.755 --> 01:58:29.055 and realignment of curbs, uh, for the most part. 2143 01:58:30.395 --> 01:58:35.335 Um, and in some locations, some additional, uh, 2144 01:58:35.335 --> 01:58:37.495 pavement to be added to provide 2145 01:58:37.495 --> 01:58:38.975 that additional code capacity.

2146 01:58:39.645 --> 01:58:40.645 Apologies, 2147 01:58:43.525 --> 01:58:45.645 I, I'm just going to say Isabella, to DFTs 2148 01:58:46.195 --> 01:58:49.005 poor misery suddenly, um, come down with the coughing fit. 2149 01:58:49.065 --> 01:58:51.045 Um, my understanding is 2150 01:58:51.045 --> 01:58:53.605 that we are producing drawings which, um, 2151 01:58:53.755 --> 01:58:56.565 will indicate the various mitigator measures 2152 01:58:56.565 --> 01:58:59.925 that we consider to be necessary appropriate. 2153 01:59:00.605 --> 01:59:02.165 Deadline seven, deadline 2154 01:59:02.165 --> 01:59:03.325 seven, but it needs to be consulted. 2155 01:59:04.385 --> 01:59:06.085 Can we give it to them before deadline seven? 2156 01:59:06.585 --> 01:59:10.165 Um, possible we will share those with the applicant as soon 2157 01:59:10.165 --> 01:59:12.365 as they're available and we'll 2158 01:59:12.365 --> 01:59:13.445 submit them at deadline seven. 2159 01:59:15.465 --> 01:59:17.485

But obviously that's our view, 2160 01:59:17.665 --> 01:59:19.525 and there would, you know, the, the local 2161 01:59:20.035 --> 01:59:21.685 highway authorities would need 2162 01:59:21.685 --> 01:59:22.965 to give consideration to those. 2163 01:59:30.295 --> 01:59:32.835 Um, before I come back to the applicant, I'll just see if, 2164 01:59:32.855 --> 01:59:35.355 um, CLDN had anything that wants to say on this, 2165 01:59:35.615 --> 01:59:36.795 Uh, ROBO and CLDN. 2166 01:59:37.015 --> 01:59:41.035 Um, we are happy to, uh, defer to DFDS in terms 2167 01:59:41.035 --> 01:59:42.595 of the detail of the mitigation required 2168 01:59:42.595 --> 01:59:43.795 because they have done the work. 2169 01:59:44.015 --> 01:59:46.875 We have not, um, our intervention earlier was purely 2170 01:59:46.935 --> 01:59:49.875 to point out that in our view, the correct policy test, 2171 01:59:50.255 --> 01:59:53.795 namely the national policy State reports has primacy in 2172 01:59:53.795 --> 01:59:55.555 this, in this area, not the, uh,

2173 01:59:55.995 --> 01:59:57.195 national Planning policy framework. 2174 01:59:57.215 --> 02:00:01.235 And we're just keen to, um, impress that, impress that point 2175 02:00:01.345 --> 02:00:04.875 that that's the key determining policy. 2176 02:00:07.255 --> 02:00:07.725 Thank you. 2177 02:00:12.365 --> 02:00:14.385 Um, anything Mr. Tucker, that you want 2178 02:00:14.385 --> 02:00:16.265 to add on that point? 2179 02:00:17.405 --> 02:00:20.105 Uh, thank you sir. Simon Tucker for the applicant, um, 2180 02:00:20.105 --> 02:00:21.185 there was about three 2181 02:00:21.185 --> 02:00:22.665 or four points that were raised earlier. 2182 02:00:23.025 --> 02:00:25.025 D do you want me to take 'em any particular, maybe deal 2183 02:00:25.025 --> 02:00:26.905 with mitigation first, if that's Yes, sort 2184 02:00:26.905 --> 02:00:29.385 of fresh on everybody's minds, if that's okay. 2185 02:00:29.565 --> 02:00:33.065 So, um, firstly, um, we agree 2186 02:00:33.065 --> 02:00:36.745

that the national planning policy statement for ports is 2187 02:00:36.745 --> 02:00:38.145 that, is the document that, 2188 02:00:38.525 --> 02:00:41.265 or the policy that you should be taking into account in 2189 02:00:41.545 --> 02:00:45.465 considering the need or otherwise for mitigation. 2190 02:00:45.965 --> 02:00:46.985 Um, Ms. Mr. 2191 02:00:47.235 --> 02:00:51.425 Rowan, I think quoted paragraph 5, 4 24 of, 2192 02:00:51.845 --> 02:00:55.225 of the policy that that relates to accessibility matters 2193 02:00:56.365 --> 02:00:58.745 rather than, um, capacity matters. 2194 02:00:58.925 --> 02:01:01.265 So that, that may have been my note, 2195 02:01:01.325 --> 02:01:05.945 but I'm not sure that is the, the correct policy basis. 2196 02:01:06.165 --> 02:01:10.265 You'll have seen, sir, at rep six that we submitted a paper 2197 02:01:11.685 --> 02:01:15.825 on our interpretation of the policy and, and how it should 2198 02:01:15.885 --> 02:01:18.825 and, and inviting you to, to consider how that should be 2199 02:01:19.975 --> 02:01:21.345 applied in this case.

2200 02:01:22.325 --> 02:01:25.745 Um, and to paraphrase, if I may briefly, the, 2201 02:01:27.325 --> 02:01:30.865 um, requirement of the policy is 2202 02:01:30.865 --> 02:01:32.705 to consider whether the development 2203 02:01:33.405 --> 02:01:36.985 or that's being sought for which consent is being sought 2204 02:01:38.005 --> 02:01:42.585 in itself, creates a significant, oh, 2205 02:01:42.595 --> 02:01:43.945 sorry, gives rise to us. 2206 02:01:43.975 --> 02:01:46.105 This is a quote, substantial impacts on the 2207 02:01:46.305 --> 02:01:48.265 surrounding transport infrastructure. 2208 02:01:48.445 --> 02:01:52.385 That's a guote from paragraph 5.4 0.9 of the, 2209 02:01:52.445 --> 02:01:53.625 of the policy statement. 2210 02:01:54.325 --> 02:01:58.345 So the first test Is, 2211 02:01:58.495 --> 02:02:01.265 does does the development in itself give rise 2212 02:02:01.285 --> 02:02:02.585 to substantial impacts? 2213 02:02:03.245 --> 02:02:05.985

Um, we say no. 2214 02:02:06.245 --> 02:02:08.905 The evidence that we've submitted confirms that 2215 02:02:09.485 --> 02:02:12.705 the development does not give rise to substantial impacts. 2216 02:02:13.045 --> 02:02:15.625 You've, you've heard about RFCs earlier on, 2217 02:02:15.625 --> 02:02:18.865 there are junctions that are operating above 0.85. 2218 02:02:19.735 --> 02:02:22.505 That is, there's no threshold or, or, 2219 02:02:22.525 --> 02:02:25.665 or trigger in policy either in the National Planning policy 2220 02:02:25.665 --> 02:02:26.745 statement for ports 2221 02:02:26.845 --> 02:02:30.945 or in the MPPF for that matter, that sets that as a trigger 2222 02:02:31.005 --> 02:02:32.425 for requiring mitigation. 2223 02:02:32.725 --> 02:02:36.185 The, the decision maker has to then look at 2224 02:02:37.175 --> 02:02:39.945 what changes occur on the network as a result 2225 02:02:39.945 --> 02:02:43.745 of this development and consider whether those impacts 2226 02:02:43.775 --> 02:02:45.065 arising are substantial.

2227 02:02:45.085 --> 02:02:48.225 And that is the test for requiring mitigation. 2228 02:02:48.965 --> 02:02:53.225 Um, in simple terms, we've gone through sort of paragraph 2229 02:02:53.245 --> 02:02:54.945 by paragraph in that note, so I, 2230 02:02:55.125 --> 02:02:58.225 unless you want me to, I won't take you through it all sort 2231 02:02:58.225 --> 02:02:59.265 of verbatim now, 2232 02:02:59.405 --> 02:03:02.385 but, um, we are very clearly of the view that it, 2233 02:03:02.405 --> 02:03:04.385 it isn't just a matter of looking at a junction, 2234 02:03:05.125 --> 02:03:06.625 seeing it's a 0.85 2235 02:03:06.625 --> 02:03:08.145 and it's going to 0.89, that 2236 02:03:08.145 --> 02:03:10.105 that triggers the need for mitigation. 2237 02:03:10.105 --> 02:03:12.025 That just isn't what the policy said, 2238 02:03:12.065 --> 02:03:15.025 and it's not what the policy has said for, for a long time. 2239 02:03:16.365 --> 02:03:20.465 Um, so we will be at odds with, um, 2240 02:03:20.745 --> 02:03:22.185

DFDS on, on that point. 2241 02:03:22.205 --> 02:03:24.785 We obviously a wait to see what the highway authorities say 2242 02:03:25.005 --> 02:03:27.225 and then, um, probably not much more 2243 02:03:27.225 --> 02:03:28.785 to say on it at this point. 2244 02:03:28.965 --> 02:03:32.825 So that's a clear, um, 2245 02:03:33.205 --> 02:03:35.185 the other points that were raised 2246 02:03:35.765 --> 02:03:38.225 in turn then was dwell time. 2247 02:03:39.225 --> 02:03:43.225 I think Mr. Um, Ross mentioned that he wanted confirmation 2248 02:03:43.225 --> 02:03:45.025 that the or Mr. 2249 02:03:45.195 --> 02:03:48.945 Rowan mentioned that the, the dwell time of 1.4 2250 02:03:49.025 --> 02:03:52.345 and whether that had changed the, the assumptions in the ta. 2251 02:03:53.405 --> 02:03:57.225 Um, just to be clear, the, the, the dwell time 2252 02:03:57.805 --> 02:03:59.865 hasn't changed from our assessments. 2253 02:04:00.005 --> 02:04:03.665 What's happened is that we've disaggregated

2254 02:04:04.245 --> 02:04:08.025 the imports and the exports, which has got to the 2.45 2255 02:04:08.605 --> 02:04:11.905 for the, um, import goods 2256 02:04:12.005 --> 02:04:14.825 and the 0.35, as we discussed earlier on this afternoon 2257 02:04:15.045 --> 02:04:17.945 for the, um, exports. 2258 02:04:18.165 --> 02:04:21.025 So the, the assumptions in the model that I, I talked you 2259 02:04:21.025 --> 02:04:22.785 through this morning are identical to those 2260 02:04:22.785 --> 02:04:24.905 that were assumed in terms of the transport assessment. 2261 02:04:24.965 --> 02:04:29.185 So there is no change, um, in that respect in terms 2262 02:04:29.185 --> 02:04:31.865 of the profile of traffic leaving the site. 2263 02:04:36.265 --> 02:04:37.845 And I think, sorry, I said three things. 2264 02:04:38.005 --> 02:04:41.005 I think that was as well 2265 02:04:41.005 --> 02:04:42.885 as the debate we just had on the addendum transport 2266 02:04:42.885 --> 02:04:44.445 assessment was, was everything I had on the list, 2267 02:04:44.445 --> 02:04:46.965

unless there was anything you'd got. 2268 02:04:50.105 --> 02:04:52.325 Oh yeah, I think they were the, the main points there was, 2269 02:04:52.325 --> 02:04:54.245 there was a lot, uh, coming from this side of the table. 2270 02:04:54.665 --> 02:04:57.565 Um, but, um, I'll look to DFDS 2271 02:04:57.785 --> 02:05:00.325 and CLDN if there was anything that was missed. 2272 02:05:01.305 --> 02:05:03.845 Um, but obviously I'll also give you the chance 2273 02:05:03.845 --> 02:05:05.885 of having heard Mr. Tucker to come back. 2274 02:05:06.145 --> 02:05:08.285 Um, perhaps starting with CLDN, 2275 02:05:10.345 --> 02:05:11.485 Robbie Owen, CLDN. 2276 02:05:11.625 --> 02:05:14.565 Um, i, I hear what Mr. Tucker has just said about, um, 2277 02:05:14.725 --> 02:05:16.925 nothing has changed despite the agreement 2278 02:05:16.985 --> 02:05:18.885 of the 1.4 dial time. 2279 02:05:19.265 --> 02:05:21.325 Um, we will reflect on that. 2280 02:05:21.425 --> 02:05:23.765 Uh, obviously this is a, an issue

2281 02:05:23.765 --> 02:05:24.965 that was raised on our side 2282 02:05:24.965 --> 02:05:26.245 and we haven't had that answer before. 2283 02:05:26.305 --> 02:05:29.565 So we reflect on that and, um, uh, make further submissions 2284 02:05:29.705 --> 02:05:31.685 as and when we feel that's gonna assist you. 2285 02:05:31.855 --> 02:05:32.855 Thank you. 2286 02:05:35.295 --> 02:05:37.405 Thank you, uh, Mr. Mr Fu 2287 02:05:37.965 --> 02:05:39.045 Isabella Tfor. 2288 02:05:39.885 --> 02:05:42.725 I, I hear the transcript is recording my name as Mr. 2289 02:05:43.275 --> 02:05:45.525 Full, which I'm very much enjoying. 2290 02:05:46.345 --> 02:05:48.325 Um, sounds like a Mr Man character. 2291 02:05:48.745 --> 02:05:52.285 Um, so I would just ask you 2292 02:05:52.285 --> 02:05:54.605 to have a look again at all the relevant sections in the 2293 02:05:54.605 --> 02:05:56.045 port's NPS, which deal with traffic 2294 02:05:56.105 --> 02:05:58.765

and transport impacts, which is section 5.4. 2295 02:05:59.305 --> 02:06:02.365 Um, there is some local planning, um, guidance, 2296 02:06:02.535 --> 02:06:06.485 which itself is, we say material consideration, which does, 2297 02:06:06.825 --> 02:06:09.965 um, require mitigation where 2298 02:06:10.555 --> 02:06:12.405 RFCs are at 0.58 2299 02:06:12.625 --> 02:06:16.645 or above, which we've included in our rep six submissions. 2300 02:06:17.175 --> 02:06:18.685 Eight five, Sorry, 2301 02:06:19.515 --> 02:06:22.365 5 8, 8 5, don't you mean 0.85, 2302 02:06:22.515 --> 02:06:24.085 0.85, apologies. 2303 02:06:24.785 --> 02:06:25.925 Um, 0.85. 2304 02:06:26.225 --> 02:06:30.725 Um, here, 2305 02:06:31.745 --> 02:06:36.325 we, um, haven't seen any evidence to suggest that the 2306 02:06:37.025 --> 02:06:39.805 impact of those junk, uh, those junctions that are 2307 02:06:39.805 --> 02:06:42.125 above practical capacity are not substantial

2308 02:06:42.265 --> 02:06:46.325 to use the MPS words or severe to use the MPPF words. 2309 02:06:48.405 --> 02:06:49.805 I appreciate that. And it's right 2310 02:06:49.805 --> 02:06:51.885 that the port's NPS is the primary 2311 02:06:53.025 --> 02:06:54.485 policy basis for your decision. 2312 02:06:55.185 --> 02:06:58.445 Um, but when it talks about substantial impacts, 2313 02:06:59.035 --> 02:07:00.245 there's no reason to believe 2314 02:07:00.245 --> 02:07:01.765 that means anything other than in, 2315 02:07:02.025 --> 02:07:06.365 in the M-P-P-F-I-E cumulative residual impacts. 2316 02:07:06.985 --> 02:07:10.325 So it's not just that you look at a development in isolation 2317 02:07:10.385 --> 02:07:13.205 and say, well, this will add, uh, X amount. 2318 02:07:13.385 --> 02:07:16.885 So you have to look at actually how the junctions are going 2319 02:07:16.885 --> 02:07:19.405 to be operating with the baseline traffic 2320 02:07:19.505 --> 02:07:21.485 and the additional traffic because that's the matter 2321 02:07:21.485 --> 02:07:24.605

of concern, how the junction operates, not who put it there. 2322 02:07:24.945 --> 02:07:28.045 Uh, and it may not be that the applicant will be required 2323 02:07:28.105 --> 02:07:33.045 to provide all of the mitigation to address, um, the issues 2324 02:07:33.045 --> 02:07:34.165 that arise at those junctions, 2325 02:07:34.465 --> 02:07:36.405 but it may at the very least be appropriate for it 2326 02:07:36.405 --> 02:07:38.165 to make a contribution commensurate 2327 02:07:38.165 --> 02:07:40.565 with its own impacts on, on the junctions. 2328 02:07:41.425 --> 02:07:45.365 Um, so yeah, I think other than that we are just refer 2329 02:07:45.365 --> 02:07:47.125 to the submissions that we've made at Deadline six 2330 02:07:47.125 --> 02:07:48.805 and the policies we will cite there. 2331 02:07:53.135 --> 02:07:56.565 Thank you. I've got the, uh, the case team reminding me 2332 02:07:56.565 --> 02:07:59.165 that we've, uh, we've gone for two hours without a, a break. 2333 02:07:59.345 --> 02:08:01.845 So, uh, I think I I, I've come 2334 02:08:01.845 --> 02:08:04.765 to the applicant if they've got any sort of final, um,

2335 02:08:05.345 --> 02:08:07.725 points, uh, relating to transport. 2336 02:08:07.785 --> 02:08:10.805 I'm conscious we have got a couple of, uh, bits 2337 02:08:10.805 --> 02:08:13.965 of any other business, uh, outside of transport. 2338 02:08:14.225 --> 02:08:16.205 So, um, uh, yeah, 2339 02:08:16.645 --> 02:08:18.845 I dunno whether the applicant wants a, a final word. 2340 02:08:21.055 --> 02:08:22.845 Thank. Thanks, sir. Just very briefly, 2341 02:08:23.025 --> 02:08:24.525 si Simon Tucker for the applicant. 2342 02:08:24.555 --> 02:08:27.165 Just two final points on what Ms. DeFores has said. 2343 02:08:27.425 --> 02:08:32.245 The, um, local policy that she referred to isn't a policy, 2344 02:08:32.355 --> 02:08:35.965 it's a, it's a, it's in the back of, um, um, Mr. 2345 02:08:36.025 --> 02:08:38.405 East's rep six submissions. 2346 02:08:38.435 --> 02:08:42.245 It's a technical note, um, produced by consultants 2347 02:08:42.345 --> 02:08:43.925 for Northeast Lincolnshire. 2348 02:08:43.925 --> 02:08:48.805

So it doesn't have a formal policy basis in terms of, um, 2349 02:08:50.825 --> 02:08:52.845 how you consider cumulative impact. 2350 02:08:52.915 --> 02:08:56.885 I've set that out in, in my rep 6 0 3 4 notes. 2351 02:08:57.185 --> 02:09:02.085 Um, and that position has been subject to, um, a high court 2352 02:09:02.605 --> 02:09:05.525 decision where the judgment was specifically that 2353 02:09:06.985 --> 02:09:10.605 the impact necessarily requires 2354 02:09:11.685 --> 02:09:14.085 consideration of whether the residual cumulative impact 2355 02:09:14.085 --> 02:09:17.285 of the proposed development is severe, not simply whether 2356 02:09:17.885 --> 02:09:19.845 existing or projected congestion without 2357 02:09:19.845 --> 02:09:21.365 that development would be severe. 2358 02:09:22.065 --> 02:09:26.925 So it is the impact of the development that's pertinent, um, 2359 02:09:28.025 --> 02:09:30.205 rather than as described by MR. 2360 02:09:30.345 --> 02:09:31.345 For. Thank you. 2361 02:09:35.715 --> 02:09:37.085 Okay. Just

2362 02:09:37.185 --> 02:09:39.405 before you move on, can somebody help us 2363 02:09:39.715 --> 02:09:44.205 with the abbreviation ship, SHI double P? 2364 02:09:44.205 --> 02:09:46.125 Because it's a cropped up a few times 2365 02:09:46.385 --> 02:09:48.045 and it, it doesn't mean anything to us. 2366 02:09:49.075 --> 02:09:51.245 Yeah. Andrew Burn. DFDS, it stands 2367 02:09:51.305 --> 02:09:54.245 for the South Humber Inward Investment Project 2368 02:09:56.545 --> 02:09:58.685 and that's the Pioneer Park development 2369 02:09:58.685 --> 02:10:00.565 that's being built on the A 1 1 7 3. 2370 02:10:11.785 --> 02:10:14.725 So unless anybody else has got anything burning they want 2371 02:10:14.725 --> 02:10:18.805 to say right now about transport, um, I will hand back to, 2372 02:10:19.185 --> 02:10:21.805 uh, Mr. Gould for a couple 2373 02:10:21.805 --> 02:10:23.725 of questions under any other business. 2374 02:10:25.755 --> 02:10:26.755 Okay, thank you. 2375 02:10:48.305 --> 02:10:50.405

Um, just firstly looking 2376 02:10:50.585 --> 02:10:53.645 and know this is off topic in terms of 2377 02:10:53.645 --> 02:10:57.445 what we've been discussing over the last two days, um, 2378 02:10:57.905 --> 02:11:02.805 but the market forecast report, which is AAP 2379 02:11:03.675 --> 02:11:08.605 0 7 9, um, given the submissions, 2380 02:11:08.765 --> 02:11:12.965 particularly the CLDN have made about the operations at 2381 02:11:13.885 --> 02:11:18.285 a killing home, um, perhaps can be c characterized 2382 02:11:18.505 --> 02:11:22.005 as being not wholly accurate, um, 2383 02:11:22.275 --> 02:11:25.965 because of the, uh, CLDN have explained how they, 2384 02:11:25.995 --> 02:11:28.405 they operate, they stack things, uh, 2385 02:11:28.405 --> 02:11:31.325 and there have been certain assumptions made in that report 2386 02:11:32.135 --> 02:11:34.685 based upon what the applicant thought was happening at 2387 02:11:34.685 --> 02:11:37.325 killing home, which is quite a lot different to 2388 02:11:37.325 --> 02:11:40.205 what actually does happen at killing home.

2389 02:11:41.145 --> 02:11:45.105 Um, so the, there is an issue as to whether 2390 02:11:45.105 --> 02:11:48.865 or not, um, there is a need to think about re uh, 2391 02:11:48.925 --> 02:11:50.465 or amending that document. 2392 02:11:51.005 --> 02:11:54.665 So that at least picks up factual inaccuracy. 2393 02:11:55.585 --> 02:11:58.465 I mean, I know there's, there's definitely bits within it, 2394 02:11:58.765 --> 02:12:01.865 um, that talk about in effect assuming single stacking 2395 02:12:02.485 --> 02:12:04.425 or stack heights of certain heights, 2396 02:12:04.425 --> 02:12:07.745 which clearly are not the way that site is operated. 2397 02:12:11.765 --> 02:12:15.605 I, I think potentially, um, 2398 02:12:16.335 --> 02:12:18.405 we'll leave it with you to think about whether 2399 02:12:18.405 --> 02:12:20.685 or not there's a, a need to revisit 2400 02:12:20.835 --> 02:12:24.845 that particular document, um, in terms of, uh, 2401 02:12:25.145 --> 02:12:26.965 of those issues of accuracy. 2402 02:12:28.175 --> 02:12:30.245

James Storm for the applicant, sir, thank you. 2403 02:12:30.425 --> 02:12:32.205 We can I reflect on that 2404 02:12:32.585 --> 02:12:37.485 and see what we think the implications are 2405 02:12:37.665 --> 02:12:40.805 as to whether it's necessary to update it. 2406 02:12:44.385 --> 02:12:49.005 Um, then we've briefly touched on Immune Green Energy 2407 02:12:49.365 --> 02:12:54.165 terminal, um, and at the last hearings, uh, as an action, 2408 02:12:54.505 --> 02:12:59.365 uh, at that point, application had not been accepted, 2409 02:13:00.745 --> 02:13:02.245 was accepted shortly after. 2410 02:13:03.545 --> 02:13:07.765 Um, and in doing your action point responses, 2411 02:13:07.775 --> 02:13:12.125 there was quite a brief, um, in effect assessment 2412 02:13:12.785 --> 02:13:16.005 of the cumulative and or in combination effects. 2413 02:13:17.185 --> 02:13:19.285 Uh, and really what that said was go 2414 02:13:19.285 --> 02:13:20.645 and have a look at the other documentation 2415 02:13:21.065 --> 02:13:22.165 for the other application,

2416 02:13:22.255 --> 02:13:24.645 which really isn't what we can do. 2417 02:13:25.545 --> 02:13:29.045 Um, so we'd like you to revisit, um, that assessment 2418 02:13:29.745 --> 02:13:31.685 and potentially produce something 2419 02:13:31.685 --> 02:13:32.885 that is more comprehensive. 2420 02:13:34.305 --> 02:13:38.685 And in a similar vein, um, you may 2421 02:13:38.685 --> 02:13:42.325 or may not be aware that the Viking Carbon Capture storage 2422 02:13:42.845 --> 02:13:45.965 pipeline application, I think was accepted last Friday 2423 02:13:46.345 --> 02:13:48.245 as a new NSIP application. 2424 02:13:48.715 --> 02:13:53.165 That of course is now, um, going to go to examination, uh, 2425 02:13:53.265 --> 02:13:58.085 in the not too distant future that potentially also has, 2426 02:13:58.305 --> 02:14:03.125 um, some effects that potentially you need to be looking at. 2427 02:14:04.065 --> 02:14:09.045 Um, I suspect possibly more in the construction phase of 2428 02:14:09.045 --> 02:14:10.645 that project, um, 2429 02:14:10.645 --> 02:14:14.045

because it will affect, um, the local road network in terms 2430 02:14:14.045 --> 02:14:16.085 of its generation of construction traffic. 2431 02:14:16.865 --> 02:14:19.045 You might be generating some construction traffic. 2432 02:14:19.415 --> 02:14:22.445 There may however, be other elements of that project 2433 02:14:22.475 --> 02:14:24.005 that you also need to be looking at. 2434 02:14:25.025 --> 02:14:29.685 Um, I think probably more 2435 02:14:29.985 --> 02:14:34.725 in ES terms on the cumulative side rather than, um, HRA, 2436 02:14:34.905 --> 02:14:36.005 uh, in combination, 2437 02:14:36.005 --> 02:14:39.525 because as I understand it, certainly at the sort 2438 02:14:39.525 --> 02:14:40.885 of IMMINGHAM end of that project, 2439 02:14:41.545 --> 02:14:45.205 it doesn't have a direct effect on, um, 2440 02:14:45.925 --> 02:14:48.205 SBA sac, um, Ramsar sites. 2441 02:14:56.385 --> 02:14:59.365 Any observations on reviewing, um, 2442 02:15:00.505 --> 02:15:01.605 Viking carbon capture

2443 02:15:01.865 --> 02:15:05.045 and for that matter revisiting what was done with, uh, 2444 02:15:05.245 --> 02:15:06.245 Ingham Green Energy? 2445 02:15:08.545 --> 02:15:10.485 So James Strong, the applicant obviously will 2446 02:15:10.485 --> 02:15:11.605 reflect on what you said. 2447 02:15:11.885 --> 02:15:16.685 I, I'm conscious now of the words in the, uh, 2448 02:15:16.685 --> 02:15:19.365 infrastructure, environmental impact assessment regulations, 2449 02:15:19.745 --> 02:15:22.965 uh, schedule, which refer to existing and 2450 02:15:23.085 --> 02:15:24.325 or approved projects 2451 02:15:25.025 --> 02:15:26.725 and wondered whether 2452 02:15:27.475 --> 02:15:30.565 this is amusing wonder whether anyone actually contemplated, 2453 02:15:31.465 --> 02:15:35.445 uh, the set the constant, um, increase 2454 02:15:35.465 --> 02:15:37.325 of projects which just, which aren't approved, 2455 02:15:37.325 --> 02:15:39.765 but which are, can be submitted at any time. And 2456 02:15:40.355 --> 02:15:42.805

What I think we need alert to is 2457 02:15:42.805 --> 02:15:46.285 what happened in East Anglia with, with the two wind farms, 2458 02:15:47.105 --> 02:15:48.845 one that was considered, um, 2459 02:15:49.105 --> 02:15:52.805 before, well, I think yeah, the examination concluded 2460 02:15:53.625 --> 02:15:55.965 before the second application was lodged 2461 02:15:55.995 --> 02:15:58.845 that then did result in difficulties in terms 2462 02:15:58.845 --> 02:15:59.925 of high court challenge 2463 02:16:00.425 --> 02:16:02.765 and if I remember rightly, did lead to a quashing. 2464 02:16:03.385 --> 02:16:08.245 Um, so it's, it's quite an important area to get right in 2465 02:16:08.885 --> 02:16:11.645 ensuring that full assessment has been done so 2466 02:16:11.645 --> 02:16:13.205 that the examining authority is able 2467 02:16:13.205 --> 02:16:15.045 to report accurately the Secretary State is able 2468 02:16:15.045 --> 02:16:18.165 to make a decision and then doesn't find himself in a 2469 02:16:18.645 --> 02:16:20.005 situation where, um,

2470 02:16:20.005 --> 02:16:22.245 another scheme should have been taken account of. 2471 02:16:22.505 --> 02:16:23.565 That's totally understood. 2472 02:16:23.585 --> 02:16:24.845 So James Strong for the applicant. 2473 02:16:25.265 --> 02:16:27.845 I'm not suggesting we want to become the test case 2474 02:16:27.845 --> 02:16:30.005 for the meaning of existing or approved projects. 2475 02:16:30.105 --> 02:16:31.805 I'm not sure anyone does, but uh, yes, 2476 02:16:32.275 --> 02:16:34.405 that we will reflect on what you've just 2477 02:16:35.125 --> 02:16:36.125 Identified. Certainly as far as the 2478 02:16:36.125 --> 02:16:38.125 East Angles were concerned, uh, 2479 02:16:38.445 --> 02:16:42.125 'cause neither of them were approved, one became approved, 2480 02:16:42.185 --> 02:16:43.285 but then got quashed. 2481 02:16:44.005 --> 02:16:45.845 'cause the view was that an element 2482 02:16:46.075 --> 02:16:47.645 that I think they were sharing 2483 02:16:48.425 --> 02:16:49.925

had not been correctly assessed. 2484 02:16:50.385 --> 02:16:52.605 Yes, I think they, uh, I I know the time. 2485 02:16:52.685 --> 02:16:55.285 I don't want to get, I think the facts were quite specific 2486 02:16:55.345 --> 02:16:57.005 in terms of there and there was, 2487 02:16:57.305 --> 02:16:58.445 if it's the one I'm thinking of, 2488 02:16:58.445 --> 02:17:00.885 there was actually a cumulative impact assessment which the 2489 02:17:01.045 --> 02:17:02.845 Secretary State decided not to take into account 2490 02:17:03.745 --> 02:17:05.165 and that was one of the issues. 2491 02:17:05.505 --> 02:17:07.605 But you are maybe referring to a different case. 2492 02:17:07.785 --> 02:17:12.565 But, but, uh, yes, that aside, uh, the two, 2493 02:17:12.665 --> 02:17:15.965 the two things you've mentioned, we will reflect on, uh, uh, 2494 02:17:16.065 --> 02:17:17.805 uh, your, your request 2495 02:17:24.155 --> 02:17:26.935 And then just very quickly, um, 2496 02:17:28.095 --> 02:17:32.735 although I actually, I suspect this is being addressed, um,

2497 02:17:34.995 --> 02:17:38.495 in spite of the revision to the habitat regulation, um, 2498 02:17:38.495 --> 02:17:42.095 assessment report that was made at Deadline five, 2499 02:17:43.085 --> 02:17:46.295 natural England of identified, um, in 2500 02:17:46.915 --> 02:17:50.815 rep 6 0 4 9, still quite a lot 2501 02:17:50.815 --> 02:17:51.935 of outstanding issues. 2502 02:17:53.435 --> 02:17:56.575 Um, is the applicant able 2503 02:17:56.675 --> 02:17:59.695 to quickly say what's being done in terms of seeking 2504 02:17:59.695 --> 02:18:03.655 to address and that might be something more possibly for Mr. 2505 02:18:03.655 --> 02:18:06.095 Greenwood in his more coordinating role, 2506 02:18:06.235 --> 02:18:11.175 or is that something you, um, just take away? 2507 02:18:12.075 --> 02:18:15.335 But we, we do need to be certain that something is going on 2508 02:18:15.335 --> 02:18:18.735 to, to seek to address those residual matters. 2509 02:18:19.355 --> 02:18:24.175 It might in part be dealt with through the Reese questions 2510 02:18:24.175 --> 02:18:25.775

that we raised last week. 2511 02:18:26.595 --> 02:18:28.415 Yes, it was lost, right? Was it lost, right. Yeah. 2512 02:18:29.835 --> 02:18:33.655 Yep. I just want 2513 02:18:34.905 --> 02:18:36.015 James Storm for the applicant. 2514 02:18:36.055 --> 02:18:38.255 I was just wondering, so whether if, if it would help, 2515 02:18:38.355 --> 02:18:41.775 and it's, it's not out of order, whether we can report back 2516 02:18:41.775 --> 02:18:43.255 to you tomorrow on that. 2517 02:18:44.535 --> 02:18:47.095 I know you're raising it as an item here, 2518 02:18:48.235 --> 02:18:52.015 but if it, the answer to your question, I don't have my, 2519 02:18:52.915 --> 02:18:54.175 the, the, the environmentalist 2520 02:18:54.275 --> 02:18:55.335 in, in the room at the moment. 2521 02:18:55.405 --> 02:18:57.535 Certainly, I don't think the examining authority has got 2522 02:18:57.535 --> 02:18:59.455 any objection to that sort of report back. 2523 02:18:59.555 --> 02:19:04.335 Um, and I would like to hope that IPS wouldn't find yet.

2524 02:19:04.335 --> 02:19:05.735 We'll deal with that in the morning. Thank you. 2525 02:19:12.155 --> 02:19:16.655 Um, that then really brings us, 2526 02:19:17.195 --> 02:19:20.055 unless well, has any other party got any Mr. 2527 02:19:22.485 --> 02:19:25.495 Robo CLDN? Just a couple of matters if I, if I may. 2528 02:19:25.675 --> 02:19:30.005 Um, one one's a comment, um, on we very much welcome 2529 02:19:30.955 --> 02:19:32.765 your request for the applicant 2530 02:19:32.865 --> 02:19:35.805 to review the market forecast study 2531 02:19:35.805 --> 02:19:38.445 because, um, uh, as you know, 2532 02:19:38.445 --> 02:19:40.845 we have been very concerned throughout the examination 2533 02:19:40.845 --> 02:19:42.765 that it's got some fundamental, um, 2534 02:19:43.105 --> 02:19:48.085 errors in having been compiled from sources like Google, um, 2535 02:19:48.355 --> 02:19:50.325 maps without even asking 2536 02:19:51.085 --> 02:19:52.685 CRDN about what happens at killing home. 2537 02:19:52.685 --> 02:19:55.645

And in our view, the killing home notice we've dubbed it at 2538 02:19:55.845 --> 02:20:00.805 Deadline four contains an up-to-date, um, description of 2539 02:20:00.805 --> 02:20:03.365 what happens at killing home and its capacity, et cetera. 2540 02:20:03.465 --> 02:20:07.685 And, and that in our view, um, is, is, uh, 2541 02:20:09.075 --> 02:20:11.995 a, a full and, uh, accurate, um, picture 2542 02:20:12.175 --> 02:20:13.555 for the examining authority. 2543 02:20:13.695 --> 02:20:17.435 And, um, we we're still not entirely sure why the applicant 2544 02:20:17.435 --> 02:20:20.955 seems to have difficulty in accepting, uh, our description 2545 02:20:20.955 --> 02:20:23.155 of our own operations at our own port. 2546 02:20:23.415 --> 02:20:27.595 Um, but I, that brings me on to the second issue, 2547 02:20:27.595 --> 02:20:32.395 which Mr. Bradley started this morning, um, by asking, uh, 2548 02:20:33.055 --> 02:20:36.435 uh, some questions about, um, vessels 2549 02:20:36.985 --> 02:20:38.915 calling it killing home and, and risks. 2550 02:20:38.975 --> 02:20:40.435 And I can perhaps just in,

2551 02:20:40.455 --> 02:20:42.755 in five minutes deal with that, if I may. 2552 02:20:43.255 --> 02:20:46.395 Um, we, uh, have worked up a note which we can 2553 02:20:47.015 --> 02:20:48.515 put into a post-hearing submission 2554 02:20:48.655 --> 02:20:49.755 and get that to you as soon 2555 02:20:49.755 --> 02:20:53.875 as we can next week without waiting for, um, deadline seven. 2556 02:20:55.855 --> 02:20:58.995 So on the, it, it starts really by going back to 2557 02:21:00.015 --> 02:21:03.075 the Killing Home note rep 4 0 2 1. 2558 02:21:04.375 --> 02:21:08.915 Uh, on page 16, we, um, uh, said 2559 02:21:08.915 --> 02:21:13.075 that the largest vessels, uh, operated by CLDN, um, 2560 02:21:13.335 --> 02:21:15.555 at killing home are what, what's known what, 2561 02:21:15.555 --> 02:21:16.955 what are known as the G nines. 2562 02:21:17.575 --> 02:21:20.795 Uh, there are two of them, the only two in the world, uh, MV 2563 02:21:22.155 --> 02:21:23.995 Celine and the mv, um, Delphine. 2564 02:21:25.535 --> 02:21:27.435

And, and they, and those are restricted 2565 02:21:27.435 --> 02:21:29.275 to birth three at killing home. 2566 02:21:29.815 --> 02:21:33.915 Uh, and their capacity is, um, uh, uh, 2567 02:21:33.915 --> 02:21:37.755 8,000 lane meters and they're 234 meters length. 2568 02:21:37.865 --> 02:21:42.275 Overall, as I said that these are the only two 2569 02:21:43.145 --> 02:21:44.275 such vessels in the world. 2570 02:21:44.855 --> 02:21:47.075 Um, and, and in fact, I'm, I'm told, 2571 02:21:47.075 --> 02:21:48.955 and again, we give details of this, that, uh, 2572 02:21:49.395 --> 02:21:53.675 CRDN has two more of such vessels, uh, under construction. 2573 02:21:54.215 --> 02:21:58.515 Uh, they were, uh, commission, they were ordered in 2574 02:21:59.395 --> 02:22:00.955 February of 2022, 2575 02:22:01.215 --> 02:22:03.675 and they're due for delivery in the, in the first half 2576 02:22:03.695 --> 02:22:05.315 of 2025. 2577 02:22:06.255 --> 02:22:10.515 But, uh, um, if, if you can imagine, uh, uh, Sarah table,

2578 02:22:10.655 --> 02:22:11.755 we, we, we set out 2579 02:22:11.775 --> 02:22:14.395 and we're grateful to the help from DFDS in relation 2580 02:22:14.395 --> 02:22:19.195 to the jilin, uh, vital statistics, uh, the characteristics 2581 02:22:19.375 --> 02:22:21.955 of the G nine, the jilin, 2582 02:22:21.955 --> 02:22:26.485 and also CDNs smaller H five vessels covering 2583 02:22:26.745 --> 02:22:31.045 length overall beam draft, uh, 2584 02:22:31.405 --> 02:22:36.365 GWT, um, propulsion in terms of total output, uh, thrusters, 2585 02:22:37.465 --> 02:22:41.205 uh, and capacity, uh, and, and also displacement data. 2586 02:22:41.645 --> 02:22:44.205 I, I have all of the above apart from displacement data now, 2587 02:22:44.205 --> 02:22:46.045 but I imagine you wouldn't want me to go 2588 02:22:46.045 --> 02:22:48.445 through it in detail, but, uh, it gives a comparison 2589 02:22:48.545 --> 02:22:51.565 of those three different types of vessels with reference 2590 02:22:51.565 --> 02:22:54.645 to each of those, um, eight characteristics 2591 02:22:54.645 --> 02:22:55.645

that I've just mentioned. 2592 02:22:57.505 --> 02:23:00.725 Um, I, I think it's important to emphasize 2593 02:23:00.725 --> 02:23:04.605 however, that, that, um, this is not a, a a, 2594 02:23:04.885 --> 02:23:08.805 a life-like comparison in terms of G nine compared with, uh, 2595 02:23:09.045 --> 02:23:13.245 ginlink in that, um, the, um, the birth a killing home 2596 02:23:13.825 --> 02:23:17.365 are, are generally not restricted in the same way. 2597 02:23:17.365 --> 02:23:18.405 And I'll come back to that in a minute. 2598 02:23:18.545 --> 02:23:22.325 Uh, and ships can move off them, uh, uh, and, and, 2599 02:23:22.425 --> 02:23:24.965 and certainly berth three I'm talking about now in the 2600 02:23:24.965 --> 02:23:27.525 context of the G nine ships can move off the berth 2601 02:23:27.525 --> 02:23:29.125 and, uh, into onward passage 2602 02:23:29.715 --> 02:23:31.365 without obstructing other vessels. 2603 02:23:31.625 --> 02:23:34.365 And those, you know, and, and the, and the, 2604 02:23:34.365 --> 02:23:38.645 and the vessels are not maneuvering, um, On

2605 02:23:38.985 --> 02:23:40.685 or off the births whilst other river 2606 02:23:40.685 --> 02:23:41.765 traffic is in the vicinity. 2607 02:23:41.765 --> 02:23:43.725 And I think, I think therefore the, the circumstances 2608 02:23:43.785 --> 02:23:45.725 of Cunningham are clearly very different 2609 02:23:45.725 --> 02:23:48.085 and it's important to recognize that. 2610 02:23:48.425 --> 02:23:52.845 And, um, I I think that takes me on to the second part 2611 02:23:52.845 --> 02:23:54.005 of your question, Mr. Bradley. 2612 02:23:54.025 --> 02:23:57.325 In terms of the two highest risks assessed for the birthing 2613 02:23:57.525 --> 02:24:00.925 of the G nine vessels at killing home, I, I mean, 2614 02:24:01.205 --> 02:24:04.125 I think the questions are probably moot in that, um, 2615 02:24:04.655 --> 02:24:08.125 given the, the different circumstances of killing home, uh, 2616 02:24:08.345 --> 02:24:13.045 uh, compared with, um, Immingham in that birth three, along 2617 02:24:13.045 --> 02:24:16.565 with birth one, again, the, the, the plans were attached 2618 02:24:16.565 --> 02:24:17.565

to the killing home note. 2619 02:24:17.905 --> 02:24:20.765 So births one and three are, are, are, 2620 02:24:20.825 --> 02:24:22.565 are unrestricted river births. 2621 02:24:23.185 --> 02:24:25.285 Uh, so, so the vessels can move on 2622 02:24:25.285 --> 02:24:28.445 and off them directly, uh, from and, and into the river. 2623 02:24:29.725 --> 02:24:30.885 Tugs aren't, tugs aren't required, 2624 02:24:32.305 --> 02:24:35.365 Uh, Uh, and whereas the, the, the, 2625 02:24:35.465 --> 02:24:40.325 the proposed births at at, at iert are much closer to, 2626 02:24:41.745 --> 02:24:44.245 in terms of nature to killing Holmes birth five, 2627 02:24:44.775 --> 02:24:48.405 which does have restrictions, uh, and, and, 2628 02:24:48.405 --> 02:24:50.845 and that birth along with births two, four, 2629 02:24:50.865 --> 02:24:54.805 and six, um, which, which along with birth one, 2630 02:24:54.905 --> 02:24:58.725 the G nine vessels can't, can't birth alongside, uh, 2631 02:24:58.725 --> 02:25:01.405 there are a number of risk controlled limitations

2632 02:25:01.405 --> 02:25:05.245 and restrictions that have been agreed with the, um, uh, 2633 02:25:05.635 --> 02:25:07.285 hard master Humber, I think it must be, 2634 02:25:08.945 --> 02:25:11.445 Um, Which are that, um, 2635 02:25:11.495 --> 02:25:13.605 where the tidal stream is greater than two 2636 02:25:13.605 --> 02:25:16.085 and a half knots, um, uh, 2637 02:25:16.585 --> 02:25:19.325 and the wind force is bf five 2638 02:25:19.385 --> 02:25:21.965 or above from a beam direction, then, um, 2639 02:25:21.965 --> 02:25:23.805 there is then a requirement to take a tug, 2640 02:25:23.965 --> 02:25:25.085 a minimum of one tug. 2641 02:25:27.505 --> 02:25:32.485 And clearly those, the, the risk assessment carried out 2642 02:25:32.485 --> 02:25:37.085 and the risk control measures, um, has been, uh, carried out 2643 02:25:37.085 --> 02:25:38.685 with reference to the circumstances of that killing home. 2644 02:25:38.685 --> 02:25:40.445 And, and therefore it's, it's probably 2645 02:25:40.445 --> 02:25:43.365

of limited application, I would think to, um, immingham. 2646 02:25:43.385 --> 02:25:47.245 But those are the key differences I think in terms of, um, 2647 02:25:47.245 --> 02:25:49.925 killing home and immingham, um, in terms 2648 02:25:49.925 --> 02:25:51.645 of the different circumstances there 2649 02:25:51.865 --> 02:25:54.845 and the lack of other vessels in the, in the area. 2650 02:25:55.425 --> 02:26:00.365 So we will set that out, um, uh, in, in, 2651 02:26:00.425 --> 02:26:02.005 in a post hearing submission. 2652 02:26:02.005 --> 02:26:03.805 It's, it's broadly as I've just said, uh, 2653 02:26:03.805 --> 02:26:05.325 but I hope that's helpful in the meantime. 2654 02:26:06.785 --> 02:26:07.965 Uh, very helpful indeed. 2655 02:26:07.985 --> 02:26:10.445 Uh, thank you very much for the hard work so rapidly. 2656 02:26:10.865 --> 02:26:14.405 Um, I, I think, uh, all I would just ask 2657 02:26:14.465 --> 02:26:19.005 for clarification is the H five vessels, is that the same 2658 02:26:19.005 --> 02:26:20.965 as the stanner transporter class

2659 02:26:20.965 --> 02:26:22.445 that we've been talking about earlier on, 2660 02:26:24.105 --> 02:26:25.805 or is it a completely different class? 2661 02:26:28.125 --> 02:26:30.805 I am not entirely sure. 2662 02:26:30.985 --> 02:26:32.405 We, we can just, um, try 2663 02:26:32.405 --> 02:26:33.605 and get an immediate answer, but, um, 2664 02:26:33.855 --> 02:26:35.165 Don't worry, I'm not entirely 2665 02:26:35.165 --> 02:26:36.405 sure if not available right now. 2666 02:26:36.545 --> 02:26:38.165 I'm just wondering if there's anybody 2667 02:26:39.515 --> 02:26:42.125 from the navigation side who might help on that. 2668 02:26:42.945 --> 02:26:45.285 And I'm not sure they, sorry, gen the, 2669 02:26:45.415 --> 02:26:47.005 could you just repeat the questions? And then 2670 02:26:47.305 --> 02:26:50.525 The H five vessel, which operate is operated by stent 2671 02:26:50.785 --> 02:26:55.565 to uh, uh, um, killing home, uh, is, uh, is, 2672 02:26:55.725 --> 02:26:58.965

does that have anything to do with the stenner transporter 2673 02:26:58.965 --> 02:27:00.445 that we've been talking about earlier on? 2674 02:27:01.125 --> 02:27:02.445 Negative. Negative, okay. 2675 02:27:02.445 --> 02:27:03.805 It's also a single propeller. 2676 02:27:04.385 --> 02:27:06.925 It has dual bow trusses and dual stern trusses. 2677 02:27:06.925 --> 02:27:09.405 You can compare that with, uh, two propeller ship 2678 02:27:09.405 --> 02:27:10.805 with double rod and bow trusses. 2679 02:27:10.905 --> 02:27:13.965 If you want to compare, you can compare the transporter 2680 02:27:13.965 --> 02:27:15.805 with the England class is different inside, 2681 02:27:15.825 --> 02:27:17.525 but maneuver ice, it's the same. 2682 02:27:17.595 --> 02:27:20.325 Very clear. Thank you. So I've just had confirmation 2683 02:27:20.325 --> 02:27:22.005 from CLD and that they're completely different. 2684 02:27:23.615 --> 02:27:24.085 Thank you. 2685 02:27:29.305 --> 02:27:31.405 Was there any other,

2686 02:27:31.585 --> 02:27:33.205 any other business from any other party? 2687 02:27:34.555 --> 02:27:35.925 Doesn't look like it. 2688 02:27:39.105 --> 02:27:43.445 Um, review of action points, 2689 02:27:43.565 --> 02:27:47.045 I think we'll do what we did at the last issues with hearing 2690 02:27:47.665 --> 02:27:50.245 if, um, the applicant's team can circulate the note 2691 02:27:50.245 --> 02:27:52.045 that they've been compiling during the course of the day 2692 02:27:52.545 --> 02:27:54.605 to all of the, the other parties. 2693 02:27:55.265 --> 02:27:57.445 If you can then review it as quickly as possible, 2694 02:27:57.715 --> 02:28:00.125 then if the applicant can arrange for it to come back to, 2695 02:28:00.905 --> 02:28:03.765 um, the case team, uh, we'll look at it 2696 02:28:03.765 --> 02:28:07.365 and then we'll look to issue as promptly as we can, as a, 2697 02:28:07.365 --> 02:28:08.165 as a final version 2698 02:28:12.275 --> 02:28:14.805 that then he says hopefully. 2699 02:28:25.755 --> 02:28:27.845

Yeah, I, I should just say on the action points, we, 2700 02:28:27.905 --> 02:28:32.245 we will be looking for responses at deadline seven wherever 2701 02:28:32.845 --> 02:28:36.765 possible, but we, once we see, uh, the full list, um, 2702 02:28:37.665 --> 02:28:40.565 and if we identify any particular issues from 2703 02:28:40.565 --> 02:28:42.725 what people are saying in response, some 2704 02:28:42.725 --> 02:28:44.485 of those deadlines might be adjusted. 2705 02:28:45.505 --> 02:28:49.485 Um, that then brings us to the close of the hearing. 2706 02:28:49.585 --> 02:28:51.365 I'd like to thank everybody for your forbearance. 2707 02:28:51.495 --> 02:28:54.605 We've, we've set half an hour longer than we said we hoped 2708 02:28:54.665 --> 02:28:58.605 we would, but at least we have concluded, um, everything 2709 02:28:58.605 --> 02:29:01.925 that we wish to cover on this agenda item or, 2710 02:29:01.945 --> 02:29:03.805 or this hearing I should say. 2711 02:29:09.285 --> 02:29:10.565 I think thanks round. 2712 02:29:12.395 --> 02:29:15.925 Yeah. Um, although some, uh, uh,

2713 02:29:16.525 --> 02:29:20.365 I ips have now left, uh, we'd extend our thanks broadly 2714 02:29:20.425 --> 02:29:22.805 to everybody that's participated, uh, 2715 02:29:22.825 --> 02:29:25.765 and for all the witnesses that have assisted, um, 2716 02:29:25.945 --> 02:29:29.205 the recording for, uh, this hearing will be made available 2717 02:29:29.385 --> 02:29:30.765 and published as soon as possible. 2718 02:29:31.905 --> 02:29:36.565 Um, so I'd like to thank everybody. 2719 02:29:36.865 --> 02:29:40.045 Um, if you have any sort 2720 02:29:40.045 --> 02:29:43.965 of administrative type queries, uh, if you could raise them 2721 02:29:43.995 --> 02:29:45.005 with Ms. Robbins, 2722 02:29:45.005 --> 02:29:47.165 but I suspect given the nature 2723 02:29:47.225 --> 02:29:48.565 of the participation in this hearing, 2724 02:29:48.565 --> 02:29:50.805 that's unlikely, uh, to be the case. 2725 02:29:51.065 --> 02:29:52.485 So thank you very much 2726 02:29:52.865 --> 02:29:55.205

and we'll no doubt, be seeing some 2727 02:29:55.205 --> 02:29:58.325 of you tomorrow at 10 o'clock for issue specific hearing. 2728 02:29:58.465 --> 02:30:00.125 Six. Thank you. Thank you very 2729 02:30:00.125 --> 02:30:00.565 Much, sir.